Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC)
Continuum of Care Lead Agency
FY 2023 Universal Request for Proposals

FL-513: CoC Program Renewal Project Application and Performance Scorecard Instructions
Tuesday, August 8, 2023

For the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition, 89% percent of the Renewal Application scoring is based on objective criteria, and 51% percent of the Renewal Application scoring is based on the CoC's System Performance Measurements based on data from **October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022**. As all projects in the CoC contribute data to the System Performance Measurements via their HMIS data entry for their individual projects, using the same date range aligns the evaluation of a project's performance to the data submitted to HUD that HUD will be using to score the overall CoC Application. The overall score of the CoC Application is directly related to how much funding HUD awards to a CoC, including funding of Tier 2 and new projects.

All renewals projects, except for 1) critical system projects (HMIS and Coordinated Entry) and 2) projects that were not in operation for the full 12 months of the timeframe being scored (10/1/2021 – 9/30/2022), are required to participate and submit all necessary project performance scoring documents.

For this year's competition, all renewal projects must submit to BHC the documents listed in this section by the deadline to be included in the Scoring and Ranking process for the FY 2023 HUD CoC Program Competition.

HUD CoC Project Renewals are to be completed directly in e-snaps for the Scoring and Ranking Process by the project grantee agency, unless BHC is the grantee. When BHC is the project's grantee, the responsibility of completing the renewal application process will fall to the sub-recipient agency.

Renewal Project Performance Scorecard Overview
The Renewal Project Performance Scorecard is divided into seven (7) sections with a maximum number of points of 123 based on the following sections and maximum points per section as listed below:

1. Organizational Capacity & Grant Management– Maximum Points = 13 (11%)
2. Racial Equity & Inclusion – Maximum Points = 14 (11%)
3. CoC Participation – Maximum Points = 4 (3%)
4. Coordinated Entry Participation – Maximum Points = 4 (3%)
5. Project Performance Outcomes – Maximum Points = 63 (51%;)
6. Project Populations – Maximum Points =9 (7%)
7. HMIS Data Quality – Maximum Points = 16 (13%)

What Is Needed to Complete the Renewal Project Performance Scorecard

The following items are required to be submitted through the BHC Online Application Portal, by the project applicant and/or subrecipient, for the Ranking and Review Committee Members to complete a Project Performance Scorecard for each CoC Renewal Project. *Any renewal project that was not in operation for the full 12 months of the timeframe being scored (10/1/2021 – 9/30/2022) is only required to complete and submit item #'s: 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9.*

1) Completed FY2023 Renewal Project Scorecard in Excel (Blank Scorecard provided by BHC) – completed by the project applicant and/or subrecipient.

2) The HUD Annual Performance Report APR (FY23) printed (PDF) from HMIS with the date range of _10/1/2021 to _9/30/2022_ (all references to the CoC APR refer to HUD Annual Performance Report APR (FY23)).

3) Completed CoC Renewal Project Application printed from e-snaps in PDF Format and uploaded to the BHC Online Application Portal.

   Renewal Project Application Detailed Instructions for step by step on how to update and submit your renewal project within e-snaps: https://www.hud.gov/sites/dfiles/CPD/documents/CoC/FY-2023-CoC-RENEWAL-Application-Detailed-Instructions.pdf

4) A printout from the project's eLOCCS account of the General, Budget and Vouchers tab for the most recently ended grant term*). Most recently ended grant term is defined as the grant term in which APR and final eLOCCS draw timeframe has passed.*

5) Current Organizational Budget

6) Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990

7) Most Recent Financial Audit including Supplementary Information and Other Reports and The Management Letter

a) If the agency does not engage in a financial audit, please submit a question, as outlined in the RFP Questions section, and be received no later than 5 p.m. on Thursday, August 3, 2023, for information about other acceptable financial submissions.

b) If the ending financial period date of the most recent financial audit is more than 365 days old, please additionally include internal unaudited financial information for the most recent year end. (Example – if the agency's most recent audit is for the year ended December 31, 2021, they must submit that audit but also the internal unaudited financials (Statement of Financial Position and Statement of Activities) for the year ended December 31, 2022.

8) Current List of Board of Directors (include lived experience, gender, race and ethnicity details) – Please provide following demographic make-up:
- Lived Experience: Yes/No
- Gender: Woman, Man, Culturally Specific Identity (e.g., Two Spirit), Transgender, Non-binary, Questioning, Different Identity
- Race: American Indian or Alaska Native or Indigenous, Asian or Asian American, Black or African American or African, Hispanic/Latina/e/o, Middle Eastern or North African, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, White
- Ethnicity: Hispanic/Latina/e/o or Non-Hispanic/Latina/e/o

9) Current Organizational Chart (include lived experience, gender, race and ethnicity details) – Please provide following demographic make-up:
- Lived Experience: Yes/No
- Gender: Woman, Man, Culturally Specific Identity (e.g., Two Spirit), Transgender, Non-binary, Questioning, Different Identity
- Race: American Indian or Alaska Native or Indigenous, Asian or Asian American, Black or African American or African, Hispanic/Latina/e/o, Middle Eastern or North African, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, White
- Ethnicity: Hispanic/Latina/e/o or Non-Hispanic/Latina/e/o

10) Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience Narrative (found in the online application) - maximum 1000 words.
Describe AND demonstrate how your organization incorporates the inclusion of input and experience of persons with lived experience in your organizational structure, decision-making, quality improvement efforts and program design, related to homeless services provided. The narrative should include information beyond "our policy is." The specific activities (or similar) listed below, will be scored (see Scoring Criteria).
- Representation on the organization's Board of Director's or other decision-making board

- CoC Lived Experience Committee
- Emphasis on hiring Person with Lived Experience
- Use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback
- Satisfaction surveys / comment cards

NOTE: This Narrative to be scored by persons with lived experience

11) Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals Narrative (found in the BHC Online Application Portal)- maximum 500 words
Describe how your agency/project helps address the needs of LGBTQ+, transgender, gender non-conforming, and non-binary individuals and families including privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation in projects.

12) Agency Racial Equity Narrative (found in the BHC Online Application Portal)- maximum 500 words
Describe how your agency reviews and evaluates/will review and evaluate for racial equity and utilize information to move the project towards full racial equity.

13) Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire Score (Found in the online application)

The Ranking and Review Committee will utilize additional documents, provided by BHC and posted to BHC'S website on the FY 2023 CoC Program Competition webpage.

1) CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2022 -July 2023) (Provided by BHC)
2) FY 2022 Coordinated Entry Audit Report (Provided by the BHC)

All documentation listed above should be submitted via the BHC Online Application Portal (provided by BHC) no later than 3:00 PM on Friday, August 25, 2023. Failure to submit the required documentation by the deadline may result in the project being placed in the lowest renewal spot.

Renewal Project Performance ScoreCard Detail and Scoring Ranges

The scoring range for each measurement is indicated in these instructions (below) and are to be used in the applicant's and reviewers' scoring processes.

In the sections below, the following is listed for each of the items contained on the Renewal Project Performance Scorecard:

A. The Performance Measurement
B. Rationale For Measurement
C. Data Source and How Calculated for each measurement
D. The Performance Point Scale for Scoring

Renewal Project Performance ScoreCard Detail and Scoring (points) Ranges

Organizational Capacity & Grant Management

11%

Did the project meet the Match requirements per HUD and CoC regulations (at least 25% or 0.25:1)?	Provision of Project Match is required by HUD and lack of required match is a compliance issue.	Source: Match Documentation Letters for most recently ended grant term Calc: Percent of Match documented against total funds expended NOTE: For projects with a leasing component, leasing funds do not require a Match. For these projects, the required Match of 25% applies to the total amount of expended funds minus the total amount of leasing funds.	**> 25% = 2 pts** **25% = 1 pt** **< 25% = 0 pts**
In the project's most recently ended grant year, what percentage of awarded funds were expended and drawn down from HUD?	Projects not fully using their award amount leaves resources unutilized that could have supported another community project.	Source: Project eLOCCS Printout – Budget Tab Calc: Divide the amount of funding expended by the total award amount	**90%+ = 2 pts** **85-89% = 1.5 pts** **80-84% = 1 pt** **75-79% = 0.5 pts** **70-74% = 0.25 pts** **< 70% = 0 pts**
What percent of CoC project funding was used for housing vs other activities/services?	HUD looks to maximize HUD funds for housing and utilize Match from other sources to provide supportive services in order to maximize the amount of households served.	Source: Project eLOCCS Printout – Budget Tab Calc: Divide the amount of rental assistance or leasing expended by the total amount expended	**80-100% = 3 pts** **70-79% = 2 pts** **60-69% = 1 pt** **< 60% = 0 pts**

Did the project draw down funds from eLOCCS at least quarterly during the most recently ended grant term?	Timeliness of drawdowns is specifically stated in the FY23 HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO.	Source: Project eLOCCS Printout – Voucher Tab listing each time the project drew down funds. Calc: Based on the project's grant term, was a draw down completed at least once every quarter?	**Yes = 1 pt** **No = 0 pts**
OVERALL ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY - **Does the agency have the staff to client ratio and organizational structure to perform the project and services proposed?**	It is critical that an organization be capable and resourced to carry out the project and services proposed in their application.	Source: Project Application and Attachments - Organizaitonal Budget, Most Recently submitted Federal Form 990, Most Recent Finnacial Audit including Supplementary Information and Other Reports and The Management Letter Calc: Answer Yes or No	**Yes = 5 pts** **No = 0 pts**

Equity & Inclusion			**11%**
Client Racial Equity Analysis: Data Quality	HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems. Analysis of CoC Data has shown that Black people are disproportionately affected by homelessness.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" from the "Race" line in Table 6a.	**0% = 2 pts** **1%-14% = 1 pt** **15% or more = 0 pts**
Client Racial Equity Analysis	HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems. Analysis of CoC Data has shown that Black people are disproportionately affected by homelessness.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Divide "Total" of "Black or African American or African" from table 12a by line 1, "Total Number of Persons Served", from table 5a.	**>53% = 3 pts** **50% - 52% = 2 pts** **47% - 49% = 1 pt** **46% or less OR a score of 0 pts was received in the previous section, "Client Racial Equity Analysis: Data Quality"= 0 pts**

Agency Lived Experience Analysis	HUD has stated an interest in including those with lived experience within homeless response systems.	**Source:** Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details **Calc:** Count each staff member and board director who has lived experience with homelessness and the homeless response system to determine the point value	**5+ = 3 pts** **3-4 = 2 pts** **1-2 = 1 pt** **0 = 0 pts**
Agency Racial Ethnicity Equity Analysis	HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparaties within homeless response systems.	**Source:** Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details **Calc:** Count each racial/ethnic/gender category within the organization's staff and Board of Directors to determine the point value.	**5+ = 3 pts** **3-4 = 2 pts** **1-2 = 1 pt** **0 = 0 pts**
EQUITY & INCLUSION FROM APPLICATION Does the Project application address equity and inclusion in all areas of the project? 1) Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals Narrative 2) Agency Racial Equity Narrative 3) Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience Narrative Lived Experience specific activities (or similar) listed below, will be scored • Representation on the organization's Board of Director's or other decision-making board • CoC Lived Experience Committee • Emphasis on hiring Person with Lived Experience • Use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback • Satisfaction surveys / comment cards	HUD has stated an interest in including those wiht lived experience, addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems, and improving assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals is one of HUD's priorities in the FY2023 CoC NOFO HUD states CoCs and projects should address the needs of LGBTQ+, transgender, gender non-conforming, and non-binary individuals and families including privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation in projects.	**Source:** Using all application content, the project proposal has made equity and inclusion a priority.	Agency already demonstates equity and inclusion with a plan to increase = 3 pts Clear plan for inclusion and equity = 2 pts No plan = 0 pts

CoC Participation   **3%**

Applicant is classified as an "Active" Member of the Continuum of Care (CoC) by attending at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings held in the past 12 months.	HUD expects that all CoC-funded projects actively participate within the CoC.	Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2022 – July 2023) Calc: Enter Yes if project applicant attended at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings	**Yes = 1 pts** **No = 0 pts**
Applicant agency has a leadership role in the Brevard County CoC as evidence by at least 1 of the agency's paid staff serving as Chair or Co-Chair of a CoC Committee or holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council	HUD expects that all CoC-funded projects actively participate within the CoC.	Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2022 – July 2023) Calc: Enter Yes if applicant is listed as having 1+ paid staff who holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council and/or serves as Chair/Co-Chair on a CoC Committee	**Applicant staff member Chairs/Co-Chairs a Committee and/or holds a seat on the Council = 1 pt** **Applicant staff member does NOT Chair/Co-Chair a Committee or hold a Council seat = 0 pts**
Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?	HUD has stated that all homeless assistance organizations should be involved in the coordinated entry process by helping households access the system and receive referrals.	Source: FY 2022 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries	**> 10% = 2 pts** **3%-10% = 1 pt** **< 2% = 0 pts**

Coordinated Entry   **3%**

Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT is the tool our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2022 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches" to determine points	**14%+ = 3 pts** **9% - 13% = 2 pts** **5% - 8% = 1 pt** **up to 4% = 0 pts**

Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT is the tool our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2022 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points	**> 10% = 2 pts** **3%-10% = 1.0 pt** **0%-2% = 0 pts**

Project Performance (Outcomes (HMIS DATA))			**51%**
OVERALL PROJECT PERFORMANCE- Project Application describes how the project will help improve the performance of the community's overall system, fills a gap/need within our system and move the community forward in achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals to make homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring in our CoC.	Comprehensive project applications should address these components.	Source & Calc: Application printout from e-snaps. Using all application content, the project describes the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance	**Clearly describes = 5 pts** **Somewhat describes = 3 pts** **Does not or vaguely describes = 0 pts**

Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	In accordance with HUD's Policy Priorities, CoC's should work closely with public and private healthcare organizations, PHA's, and local workforce development centers to maximize the use of resources available to end homelesssness.	Source: Application printout from e-snaps. Using all application content and attachments, determine if the application formally addressed this coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding/Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.	**Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts** **Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts** **Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 2 pts** **Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 1 pt** **No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers = 0 pts**
Housing First/Low Barrier: To what extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier?	HUD has expressly stated that programs need to follow a housing first/low barrier philosophy.	Source and Calc: Completed Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire – Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)	**Maximum of 24 pts**

Housing Stability: % of persons who remained in the PH project as of the end of the operating year or exited to a positive housing destination.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Add the total "Number of Stayers" from table 5a, line 8, to the Subtotal from the Total column on table 23c and divide by line 1 of table 5a	**90%+ = 5 pts** **80% -89% = 3 pts** **75% - 79% = 1 pt** **< 75% = 0 pts**
Length of Time to Housing: Average number of days between Project Enrollment Date and Housing Move-in Date	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the "Average length of time to housing" number of days from the "Total" column found on table 22c	**< 30 days = 3 pts** **31-60 days = 2 pts** **61-90 days = 1 pt** **91+ days = 0 pts**
Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who <u>maintained or increased</u> their <u>total income</u> (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or project exit.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a2.	**50% + = 5 pts** **40% - 49% = 4 pts** **30% - 39% = 3 pts** **20% - 29% = 2 pts** **10% - 19% = 1 pt** **< 9% = 0 pts**
Income - Earned: % of persons age 18 through 61 who <u>maintained or increased</u> their <u>earned income</u> as of the end of the operating year or project exit.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Earned Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a2.	**50% + = 5 pts** **36% - 49% = 4 pts** **24% - 35% = 3 pts** **16% - 23% = 2 pts** **8% - 15% = 1 pt** **< 7% = 0 pts**
Entered From: % of Participants admitted directly from the street or other locations not meant for human habitation, Safe Haven or Emergency Shelters.	HUD emphasizes the Housing First philosophy and requires both PSH and RRH to assist persons directly from the street, emergency shelter, or Safe Haven as a best practice to reducing a person's length of time spent homeless.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 15. From the "Homeless Situations" column, add the numbers from the following rows: "Emergency shelter", "Place not meant for human habitation", and "Safe Haven." Divide total figure by "Number of Adults (age 18 or over)" from table 5a, line 2.	**75% + = 3 pts** **65% -74% = 2 pts** **50% - 64% = 1 pt** **< 49% = 0 pts**

Exits to Homelessness: Less than 5% of program exits will be to another homeless destination	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 23c, in the "Other Destinations" section, obtain the % under Total, "Percentage" and subtract from 100.	**5% or less = 3 pts** **6% - 10% = 2 pts** **11% - 24% = 1 pt** **> 25% = 0 pts**
Non-cash Benefits - Annual: % of households with non-cash benefits at annual assessment.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in Row "1 + Sources" and Column "Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers" from table 20b. Divide by Line 16 from Section 5a.	**85% + = 3 pts** **50% – 84% = 1 pt** **<50% = 0 pts**
Non-cash Benefits - Exit: % of households with non-cash benefits at program exit.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number on table 20b in row "1 + Sources" and column "Benefit at Exit for Leavers." Divide by Line 7 from Section 5a.	**85% + = 3 pts** **50% – 84% = 1 pt** **<50% = 0 pts**

Project Populations (HMIS DATA)   **7%**

% of Chronically Homeless Persons Served	Ending Chronic homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 11 by Line 1 of Section 5a.	**> 50% = 1 pt** **26% - 49% = 0.5 pts** **< 25% = 0 pts**
% of Veterans Served	Ending Veteran homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 10 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	**> 50% = 1 pt** **26% - 49% = 0.5 pts** **< 25% = 0 pts**
% Youth Under the Age of 25 Served (aka UAY)	Effectively ending UAY homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 12 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	**> 50% = 1 pt** **26% - 49% = 0.5 pts** **< 25% = 0 pts**

% Parenting Youth Under the Age of 25 with Children Served	Parenting youth is a sub-population of UAY as well as families with children	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 13 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	**> 50% = 1 pt** **26% - 49% = 0.5 pts** **< 25% = 0 pts**
% Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	Persons fleeing domestic violence are a population of concern in HUD and local goals	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 14b Calc: Divide Total "Yes" in section 14b by Line 1 of Section 5a.	**> 50% = 1 pt** **26% - 49% = 0.5 pts** **< 25% = 0 pts**
Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by no income at entry.	Participants with no income at entry are considered harder to serve than those with income at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 18 Calc: Take "Adults with no Income" from the "Number of Adults at Entry" Column and divide it by Line 2 of Section 5a.	**50% + = 2 pts** **< 50% = 0 pts**
Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by 2 or more physical/mental health conditions at entry.	Participants with multiple physical/mental health conditions at entry are considered harder to serve than those with no or 1 condition at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - 13a2: Calc: In the "Total Persons" column on table 13a2, add the number in "2 conditions" and "3+ Conditions." Divide this number by the "Total Persons" number shown in Section 13a2.	**50% + = 2 pts** **< 50% = 0 pts**

HMIS Data Quality   **13%**

Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Project Entry Date	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report – 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Project Start Date" from table 6b.	**0% = 2 pts** **1% - 3% = 1 pt** **4% - 10% = 0.5 pts** **11% or > = 0 pts**
Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Relationship to Head of Household	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report – 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Relationship to Head of Household" from table 6b.	**0% = 2 pts** **1% - 3% = 1 pt** **4% - 10% = 0.5 pts** **11% or > = 0 pts**

Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Disabling Condition	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report – 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Disabling Condition" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts
Project's Data Quality: Destination	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Destination" from 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts
Project's Data Quality: Income at Entry	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Start" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts
Project's Data Quality: Income at Annual Assessment	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Annual Assessment" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts
Project's Data Quality: Income at Exit	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Exit" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts
Project's Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6d Calc: Enter "% of records unable to calculate" from the "Total" row from table 6d.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts