

**FY2023 HUD CoC Program Competition  
Inclusion/Exclusion from Priority Listing**

The Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC), as the lead agency and collaborative applicant for the Brevard County CoC, hereby issues, on **September 12, 2023 FINAL PROJECT SCORES for ALL PROJECTS**, this Notice to Project Applicants of inclusion / exclusion for the FY23 HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO.

**Inclusions (accepted and will be ranked):** The projects listed below will be included in the FL-513 FY23 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application at the amounts indicated in the "Amount Requested" column. All the projects will be listed on the FY23 Applications Project Priority Listing in the order listed below based on the CoC's Project Ranking Determination process. Included with this notice is the Renewal Project Scorecard for each of the scored Renewal and New Projects.

**Exclusions (Rejected):** There were two (2) projects submitted that will not be included in the FL-513 FY23 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application. One (1) was a voluntary reallocation and one (1) was rejected due to ranking and available funds.

**Application Amounts - Requested vs. Included (reduced or Increased):** The Amounts listed in the "Amounts Requested" column either match the amount the project requested or reflect a reduction based on the reallocation process and available funding.

**PROJECT RANKING DETERMINATION**

**1. Critical System Renewal Projects -** HMIS and Coordinated Entry - Recognizing that some CoC- funded projects are a basic requirement for overall CoC system processes and functioning, HMIS and Coordinated Entry dedicated projects will be ranked on the Project Priority Listing as numbers 1 & 2 respectively.

**2. Project Renewals -** Project Renewals - All other renewal Projects will be ranked in order of: 1) first-year renewal projects by project type with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first and Rapid Rehousing (RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest and 2) all other renewal projects with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first and Rapid Rehousing (RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

**3. Consolidated Renewals Project (incl. Expansions) –** Consolidated Renewals will be ranked closest to the highest-ranking project of the two individual projects being consolidated and given the same ranking number as that project. For example, the highest ranking of the two projects is 5 and the lowest ranking is a 7, the consolidated project will also be placed as a second ranking of 5.

**4. New Projects -** including CoC and DV Bonus will be placed at the bottom of the ranking, in the order of the scores received during the RFP process, unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

Agency / Project Name	Total Score	Accepted or Rejected	Rank	Amount Requested	Reallocated Funds	Cumulative Total	Tier
BHC - HMIS	N/A	Accepted (renewal)	1	\$71,455	\$0	\$71,455	1
BHC - Coordinated Entry	N/A	Accepted (renewal)	2	\$71,785	\$0	\$143,240	1
BHC- DV SSO BONUS	N/A	Accepted (1st time renewal)	3	\$18,529	\$0	\$161,769	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – PSH Heritage Park Expansion	N/A	Accepted (1st time renewal)	4	\$140,122	\$0	\$301,891	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – PSH Heritage Park Renewal	94.5	Accepted (renewal)	5	\$20,000	\$0	\$321,891	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – PSH Heritage Park Expansion II	107.5	Accepted (new)	6	\$50,000	\$0	\$371,891	1
BHC/Eckerd Youth Alternatives, Inc – RRH DV Bonus Project	N/A	Accepted (1st time renewal)	7	\$92,076	\$0	\$463,967	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2-23-2024	64.93	Accepted (renewal)	8	\$17,172	(\$34,864)	\$481,139	1
HfH Supportive Housing – PSH SSO Orchid Lake	103.67	Accepted (new)	9	\$50,000	\$0	\$531,139	1
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing	94.7	Accepted (new)	10	\$50,541	\$0	\$581,680	1
HfH Supportive Housing – PSH PH1 Project 2023-24	83.5	Accepted (renewal)	11	\$239,340	\$0	\$821,020	1

**FY2023 HUD CoC Program Competition  
Inclusion/Exclusion from Priority Listing**

HfH Supportive Housing – PSH PH1 Project 2023-24	83.5	<i>Accepted (renewal)</i>	12	\$144,642	\$0	\$965,662	2
BHC- Womens Center TH-RRH DV BONUS	N/A	<i>Accepted (new)</i>	DV-1	\$118,350	\$0	\$1,084,012	2
HfH Supportive Housing – HfH RRH Project 2023-24	N/A	<i>Rejected (voluntary reallocation)</i>	0	\$0	(\$32,832)	\$1,084,012	0
North Brevard Charities - Wager PSH	86.47	<i>Rejected</i>	0	0	\$0	\$1,084,012	0
BHC - Planning Grant FY23	PLAN - N/A	<i>Planning</i>	N.A	\$59,175	\$0	\$1,143,187	0
<b>Tier 1 Total = 93% of ARD</b>	\$ 821,020	<p align="center"><b>Project Type</b></p> <p align="center">HMIS - Homeless Management Information System</p> <p align="center">SSO - Supportive Services Only</p> <p align="center">PH - Permanent Supportive Housing</p> <p align="center">RRH - Rapid Rehousing</p> <p align="center">TH-RRH - Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing</p> <p align="center"><b>Renewal Project Possible = 128</b></p> <p align="center"><b>New Project Possible = 126</b></p>					
<b>Tier 2 Total = 7% ARD plus CoC Bonus (\$82,845)</b>	\$ 144,642						
<b>Total Tier 1 + Tier 2</b>	\$ <b>965,662</b>						
<b>DV Bonus</b>	\$ 118,350						
<b>Total of Ranked Projects</b>	\$ <b>1,084,012</b>						
<i>Planning Grant (excluded from ranking)</i>	\$ 59,175						
<b>Total FY23 HUD CoC Program Application</b>	\$ <b>1,143,187</b>						

# FY23 Ranking and Review Project Scorecard

Carrfour Supportive Housing	HH Supportive Housing	Community of Hope
-----------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------

## Renewal Projects

### Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Grant Management

10%

				Maximum Pts	Heritage Park Renewal Application	PH1 Project 2023-24	Path Of HOPE 2023-2024
<b>a</b>	Did the project meet the Match requirements per HUD and CoC regulations (at least 25% or 0.25:1)?	Provision of Project Match is required by HUD and lack of required match is a compliance issue.	Source: Match Documentation Letters for most recently ended grant term Calc: Percent of Match documented against total funds expended  NOTE: For projects with a leasing component, leasing funds do not require a Match. For these projects, the required Match of 25% applies to the total amount of expended funds minus the total amount of leasing funds.  >25% = 2 pts 25% = 1 pt <25% = 0 pts	2	2.00	2.00	2.00
<b>b</b>	In the project's most recently ended grant year, what percentage of awarded funds were expended and drawn down from HUD?	Projects not fully using their award amount leaves resources unutilized that could have supported another community project.	Source: Project eLOCCS Printout – Budget Tab Calc: Divide the amount of funding expended by the total award amount  90%+ = 2 pts 85-89% = 1.5 pts 80-84% = 1 pt 75-79% = 0.5 pts 70-74% = 0.25 pts <70% = 0 pts	2	2.00	2.00	2.00
<b>c</b>	What percent of CoC project funding was used for housing vs other activities/services?	HUD looks to maximize HUD funds for housing and utilize Match from other sources to provide supportive services in order to maximize the amount of households served.	Source: Project eLOCCS Printout – Budget Tab Calc: Divide the amount of rental assistance or leasing expended by the total amount expended  80-100% = 3 pts 70-79% = 2 pts 60-69% = 1 pt <60% = 0 pts	3	3.00	0.00	1.00
<b>d</b>	Did the project draw down funds from eLOCCS at least quarterly during the most recently ended grant term?	Timeliness of drawdowns is specifically stated in the FY23 HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO.	Source: Project eLOCCS Printout – Voucher Tab listing each time the project drew down funds. Calc: Based on the project's grant term, was a draw down completed at least once every quarter?  Yes = 1 pt No = 0 pts	1	1.00	1.00	1.00
<b>e</b>	OVERALL ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY - Does the agency have the staff to client ratio and organizational structure to perform the project and services proposed?	It is critical that an organization be capable and resourced to carry out the project and services proposed in their application.	Source: Project Application and Attachments- Organizational Budget, Most Recently submitted Federal Form 990, Most Recent Financial Audit including Supplementary Information and Other Reports and The Management Letter  Calc: Answer Yes or No  Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts	5	4.50	4.33	4.67
<b>Section 1. Total Score:</b>				<b>13</b>	<b>12.50</b>	<b>9.33</b>	<b>10.67</b>

### Section 2. Equity & Inclusion

15%

<b>a</b>	Client Racial Equity Analysis: Data Quality	HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems. Analysis of CoC Data has shown that Black people are disproportionately affected by homelessness.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" from the "Race" line in Table 6a.  0% = 2 pts 1%-14% = 1 pt 15% or more = 0 pts	2	2.00	2.00	2.00
<b>b</b>	Client Racial Equity Analysis	HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems. Analysis of CoC Data has shown that Black people are disproportionately affected by homelessness.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Divide "Total" of "Black or African American or African" from table 12a by line 2, "Total Number of Persons Served", from table 5a.  >53% = 3 pts 50% - 52% = 2 pts 47% - 49% = 1 pt 46% or less OR a score of 0 pts was received in the previous section, "Client Racial Equity Analysis: Data Quality" = 0 pts	3	3.00	0.00	1.00
<b>c</b>	Agency Lived Experience Analysis	HUD has stated an interest in including those with lived experience within homeless response systems.	Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details Calc: Count each staff member and board director who has lived experience with homelessness and the homeless response system to determine the point value  5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts	3	3.00	0.00	3.00

**Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Grant Management**

10%

				Maximum Pts	Heritage Park Renewal Application	PH1 Project 2023-24	Path Of HOPE 2023-2024
<b>d</b>	<b>Agency Racial Ethnicity Equity Analysis</b>	HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems.	Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details  Calc: Count each racial/ethnic/gender category within the organization's staff and Board of Directors to determine the point value.  5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts	3	3.00	0.00	3.00
<b>e</b>	<b>EQUITY &amp; INCLUSION FROM APPLICATION</b> Does the Project application address equity and inclusion in all areas of the project? 1) Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals Narrative 2) Agency Racial Equity Narrative 3) Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience Narrative Lived Experience specific activities (or similar) listed below, will be scored •Representation on the organization's Board of Director's or other decision-making board •CoC Lived Experience Committee •Emphasis on hiring Person with Lived Experience •Use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback •Satisfaction surveys / comment cards	HUD has stated an interest in including those with lived experience, addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems, and improving assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals is one of HUD's priorities in the FY2023 CoC NOFO HUD states CoCs and projects should address the needs of LGBTQ+, transgender, gender non-conforming, and non-binary individuals and families including privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation in projects.	Source: Using all application content, the project proposal has made equity and inclusion a priority.  Agency already demonstrates equity and inclusion with a plan to increase = 3 pts Clear plan for inclusion and equity = 2 pts No plan = 0 pts	3	2.50	2.00	2.00
	<b>EQUITY &amp; INCLUSION FROM APPLICATION</b> Does the Project application address the inclusion of individuals with lived experience in all areas of the project? 1) Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience Narrative Lived Experience specific activities (or similar) listed below, will be scored •Representation on the organization's Board of Director's or other decision-making board •CoC Lived Experience Committee •Emphasis on hiring Person with Lived Experience •Use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback •Satisfaction surveys / comment cards	HUD has stated an interest in including those with lived experience and is one of HUD's priorities in the FY2023 CoC NOFO.	Source: Using all application content, the project proposal has made the inclusion of individuals with lived experience a priority.  Agency already demonstrates inclusion with a plan to increase = 5 pts Clear plan for inclusion = 3 pts No plan = 0 pts	5	5.00	5.00	4.60
<b>Section 2. Total Score:</b>				<b>19</b>	<b>18.50</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>15.60</b>

**Section 3. CoC Participation**

3%

<b>a</b>	Applicant is classified as an "Active" Member of the Continuum of Care (CoC) by attending at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings held in the past 12 months.	HUD expects that all CoC-funded projects actively participate within the CoC.	Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2022 – July 2023)  Calc: Enter Yes if project applicant attended at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings  Yes = 1 pts No = 0 pts	1	1.00	1.00	1.00
<b>b</b>	Applicant agency has a leadership role in the Brevard County CoC as evidence by at least 1 of the agency's paid staff serving as Chair or Co-Chair of a CoC Committee or holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council	HUD expects that all CoC-funded projects actively participate within the CoC.	Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2022 – July 2023)  Calc: Enter Yes if applicant is listed as having 1+ paid staff who holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council and/or serves as Chair/Co-Chair on a CoC Committee  Applicant staff member Chairs/Co-Chairs a Committee and/or holds a seat on the Council = 1 pt Applicant staff member does NOT Chair/Co-Chair a Committee or hold a Council seat = 0 pts	1	0.00	1.00	1.00
<b>c</b>	Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?	HUD has stated that all homeless assistance organizations should be involved in the coordinated entry process by helping households access the system and receive referrals.	Source: FY 2022 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table  Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries  > 10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2	0.00	0.00	2.00
<b>Section 3. Total Score:</b>				<b>4</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>4.00</b>

**Section 4. Coordinated Entry**

3%

**Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Grant Management**

10%

				Maximum Pts	Heritage Park Renewal Application	PH1 Project 2023-24	Path Of HOPE 2023-2024	
a	<b>Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches:</b> % of Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT is the tool our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches" to determine points	14%+ = 3 pts 9% - 13% = 2 pts 5% - 8% = 1 pt up to 4% = 0 pts	2	0.00	2.00	2.00
b	<b>Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches:</b> % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT is the tool our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points	> 10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1.0 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2	0.00	2.00	2.00
<b>Section 4. Total Score:</b>				4	0.00	4.00	4.00	

**Section 5. Project Performance (Outcomes (HMIS DATA))**

51%

a	<b>OVERALL PROJECT PERFORMANCE-</b> Project Application describes how the project will help improve the performance of the community's overall system, fill a gap/need within our system and move the community forward in achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals to make homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring in our CoC.	Comprehensive project applications should address these components.	Source & Calc: Application printout from Esnaps. Using all application content, the project describes the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance	Clearly describes = 5 pts Somewhat describes = 3 pts Does not or vaguely describes = 0 pts	5	2.00	4.00	0.00
b	Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	In accordance with HUD's Policy Priorities, CoC's should work closely with public and private healthcare organizations, PHA's, and local workforce development centers to maximize the use of resources available to end homelessness.	Source: Application printout from Esnaps. Using all application content and attachments, determine if the application formally addressed this coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding/Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.	Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 2 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 1 pt No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service	4	24.00	24.00	0.00
c	<b>Housing First/Low Barrier:</b> To what extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier?	HUD has expressly stated that programs need to follow a housing first/low barrier philosophy.	Source and Calc: Completed Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire – Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)	Maximum of 24 pts	24	5.00	3.00	5.00
d	<b>Housing Stability:</b> % of persons who remained in the PH project as of the end of the operating year or exited to a positive housing destination.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Add the total "Number of Stayers" from table 5a, line 9, to the Subtotal from the Total column on table 23c and divide by line 2 of table 5a	90%+ = 5 pts 80% - 89% = 3 pts 75% - 79% = 1 pt < 75% = 0 pts	5	3.00	3.00	1.00
e	<b>Length of Time to Housing:</b> Average number of days between Project Enrollment Date and Housing Move-in Date	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the "Average length of time to housing" number of days from the "Total" column found on table 22c	<30 days = 3 pts 31-60 days = 2 pts 61-90 days = 1 pt 91+ days = 0 pts	3	4.00	5.00	0.00
f	<b>Income Total:</b> % of persons age 18 and older who increased their total income (from all sources) from project start to latest status.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a1.	50%+ = 5 pts 40% - 49% = 4 pts 30% - 39% = 3 pts 20% - 29% = 2 pts 10% - 19% = 1 pt < 9% = 0 pts	5	0.00	5.00	3.00

**Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Grant Management**

10%

				Maximum Pts	Heritage Park Renewal Application	PH1 Project 2023-24	Path Of HOPE 2023-2024
<b>g</b>	<b>Income Total:</b> % of persons age 18 and older who <u>increased</u> their <u>total income</u> (from all sources) <u>from project start to project exit</u> .	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a2.  50% += 5 pts 40% -49% = 4 pts 30% -39% = 3 pts 20% -29% = 2 pts 10% -19% = 1 pt <9% = 0 pts	5	3.00	1.00	0.00
<b>h</b>	<b>Entered From:</b> % of Participants admitted directly from the street or other locations not meant for human habitation, Safe Haven or Emergency Shelters.	HUD emphasizes the Housing First philosophy and requires both PSH and RRH to assist persons directly from the street, emergency shelter, or Safe Haven as a best practice to reducing a person's length of time spent homeless.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 15. From the "Homeless Situations" column, add the numbers from the following rows: "Emergency shelter", "Place not meant for human habitation", and "Safe Haven." Divide total figure by "Number of Adults (age 18 or over)" from table 5a, line 2.  75% += 3 pts 65% -74% = 2 pts 50% - 64% = 1 pt <49% = 0 pts	3	3.00	0.00	2.00
<b>i</b>	<b>Exits to Homelessness:</b> Less than 5% of program exits will be to another homeless destination	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 23c, in the "Other Destinations" section, obtain the "Percentage" of persons exiting to positive housing destinations from the bottommost cell of the "Total" column. Subtract this % from 100 to obtain the "Percentage" of those exiting to another homeless destination.  5% or less = 3 pts 6% -10% = 2 pts 11% -24% = 1 pt >25% = 0 pts	3	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>j</b>	<b>Non-cash Benefits - Annual:</b> % of households with non-cash benefits at annual assessment.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in Row "1+ Sources" and Column "Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers" from table 20b. Divide number by Line 15 from Section 5a.  85% += 3 pts 50% -84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts	3	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>k</b>	<b>Non-cash Benefits - Exit:</b> % of households with non-cash benefits at program exit.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in table 20b in row "1+ Sources" and column "Benefit at Exit for Leavers". Divide number by Line 8 from Section 5a.  85% += 3 pts 50% -84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts	3	5.00	4.67	3.67
<b>Section 5. Total Score:</b>				<b>63</b>	<b>49.00</b>	<b>49.67</b>	<b>14.67</b>

**Section 6. Project Populations (HMIS DATA)**

7%

<b>a</b>	<b>% of Chronically Homeless Persons Served</b>	Ending Chronic homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 12 by Line 2 of Section 5a.  >50% = 1 pt 26% -49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0.50	0.50	0.00
<b>b</b>	<b>% of Veterans Served</b>	Ending Veteran homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 11 by Line 2 of Section 5a.  >50% = 1 pt 26% -49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>c</b>	<b>% of Youth (Under the Age of 25) Served</b>	Effectively ending UAY homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 13 by Line 2 of Section 5a.  >50% = 1 pt 26% -49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>d</b>	<b>% Parenting Youth Under the Age of 25 with Children Served</b>	Parenting youth is a sub-population of UAY as well as families with children	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 13 by Line 2 of Section 5a.  >50% = 1 pt 26% -49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>e</b>	<b>% Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence</b>	Persons fleeing domestic violence are a population of concern in HUD and local goals	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 14b Calc: Divide Total "Yes" in section 14b by Line 2 of Section 5a.  >50% = 1 pt 26% -49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>f</b>	<b>Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by no income at entry.</b>	Participants with no income at entry are considered harder to serve than those with income at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 18 Calc: Take the number of "Adults with no income" from the "Number of Adults at Start" Column and divide it by Line 2 of Section 5a.  50% += 2 pts <50% = 0 pts	2	0.00	0.00	0.00

**Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Grant Management**

**10%**

				Maximum Pts	Heritage Park Renewal Application	PH1 Project 2023-24	Path Of HOPE 2023-2024	
<b>g</b>	Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by 2 or more physical/mental health conditions at entry.	Participants with multiple physical/mental health conditions at entry are considered harder to serve than those with no or 1 condition at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - 13a2: Calc: In the "Total Persons" column on table 13a2, add the number in "2 conditions" and "3+ Conditions". Divide this number by the "Total Persons" number shown in Section 13a2.	50% += 2 pts <50% = 0 pts	2	2.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Section 6. Total Score:</b>				9	2.50	0.50	0.00	

**Section 7. HMIS Data Quality**

**13%**

<b>a</b>	<b>Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Project Entry Date</b>	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Project Start Date" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2.00	2.00	2.00
<b>b</b>	<b>Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Relationship to Head of Household</b>	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Relationship to Head of Household" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2.00	2.00	2.00
<b>c</b>	<b>Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Disabling Condition</b>	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Disabling Condition" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2.00	2.00	2.00
<b>d</b>	<b>Project's Data Quality: Destination</b>	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Destination" from 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2.00	2.00	2.00
<b>e</b>	<b>Project's Data Quality: Income at Entry</b>	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Start" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2.00	2.00	2.00
<b>f</b>	<b>Project's Data Quality: Income at Annual Assessment</b>	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Annual Assessment" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2.00	2.00	2.00
<b>g</b>	<b>Project's Data Quality: Income at Exit</b>	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Exit" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2.00	0.00	2.00
<b>h</b>	<b>Project's Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness</b>	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6d Calc: Enter "% of records unable to calculate" from the "Total" row from table 6d.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2.00	2.00	2.00
<b>Section 7. Total Score:</b>				16.00	16.00	14.00	16.00	
<b>TOTAL SCORE (Sections 1-7):</b>				128	99.50	88.50	64.93	

# FY23 Ranking and Review Project Scorecard

## New Projects

North Brevard Charities	Carrfour Supportive Housing	HfH Supportive Housing	WAYS for Life, Inc.
-------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------	---------------------

PSH	PSH	PSH	TH-RRH
-----	-----	-----	--------

Maximum Pts	Wager PSH	HERITAGE PARK EXPANSION 2	PSH SSO - Orchid Lake	WAYS for Life Youth Housing
-------------	-----------	---------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------------

### Organizational Capacity & Experience

19%

<p><b>Organization's Capacity and Experience:</b> Federal, state, and/or local government grant experience and capacity of the organization and person(s) responsible for administering the project and overseeing all compliance requirements</p> <p>(a) working with and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive service needs; (b) developing and implementing relevant program systems, services, and/or residential property construction and rehabilitation; (c) identifying and securing matching funds from a variety of sources; and (d) managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems.</p>	<p><b>Source:</b> Application - Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative + Attachments - Organizational Budget and Most Recently submitted Federal Form 990</p>	<p>Extensive experience with government grants with high staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 6 pts.</p> <p>Some experience with government grants with some staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 3 pts.</p> <p>Minimum or no experience with government grants and staff has minimum/no experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 0 pts.</p>	6	4.67	5.50	5.33	5.33
<p><b>Cost Allocation:</b> Describe how your agency calculates costs shared between different projects within your organization, such as admin expenses, shared office space, etc. as applicable to the project being proposed.</p> <p><b>Agency Compliance -</b> describes organization's compliance history: (a) past compliance findings or concern for other funding sources, to include identifying the other funding sources, (b) compliance findings/concerns from other monitoring agencies (c) any Prior Audit Findings and Questioned Costs indicated in the agency's audited financial reports within the past 3 years or that are older but unresolved. (d) status of any of the compliance findings/concerns reported, such as resolved, unresolved.</p>	<p><b>Source:</b> Application - Cost Allocation Plan Narrative</p>	<p>Clear plan for allocation experience with Cost allocation = 6 pts</p> <p>Clear plan with little to no experience with cost allocation = 3 pts</p> <p>Plan is unclear = 0pts</p> <p>No deficiencies = 6 pts</p> <p>Technical/Corrected = 4 pts</p> <p>1 unresolved finding = 2 pts</p> <p>2+ unresolved findings = 0 pt</p>	6	4.33	6.00	5.33	5.60
<p><b>Overall Organizational Financial Health</b></p>	<p><b>Source:</b> Organizational Budget, Cost allocation Plan, Financial statements, Experience Narrative</p>	<p>Operational Budget reflects financial stability with multiple streams of funding = 6 pts</p> <p>Operational Budget reflects stability = 3 pts</p> <p>Operational Budget reflects instability = 0 pts</p>	6	4.33	5.50	4.00	5.00
<b>Section 1 Totals</b>			<b>24.00</b>	<b>18.00</b>	<b>23.00</b>	<b>20.00</b>	<b>21.60</b>

### Equity & Inclusion

13%

<p><b>Agency Lived Experience Analysis</b></p>	<p><b>Source:</b> Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details</p> <p><b>Calc:</b> Count each staff member and board director who has lived experience with homelessness and the homeless response system to determine the point value</p>	<p>5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts</p>	3	3.00	3.00	0.00	1.00
<p><b>Agency Racial Equity Analysis</b></p>	<p><b>Source:</b> Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details</p> <p><b>Calc:</b> Count each racial/ethnic category within the organization's staff and Board of Directors to determine the point value.</p>	<p>5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts</p>	3	2.00	2.00	0.00	1.00



			Maximum Pts	Wager PSH	HERITAGE PARK EXPANSION 2	PSH SSO - Orchid Lake	WAYS for Life Youth Housing
<b>Organizational Capacity &amp; Experience</b>			<b>19%</b>				
<b>EQUITY &amp; INCLUSION FROM APPLICATION</b> Does the Project application address equity and inclusion in all areas of the project? 1) Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals Narrative 2) Agency Racial Equity Narrative 3) Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience Narrative Lived Experience specific activities (or similar) listed below, will be scored •Representation on the organization's Board of Director's or other decision-making board •CoC Lived Experience Committee •Emphasis on hiring Person with Lived Experience •Use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback •Satisfaction surveys / comment cards	<b>Source:</b> Using all application content, the project proposal has made equity and inclusion a priority.	Agency already demonstrates equity and inclusion with a plan to increase = 5 pts Clear plan for inclusion and equity = 3 pts No plan = 0 pts	5	4.60	5.00	5.00	4.60
<b>EQUITY &amp; INCLUSION FROM APPLICATION</b> Does the Project application address the inclusion of individuals with lived experience in all areas of the project? 1) Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience Narrative Lived Experience specific activities (or similar) listed below, will be scored •Representation on the organization's Board of Director's or other decision-making board •CoC Lived Experience Committee •Emphasis on hiring Person with Lived Experience •Use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback •Satisfaction surveys / comment cards	<b>Source:</b> Using all application content, the project proposal has made the inclusion of individuals with lived experience a priority.	Agency already demonstrates inclusion with a plan to increase = 5 pts Clear plan for inclusion = 3 pts No plan = 0 pts	5	4.00	4.00	2.67	4.17
<b>Section 2 Totals</b>			<b>16.00</b>	<b>13.60</b>	<b>14.00</b>	<b>7.67</b>	<b>10.77</b>
<b>CoC Participation</b>			<b>4%</b>				
<b>Applicant is classified as an "Active" Member of the Continuum of Care (CoC) by attending at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings held in the past 12 months.</b>	<b>Source:</b> CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2022 – July 2023)  <b>Calc:</b> Enter Yes if project applicant attended at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings	Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts	2	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
<b>Applicant agency has a leadership role in the Brevard County CoC as evidence by at least 1 of the agency's paid staff serving as Chair or Co-Chair of a CoC Committee or holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council</b>	<b>Source:</b> CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2022 – July 2023)  <b>Calc:</b> Enter Yes if applicant is listed as having 1+ paid staff who holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council and/or serves as Chair/Co-Chair on a CoC Committee	Applicant staff member Chairs/Co-Chairs a Committee and/or holds a seat on the Council = 1 pt Applicant staff member does NOT Chair/Co-Chair a Committee or hold a Council seat = 0 pts	1	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
<b>Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?</b>	<b>Source:</b> FY 2022 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table  <b>Calc:</b> Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries	> 10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Section 3 Totals</b>			<b>5.00</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>3.00</b>
<b>Coordinated Entry</b>			<b>#REF!</b>				
<b>Coordinated Entry High Acuity Referrals/Matches: % of High Acuity Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients</b>	<b>Source:</b> FY 2022 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column  <b>Calc:</b> Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches" to determine points	14%+ = 3 pts 9% - 13% = 2 pts 5% - 8% = 1 pt up to 4% = 0 pts	3	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.00
<b>Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List</b>	<b>Source:</b> FY 2022 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column  <b>Calc:</b> Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points	> 10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1.0 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00
<b>Section 4 Totals</b>			<b>5.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>
<b>HMIS</b>			<b>#REF!</b>				
<b>Applicant's HMIS participation</b>	Applicant agency actively enters data into HMIS as defined as having entered data within the past 90 days (inclusive of any/all of applicants projects using HMIS)  DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points	Applicant actively enters data in HMIS = 1 pt. Applicant is an HMIS partner agency but is not actively entering data = 0.5 pts. Applicant is a non-DV provider and is not a current HMIS partner = 0 pts.	1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

			Maximum Pts	Wager PSH	HERITAGE PARK EXPANSION 2	PSH SSO - Orchid Lake	WAYS for Life Youth Housing
<b>Organizational Capacity &amp; Experience</b>			<b>19%</b>				
<b>Applicant's Overall HMIS Data Completeness (Quality)</b>	All HMIS projects are required to maintain satisfactory completeness (quality). If the applicant has current HMIS projects, all current projects will be used to determine the Agency's overall data completeness (quality).  DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points	Number of Categories with greater than 10% missing data:  0 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 3+ = 0 pts  Applicant is a non-DV provider and does not have a currently operating projects that are entering data into HMIS = 0 pts	2	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00
Section 5 Totals			<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>
<b>Project Proposal &amp; Performance (Outcomes)</b>			<b>56%</b>				
<b>Project Description:</b> Project Application describes how the project will help improve the performance of the community's overall system, fills a gap/need within our system and move the community forward in achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals to make homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring in our CoC.	<b>Source:</b> Application - Project Description Narrative. Using all application content, the project addresses the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance	Clearly describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals. = 10 pts  Somewhat describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 5 pts  Does not or vaguely describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 0 pts	10	6.50	9.00	8.33	10.00
<b>Project Health Services Partnerships:</b> Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	<b>Source:</b> Application - Project Description Narrative. Using all application content and attachments, determine if the application formally addressed this coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding / Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.	Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 6 pts  Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts  Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts  Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 2 pt  No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers but the narrative describes a relationship = 1 pts  No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers and the narrative does not describe a	6	0.00	2.00	6.00	0.00
<b>Housing First/Low Barrier:</b> To What Extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier?	<b>Source and Calc:</b> Application Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire – Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)	Maximum of 24 pts.	24	22.00	24.00	24.00	18.00
<b>Project Scope:</b> Describes the overall scope of the project including the clients to be served, which services will be provided and how they will be provided, and process for quickly assisting clients into permanent housing.	<b>Source:</b> Using Application Part 3, sections B and C; Part 4, sections A and B.	Clearly and in detail describes the overall scope of the project including the clients to be served, which services will be provided and how they will be provided, and process for quickly assisting clients into permanent housing = 3 pts  Describes, but lacks important details, the overall scope of the project including the clients to be served, which services will be provided and how they will be provided, and process for quickly assisting clients into permanent housing = 1 pt  Vaguely or inadequately describes, the overall scope of the project including the clients to be served, which services will be provided and how they will be provided, and process for quickly assisting clients into permanent housing = 0 pts	3	2.27	3.00	3.00	3.00
<b>Project Client Demographics/Target Populations:</b> Describes the project's proposed populations to be served, including identifying targets, and information demonstrating an understanding of the needs of the clients they propose to serve.	<b>Source:</b> Application - Client Demographics/Target Population	Clearly defines the target demographics of the individuals/households to be served with details that demonstrates an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 10 pts  Adequately defines the target demographic, but lacks some detail to demonstrate a full understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 5 pt.  Vaguely defines the target demographic, does not demonstrate an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 0 pts	10	7.00	10.00	8.67	9.33

