

Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2024 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2024 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It
- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.
- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.

- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Brevard Homeless Coalition, Inc.

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Brevard Homeless Coalition, Inc.

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.1.a.(1), V.B.1.e., V.B.1f., and V.B.1.p.	
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2023 to April 30, 2024:	
1.	select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC’s coordinated entry system; or	
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC’s geographic area:	

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC’s Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	No
3.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent	No	No
9.	Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Jail(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
14.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
15.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes

17.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	Yes	Yes
19.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	Yes
22.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
24.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
25.	Agencies Serving Survivors of Human Trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.				
35.				

By selecting "other" you must identify what "other" is.

1B-1a.	Experience Promoting Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section III.B.3.c.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's experience in effectively addressing the needs of underserved communities, particularly Black and Brown communities, who are substantially overrepresented in the homeless population.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC is committed to addressing the overrepresentation of BBI population in our system of care. We collaborate with underserved communities to operate programs that are both equitable & inclusive. For ex, this past year we onboarded a new agency serving the historically black community of Mims to provide outreach & RRH projects. Their Ex. Dir. grew up in Mims & now serves on our CoC Advisory Council. Additionally, we are partners in the "922 Crew" asset mapping initiative to geographically identify strengths & gaps within the 32922 zip code, which ranks as 89.5/100 on the Distressed Communities Index & is approx. 50% BBI. Input from partners in 922 asset mapping, our BBI Advisory Council members, partner organizations, LE committee & clients has led to the development of culturally responsive services & outreach strategies aimed at reducing barriers. We use data from HMIS to assess racial disparities in housing outcomes annually. By disaggregating data by race & ethnicity, we can identify where gaps exist & take corrective action. This analysis has helped us refine our CES to ensure equitable access to housing resources for BBI people. To further promote racial equity, the CoC adopted prioritization policies that ensure underserved populations have fair access to housing services, particularly RRH & PSH. The CoC offers cultural competency & anti-racism training to all staff & service providers that covers trauma-informed & culturally relevant best practices ensuring sensitivity to the barriers BBI populations face. We've embedded racial equity in our governance structure by ensuring diverse representation on the CoC board & other decision-making bodies so BBI voices are included in shaping CoC strategies & policies. The CoC's strategic plan, Housed & Healthy, was developed with input from BBI leaders & data from our annual racial equity analyses. It outlines specific actions to reduce racial disparities in homelessness, such as increasing equitable access to housing & employment for BBI individuals. Finally, we maintain a feedback loop with BBI communities to ensure our efforts remain responsive & effective. We regularly evaluate our progress & make adjustments based on community input. By embedding equity in our operations, training, & governance, we continue to reduce racial disparities in homelessness. To better quantify BBI rep. in the general membership body, we will incorporate race/ethnicity questions going forward.

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(2)	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
2.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in your CoC's geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC implements a transparent & inclusive annual invitation process to solicit new members, ensure accessibility, & address equity. We publicly communicate our invitation process on the BHC website, which features an open invitation & easy-to-use application link for anyone interested in joining as a CoC general member. This invitation is disseminated widely through multiple channels including partner agencies, social media platforms, email distribution lists, e-newsletters, & public events. To further expand our reach, we leverage our Board members' networks, engaging them to connect with community partners & spread the word about CoC membership opportunities.

2. We are committed to ensuring effective communication & access for persons with disabilities. Our CoC website is ADA compliant, & we provide all meeting materials & invitations in accessible electronic formats. We host our meetings in ADA-compliant facilities located along bus routes to maximize accessibility. Our membership includes agencies with bilingual services, including sign language, who we lean on for support when technical assistance is requested. Our digital platforms & applications are designed with accessibility in mind, catering to users with various disabilities.

3. Addressing equity is a key priority in our membership outreach. We have expanded our engagement with faith-based organizations serving vulnerable populations, including historically Black churches, through our partnership with Love INC. We proactively invite organizations led by & serving Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, & persons with disabilities to join the CoC. Our membership application includes questions about cultural competency & experience serving diverse populations, helping us identify potential partners who can enhance our equity efforts. We regularly assess our membership diversity & take proactive steps to invite underrepresented groups, ensuring our CoC reflects the communities we serve.

Through these comprehensive efforts, we strive to create a diverse, inclusive, & accessible CoC that effectively addresses homelessness in our community.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness. NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(3)	
Describe in the field below how your CoC:		
1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;	
2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information;	
3.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and	
4.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC), our CoC Lead Agency, solicits and considers opinions from a broad array of stakeholders. Our CoC includes individuals with lived experience, service providers, VSPs, faith-based organizations, government agencies, businesses, housing authorities, schools, healthcare providers, affordable housing developers, law enforcement, and veteran services. We're in the second year of our 2023-26 Strategic Plan implementation. During CoC membership meetings, we report on plan goals and hold workshops to gather input on critical topics, plan measurements, and activities. This input guides our standing committees (Housing, Lived Experience, Direct Services, and Data & Performance Measures) in achieving strategic plan goals. Additionally, we recently engaged a marketing firm as part of the strategic plan's "Share" goal. The marketing firm held an information gathering workshop that will lead directly to community-wide campaign messaging thus assuring all voices are recognized.

2.BHC regularly presents to city councils on homelessness issues. Our Executive Director has provided 2024 Point-in-Time Count results to Titusville, Cape Canaveral, Melbourne, and West Melbourne. We also presented on Florida Housing Bill 1365 (Anti-Camping/Sleeping on Public Property), receiving input from council members on next steps and solutions.

3.BHC ensures accessibility in all CoC operations. Meetings are held in ADA-compliant facilities, and our website is ADA-compliant. We use Zoom workshops and focus groups to expand participation for those with transportation barriers. We employ digital surveys for feedback and provide technical assistance as needed.

4.BHC incorporates public input to improve the CoC. Information from public forums is discussed at CoC Advisory Council, general membership, and BHC Board meetings. This process led to our 2023-26 strategic plan: Housed & Healthy Brevard. All meetings implement Strategic Plan priorities, ensuring community input is acted upon. For example, feedback on the anti-camping bill led to a countywide workgroup with key city and county staff and the Sheriff's department to develop a list of recommended solutions. We continually assess and adapt strategies based on community feedback, maintaining a responsive approach to addressing homelessness.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(4)		
Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:		
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;	
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;	
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and	
4.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Within the RFP included detailed information, links, and resources about the CoC Program, regulations and trainings, the HUD NOFO resources, e-snaps, FAQ's, and more, to provide comprehensive information to new organizations. The funding opportunity, RFP, and other resources were posted to the Lead Agency's website, social media, and also announced via email and in publicly accessible forums.
2. The RFP outlined HUD and CoC funding priorities and local scoring criteria, empowering new applicants to craft competitive proposals. To foster participation from new organizations, we conducted a well-attended Technical Assistance (TA) Workshop, promoted through various digital channels. Over 30 organizations participated, gaining insights into the competition and receiving comprehensive application guidance. This robust attendance has sparked new relationships, allowing us to collaborate with these agencies on program development for future funding rounds. The workshop not only provided immediate assistance but also laid the groundwork for expanding our network of potential service providers.
3. The RFP document, posted on our website, shared through announcements, and covered during the TA Workshop, provided step-by-step application instructions with links and directions for submission. A designated email address was set up for questions from new and returning agencies. The RFP established priorities based on CoC needs identified in the 2023-26 Strategic Plan. It detailed the Ranking and Review Committee's process for reviewing, ranking, and scoring applications. Scoring and ranking criteria were published in the RFP and announcement. Information on the Project Priority Listing, ranking directive, tier placement process, and procedures for developing the Project Priority List for the Collaborative Application were included to ensure competitiveness.
4. The RFP and announcement were communicated through our ADA-compliant website, ensuring effective communication with individuals with disabilities and accessibility in electronic formats. The TA Workshop had audio transcription enabled for those with hearing impairments and utilized the chat box for questions and answers. We leverage partnerships within the CoC General Membership to meet additional assistance needs not covered above, such as providing materials in alternative formats or offering one-on-one support for applicants with specific accessibility requirements.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	
	In the chart below:	
	1. select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.	

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	
18.		

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

In the chart below select yes or no to indicate whether your CoC:

1.	Consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG Program funds?	Yes
2.	Provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area?	Yes
3.	Ensured local homelessness information is communicated and addressed in the Consolidated Plan updates?	Yes
4.	Coordinated with ESG recipients in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients?	Yes

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated?	Yes
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure family members are not separated?	Yes
3.	Worked with CoC and ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients?	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance?	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting questions or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance by service providers?	Yes

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC) and the CoC maintain formal partnerships with several key educational entities to ensure coordinated services for homeless children, youth, and their families. These partnerships are established through MOUs, contracts, and policy agreements. The CoC has several formal agreements with Brevard Public Schools (BPS), the school district covering the CoC's entire geographic area. A key partnership with the district's Students-In-Transition (SIT) Liaison is outlined in an MOU for data sharing between BPS and HMIS. This collaboration includes: sharing data and coordinating referrals to ensure homeless families in the CoC's HMIS are informed of their educational rights under the McKinney-Vento Act; bi-monthly meetings led by the SIT Liaison to connect homeless service providers and education representatives to align efforts for serving homeless students and families; formal participation of BPS in the Point-in-Time (PIT) count, with 75 schools engaged in 2023 and 69 in 2024; and joint training for providers on informing families about their educational rights and accessing Coordinated Entry System (CES) resources. Additionally, the CoC and BPS implemented the American Rescue Plan's Homeless Children and Youth Project through a formal contract. This partnership formalizes the process for BPS to refer students and families experiencing homelessness to the CoC for emergency shelter, supportive services, and CE services. The SIT Liaison plays an active role in these referrals, ensuring that eligible families are connected to necessary resources. Furthermore, BPS's Director of Student Support Services holds a reserved seat on the CoC's governing board, ensuring educational representation in decision-making. This governance structure strengthens the alignment of CoC system planning with BPS efforts to address student homelessness. These formal agreements create a streamlined support system for homeless students and their families, enhancing access to education and fostering strong collaboration between housing and education providers. The CoC's ongoing partnership with BPS and ELC ensures homeless families have the resources needed to secure stable housing and maintain their children's educational stability.

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Who Have Recently Begun Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC uses to inform individuals and families who have recently begun experiencing homelessness of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC), as the CoC Lead Agency, and Brevard Public Schools (BPS) have long partnered through a MOU to inform individuals & families experiencing homelessness about their educational (ED) rights under the McKinney-Vento Act. This partnership ensures that families are aware of their right to immediate school enrollment, transportation assistance, & access to services comparable to those available to other students. Providers receive annual training on eligibility for ED services, equipping staff to effectively communicate essential information to families. When a family is ID'd, providers inform them of their ED rights & assist with school enrollment through the BPS Students-In-Transition (SIT) Liaison. The BHC places an annual order with the National Center for Homeless Education for informational materials, which are distributed to CoC provider agencies. Case managers review these materials with families & assist with referrals to the SIT Liaison for enrollment & ED services. BPS identified SIT families are informed of their rights, enrolled as SIT, & are able to be connected with CoC services.

While the partnership has historically focused on informing families about their ED rights, for the first time, the CoC & BPS have partnered together to jointly implement a program - the Homeless Children & Youth Project, funded by the American Rescue Plan. The program provides emergency shelter & support services to SIT students & their families that not only qualify as SIT, but also qualify as homeless per HUD & the CoC Program. As a result of this enhanced collaboration, there has already been a significant rise in the identification of homeless students. By 10/2024, 810 students have been ID'd as SIT, with only 25% of the school year completed. This indicates that the ID rate could increase by more than 80% compared to the previous school year, when a total of 1,348 students were ID'd by year end.

The SIT Liaison holds bi-monthly meetings with the CoC, provider agencies, & stakeholders to discuss student ID, services, and policy updates, fostering continuous improvement. Although the CoC has not formalized agreements with local technical schools and universities, a seat is reserved on the CoC Advisory Council for university representation. Through these comprehensive policies & procedures, the CoC ensures that families are informed of their ED rights, promoting stability & continuity for children & youth experiencing homelessness.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	Yes	Yes
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	Yes	Yes
3.	Early Childhood Providers	Yes	Yes
4.	Early Head Start	Yes	Yes
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program—(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	No
6.	Head Start	Yes	Yes
7.	Healthy Start	Yes	Yes

8.	Public Pre-K	Yes	Yes
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.			

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking—Collaboration with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.

In the chart below select yes or no for the organizations your CoC collaborates with:

	Organizations	
1.	State Domestic Violence Coalitions	Yes
2.	State Sexual Assault Coalitions	Yes
3.	Anti-trafficking Service Providers	Yes
	Other Organizations that Help this Population (limit 500 characters)	
4.		

1C-5a.	Collaborating with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers to Address Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.

Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations that you selected yes to in Question 1C-5 to:

1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC's geographic area are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC maintains strong collaboration w/ Victim Service Providers (VSPs) & federally funded programs to address needs of survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, & stalking. Key VSPs like Serene Harbor & South Brevard Women's Center actively participate in the CoC's strategic planning & policy development. These VSPs significantly shaped the CoC's 2023-2026 Strategic Plan, ensuring survivor needs were prioritized. Collaboration continues through VSP participation in annual Coordinated Entry (CE) evaluations & regular meetings to integrate their insights into CoC policies. The strategic plan commits to expanding housing options for survivors through developing safe housing beds w/local government & community partners. Including asset mapping to identify survivor resources. The CoC implemented updated 2023 Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) protocols, incl. enhanced safety planning & confidentiality measures, developed collaboratively w/ VSPs & Bell Data Systems, our HMIS vendor.

2. The CoC promotes best practices in trauma-informed care, victim-centered approaches, & safety planning across all housing & service providers. Training on these practices occurs at least annually & includes de-escalation techniques, privacy & confidentiality measures, & safety planning tailored to the needs of survivors. In addition, the CoC monitors providers annually to ensure adherence to these practices, checking that housing & services are individualized to meet survivors' needs. The CoC works closely w/ VSPs to ensure that safety & confidentiality protocols are strictly maintained during the coordinated entry process & across all services. The CoC has enhanced its CES to allow VSPs to make referrals directly from the comparable database into CES, ensuring a seamless, secure, & compliant process for survivors. This was achieved through collaboration w/Bell Data Systems, the CoC's HMIS vendor, & it ensures that no personally identifiable info. (PII) is shared during referrals. Instead, only the survivor's ID #, assessment score, & referral date are entered into HMIS. This maintains confidentiality while ensuring survivors are prioritized for housing interventions. VSPs can track referrals using the ID #, which is restricted to users of the comparable database & the HMIS Administrator, ensuring only authorized individuals can access survivor info. This system is regularly reviewed to ensure it meets the latest safety & confidentiality standards.

1C-5b.	Implemented Safety Planning, Confidentiality Protocols in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry addresses the needs of DV survivors by including:	
1.	safety planning protocols; and	
2.	confidentiality protocols.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC's CES prioritizes the safety and confidentiality of survivors through comprehensive safety planning protocols. All CES and project staff receive training on best practices in safety planning, trauma-informed care, and victim-centered approaches, conducted at least annually and covers essential areas such as confidentiality protocols, de-escalation techniques, and trauma. Specialized training on VAWA requirements ensures that staff can provide appropriate disclosures and support. VSPs, such as Serene Harbor and the South Brevard Women's Center, play a critical role in the CES by offering services to survivors like safety planning, legal aid, relocation assistance, and mental health support. To maximize accessibility, access to CE is provided through multiple channels—a 24/7 hotline, Street Outreach workers, Emergency Shelters, Transitional Housing programs, and in-person (by appointment) at secure and confidential locations. Programs accept emergency transfer requests from survivors for safety reasons. HUD VAWA forms 5380, 5382, and 5383 are provided at intake to educate survivors about their rights. All housing/tenancy agreements under the CoC incorporate VAWA protections via a lease addendum, allowing survivors to exercise their rights in several important ways that promote autonomy and confidentiality.

2. Over the last year, the CoC has made significant enhancements to its CES to ensure better safety and confidentiality for survivors. In collaboration with Bell Data Systems, the CoC's HMIS and comparable database vendor, a secure referral system was developed that allows VSPs to refer survivors directly into CES from the comparable database without sharing personally identifiable information (PII). After completing the CE assessment in the comparable database, an entry is auto-generated in the CE priority list in HMIS, including only the survivor's unique ID, assessment score, and date of referral. The CE Specialist notifies the referring VSP using only the survivor's ID number, ensuring that only authorized VSPs and the HMIS Admin can identify the survivor. Compliance with regulatory requirements is regularly reviewed, and CES staff strictly adhere to safety and confidentiality protocols, which are evaluated annually. The CoC's commitment to maintaining confidentiality is further supported by secure databases that allow for de-identified data sharing, ensuring survivors' privacy is protected throughout the process.

1C-5c.	Coordinated Annual Training on Best Practices to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

In the chart below, indicate how your CoC facilitates training for project staff and coordinated entry staff that addresses best practices on safety planning and confidentiality protocols:

		Project Staff	Coordinated Entry Staff
1.	Training Occurs at least annually?	Yes	Yes
2.	Incorporates Trauma Informed best practices?	Yes	Yes
3.	Incorporates Survivor-Centered best practices?	Yes	Yes
4.	Identifies and assesses survivors' individual safety needs?	Yes	Yes
5.	Enhances and supports collaboration with DV organizations?	Yes	Yes
6.	Ensures survivors' rights, voices, and perspectives are incorporated?	Yes	Yes

	Other? (limit 500 characters)	
7.		

** nbsp;**

1C-5d.	Implemented VAWA-Required Written Emergency Transfer Plan Policies and Procedures for Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below:

	1. whether your CoC's written policies and procedures include an emergency transfer plan;
	2. how your CoC informs all households seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance about their rights to an emergency transfer;
	3. what your CoC requires households to do to request emergency transfers; and
	4. what your CoC does in response to households requesting emergency transfers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.As mandated by the VAWA, our CoC has written policies and procedures that includes an Emergency Transfer Plan (ETP), which outlines the process for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking to request an emergency transfer (ET) to ensure their safety. The VAWA-compliant ETP specifies the conditions under which an ET will be granted and is in place across all CoC-funded projects. It includes provisions for confidentiality, ensuring PII is never disclosed without consent, and is designed to facilitate quick transitions for survivors who fear for their safety, ensuring that it is top priority.

2.Our CoC informs all households seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance of their rights to an ET via the the VAWA Notice of Occupancy Rights (HUD Form 5380) and the Self-Certification Form (HUD Form 5382) at the following times: admission to a housing program, when a household is denied assistance, and with any notice of eviction or termination of assistance. Project staff are trained to explain these rights clearly during intake, and written materials about VAWA protections are available in multiple languages. In crisis situations, staff also verbally inform survivors about their ET options to ensure they understand their rights.

3.To request an ET, a household submits the VAWA Self-Certification Form or other documentation that establishes their eligibility. Survivors are not required to provide extensive evidence beyond this certification. Households may submit their request to their housing provider or CE staff, either in writing or verbally. Our CoC ensures that staff respond promptly and confidentially to these requests.

4.Once a household submits an ET request, our CoC immediately begins assessing available options for alternate housing within the same project or other CoC projects to ensure a safe and appropriate transfer. Throughout the process, strict confidentiality is maintained, ensuring that the ET request and any related info is not disclosed to the abuser or any other party without the survivor's consent. The CoC also works closely with Victim Service Providers (VSPs) to ensure the household receives supportive services during and after the transfer, such as safety planning, counseling, and legal assistance. If a suitable housing option is not immediately available, temporary arrangements, such as emergency shelter placements, are made to protect the survivor while a permanent solution is identified.

1C-5e.	Facilitating Safe Access to Housing and Services for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures households experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have safe access to all of the housing and services available within your CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC ensures that households fleeing or experiencing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have safe access to all housing & services within the CoC through a trauma-informed, client-driven, & culturally relevant Coordinated Entry (CE) process. Our CE System (CES) ensures survivors can access all the same housing & services as all other populations experiencing homelessness, including those beyond Victim Service Providers (VSPs). We have developed new procedures in collab with our HMIS & comp database vendor, Bell Data Systems, to streamline referrals for survivors from the comp database to HMIS. These procedures will securely transfer anonymous survivor data, such as assessment scores & referral dates, w/out any personally identifiable information (PII). While full implementation is still underway, the groundwork is complete & we anticipate these improvements will soon further enhance the safety & efficiency of the referral process. During this transition, our current CE process ensures that survivors are safely referred using fictitious profiles in HMIS to trigger referrals to CE or via manual referral to the CE Specialist. This interim process ensures that survivors' data remains protected, & referrals are made without any PII being entered into HMIS, maintaining compliance w/ HUD standards. This approach ensures survivors have the same access as everyone else w/out risking their safety or confidentiality. Our CE process is trauma-informed & client-driven. Assessments are conducted using tools that are culturally sensitive & specifically designed to avoid re-traumatization. CE & project staff receive regular training on best practices for serving survivors that focuses on safety planning, confidentiality, & addressing both physical & emotional needs. Survivors can engage with CE through multiple pathways, including the 24hr 211 helpline, via HMIS by use of fictitious profiles, & through manual referrals. These options ensure survivors' safety & access to all available housing within our CoC, such as RRH, PSH, OPH, TH, and ES. Emergency transfer plans are in place amongst all CoC projects to protect households requiring immediate relocation for safety. By collaborating with VSPs, other service providers, & community partners, the CoC ensures that survivors have comprehensive, confidential access to all housing & services, while addressing their physical, emotional safety, privacy, & confidentiality needs.

1C-5f.	Identifying and Removing Barriers for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures survivors receive safe housing and services by:

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1. | identifying barriers specific to survivors; and |
| 2. | working to remove those barriers. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

Identifying Barriers: Our CoC has proactively identified & addressed barriers for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking during its recent overhaul of the Coordinated Entry System (CES) to improve access equity and streamline services. In identifying barriers, we found fragmented service access & privacy concerns. With the CES operating entirely within HMIS, barriers arose from the lack of real-time, automated data transfers (referrals) between VSPs & CE, which created fragmented access to services on account of manually sent referrals & required survivors to navigate complicated systems. Privacy & confidentiality is a top priority for our CoC, for which past methods of using fictitious profiles to safeguard survivor data impeded upon seamless referral processes & data quality.

Removing Barriers: The strategies to remove these barriers are/were as follows:
 1. In partnership with Bell Data Systems, Inc., the CoC's HMIS & comparable database vendor, functionality was developed to enable direct, automated referrals from VSP's comp database to CES by using the household's comp database ID # (cannot be used to identify survivors in HMIS & is only identifiable by VSP comp database users), date of referral, assessment score, and total persons in household, without any inclusion of personally identifiable information (PII). This eliminates the need for fictitious profiles, reduces delays, and ensures more efficient connections to housing and services for survivors.
 2. The revised process emphasizes the new trauma-informed assessment that utilizes VSP-trained staff to ensure survivors feel supported. The VI-SPDAT, previously utilized CE assessment tool, asked unnecessary questions that did not involve vulnerabilities/needs, and could potentially aid re-traumatization. This revised approach respects security & confidentiality while ensuring a seamless transition from VSPs to CES, enhancing privacy and reducing exposure to triggering environments.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+—Anti-Discrimination Policy and Equal Access Trainings.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

1.	Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy—Updating Policies—Assisting Providers—Evaluating Compliance—Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC regularly collaborates with LGBTQ+ and other organizations to update its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary to ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and able to meet the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals and families;
----	---

2.	how your CoC assisted housing and services providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy;
3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC regularly collaborates with LGBTQ+ organizations through governance bodies and working groups that engage stakeholders annually to review and update anti-discrimination policies. These groups include representation from LGBTQ+ led organizations & advocates, persons with lived experience, and housing/service/legal providers. All meetings are open to the public, with recommendations going before the CoC Advisory Council for adoption. These activities are conducted through a lens of equity, inclusion, & lived experience to ensure policies & procedures are developed with full participation of those most affected by them.

2. The CoC assists providers in developing consistent project-level policies through Watch Parties that incorporate anti-discrimination training. These trainings cover reducing barriers to intake, trauma-informed best practices, anti-discriminatory practices, and meeting the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals & families. The trainings help providers develop and refine their project-level anti-discrimination policies to align with CoC-wide policies, ensuring LGBTQ+ individuals & families receive supportive services, shelter, & housing free from discrimination.

3. The CoC evaluates compliance through comprehensive monitoring that includes:

- Annual on-site evaluations
- Quarterly remote monitoring
- Review of fair housing & non-discrimination policies
- Assessment of filed complaints/grievances
- Spot calls to program participants
- Monthly Data and Performance Measures Committee meetings to review scorecard metrics that can identify racial disparities
- Providers must demonstrate they grant equal access to services, housing, facilities, benefits, & accommodations in accordance with individuals' gender identity.

4. When non-compliance is identified, the CoC follows a clear process:

- Issues monitoring compliance notification letters detailing findings, areas of concern, & required corrective actions
- Withholds reimbursement payments until all findings/concerns are addressed
- Applies negative scoring impacts in competitions for discriminatory issues
- Ensures clients can transfer to another program of the same level of care in cases of discrimination to prevent returns to homelessness
- Most grievance complaints are handled at the sub-recipient level initially, with CoC oversight of resolution.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area--New Admissions--General/Limited Preference--Moving On Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the current CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with--if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing or Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2023 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Housing Authority of the City of Cocoa	100%	Yes-HCV	Yes
Housing Authority of the City of Titusville	44%	No	No

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

Describe in the field below:

- steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference--if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or
- state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The CoC, led by the Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC), has established formal and working relationships with all three PHAs in the county: the Housing Authority of Brevard County (HABC), the Housing Authority of the City of Titusville (THA), and the Housing Authority of the City of Cocoa (HACC). Each of these housing authorities holds a seat on the CoC's Advisory Council, ensuring active participation in CoC decision-making processes and follow-through on the ""Housed and Healthy Brevard"" strategic plan. The CoC has successfully worked with the two largest PHAs, HABC and HACC, to adopt homeless admission preferences. Efforts are underway with the City of Titusville PHA to work towards adopting a homeless/moving on preference. The Lead Agency partnered with these PHAs to distribute Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHVs) in the community. HACC's Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program has established homeless preferences for veterans and families, as well as moving on preferences for current Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) clients. Through partnership with the CoC, HABC has dedicated ""moving on vouchers"" under their moving on preference and offered ""chronically homeless vouchers"" under their general homeless preference. All voucher vacancies are reported to and receive referrals from the Coordinated Entry System (CES), ensuring a streamlined process for connecting homeless individuals and families with available housing resources. This collaborative approach, reinforced by the housing authorities' active participation in the CoC's Advisory Council and strategic planning, demonstrates a concerted effort to address homelessness through coordinated housing strategies across the county.

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	No
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	Yes
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.		

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process:

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	Yes
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	Yes
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	Yes
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
7.	Public Housing	Yes
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	
	Project Based Vouchers (PBV's)	Yes

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	No
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes
--	--	-----

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1D-1.	Preventing People Transitioning from Public Systems from Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the public systems listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1.	Prisons/Jails?	Yes
2.	Health Care Facilities?	Yes
3.	Residential Care Facilities?	Yes
4.	Foster Care?	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition.	14
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	14
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

You must upload the Housing First Evaluation attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every project—where the applicant checks Housing First on their project application—to determine if they are using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation;
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of your local CoC competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach; and
4.	what your CoC has done to improve fidelity to Housing First.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC evaluates every applicant/recipient claiming to use a Housing First approach. We employ a comprehensive Housing First-Low Barrier Questionnaire that all applicants must complete. We cross-reference these responses with our CoC's Housing First Assessment Tool, HMIS data, Coordinated Entry data, and client reports. This multi-faceted approach allows for a thorough assessment of Housing First implementation.

2. Our evaluations consider factors including: the absence of background screening requirements, no prohibitions based on criminal convictions, and the lack of sobriety requirements at entry or during program stay. We also look for the absence of mandatory drug tests, substance abuse treatment, or mental health evaluations prior to entry. Additionally, we verify that there are no income requirements for entry or continued stay, that supportive services are voluntary, and that efforts are made to avoid exits to homelessness. We ensure non-discrimination based on various factors including race, color, religion, national origin, disability, sex, sexual orientation, and gender identity/expression. The inclusion of participants with victimization history and overall low barriers to entry and retention are also key indicators we assess.

3. Beyond the annual competition, we conduct formal evaluations annually during the CoC Lead Agency's on-site grant monitoring, where the Housing First-Low Barrier Questionnaire is completed for each CoC and ESG-funded project. This is supplemented by APR reports from HMIS to verify demographics and subpopulations served. We also perform ongoing informal assessments, including random spot calls to program applicants/participants for real-time feedback on Housing First implementation. Provider and client file reviews during monitoring further verify adherence to Housing First principles.

4. To improve fidelity to the Housing First model, we've undertaken a significant redesign of our coordinated entry system over the past two years. The CES Lead Agency now has a full-time staff member dedicated to ensuring housing intervention referrals are followed through effectively. This centralized referral process enables close monitoring of client experiences, referrals, and program adherence to Housing First principles. Our new process has enhanced accountability across the entire continuum, involving providers, case managers, the lead agency, and clients in ensuring Housing First best practices are consistently followed.

1D-3.	Street Outreach—Data—Reaching People Least Likely to Request Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.j.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC tailored its street outreach to people experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. We have strengthened our commitment to SO in the 2023-26 Strategic Plan: Housed & Healthy Brevard, with the goal of reducing the number of individuals experiencing homelessness & the duration of chronic homelessness. To enhance our effectiveness in reaching underserved populations and streamline access to permanent housing (PH), we conducted workshops with CoC members, law enforcement, and direct service providers to revamp our CE system, further integrating SO into the process. The revised approach establishes dedicated, highly trained street outreach teams capable of conducting homeless verifications, enrolling individuals in the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS), and scheduling on-site assessments using a shared calendar. We now also provide bus passes for assessment appointments, addressing a previously unmet need. This formalized SO process creates essential case management connections for clients, guiding them throughout their journey to PH with a dedicated CE team member. We have expanded street outreach to include diversified outreach methods through mobile units. Circles of Care, the county's crisis stabilization unit, operates a Mobile MAT (M-MAT) bus that assesses and treats individuals for substance use and behavioral health disorders. Their trained assessors participate in the CE/SO process, conducting homeless verifications and enrolling unsheltered residents in HMIS. Daily Bread, which serves a largely chronically homeless population, conducts mobile street outreach and enrollments through its new Mobile Outreach Vehicle (MOV) program and food truck. They collaborate with Streetside Showers, which offers mobile shower and laundry facilities while conducting outreach across the county. Together, these services meet basic, critical life needs while building trust among the chronically homeless population. A new outreach provider, Matthew's Hope, conducts street outreach twice weekly via a van that travels to five cities in central Brevard, connecting with up to 90 individuals each outreach day. These efforts often connect unsheltered individuals to their outreach center, where they can receive hot meals, showers, laundry services, medical care from an onsite clinic, haircuts, and access to case management. Participants can also engage in work activities to support the program in exchange for additional services. Also part of the CoC CE/SO process is DOH-Brevard through their HIV outreach team, an identified subpop of concern.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate your CoC's strategies to prevent the criminalization of homelessness in your CoC's geographic area:

	Your CoC's Strategies	Engaged/Educated Legislators and Policymakers	Implemented Laws/Policies/Practices that Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness
1.	Increase utilization of co-responder responses or social services-led responses over law enforcement responses to people experiencing homelessness?	Yes	Yes
2.	Minimize use of law enforcement to enforce bans on public sleeping, public camping, or carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	Yes

3.	Avoid imposing criminal sanctions, including fines, fees, and incarceration for public sleeping, public camping, and carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	Yes
4.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		
		Yes	Yes

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) or Longitudinal Data from HMIS.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

		HIC Longitudinal HMIS Data	2023	2024
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC or the number of households served per longitudinal HMIS data, e.g., APR.	HIC	125	385

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Mainstream Benefits	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI–Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	SSDI–Social Security Disability Insurance	Yes
4.	TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
5.	Substance Use Disorder Programs	Yes
6.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
7.	Other (limit 150 characters)	
	The WIC Program/WIC Benefits and Early Learning Coalition Program and Services	Yes

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

- works with projects to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including those that provide substance use disorder treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services, including Medicaid; and
- promotes SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC provides current information on mainstream resources available for program participants on a weekly basis through the CoC's CE meetings, on a monthly basis through resource lists that include both mainstream benefit & other resources that are distributed via email, on a yearly basis through the CoC-led mainstream resources training, and on an as-needed basis when eligibility and/or programmatic changes to mainstream benefits occur. When these changes are made by the benefit provider (i.e., the State), the CoC distributes all information pertaining to these changes to housing and service providers via email. In efforts to streamline and increase healthcare service provision among program participants, the CoC has entered into agreements/MOUs with healthcare organizations, including substance abuse and mental health treatment providers. For ex, the CoC received "Challenge Plus2" funding from the State for HP & RRH for vulnerable populations, including those with substance use & mental health or co-occurring disorders. BHC entered into a MOU with Circles of Care, the county's only crisis stabilization provider and the behavioral health Managing Entity to serve these clients. BHC also incorporated Circles of Care into the CE process conducting homeless verification assessments ensuring the mental health/SUD population had equitable access to PH opportunities. The CoC trains and works with project staff to provide education on substance abuse and mental health supportive services, eligibility, and on conducting referrals to the healthcare service providers. In addition, the CoC Advisory Council holds seats for Hospitals, Federal Qualified Healthcare Centers, Behavioral Health, and Substance Abuse organizations to ensure that access & barriers can be addressed at the highest leadership levels in the community.

2. The CoC requires that organizations receiving CoC program funding must have at least one staff member, paid with program funds, who is SOAR trained and certified or will be within 120 days of grant agreement execution. The CoC has partnered with the behavioral health Managing Entity to host and provide a biweekly "SOAR Co-Hort" meeting that provides a space for trainees to ask questions, discuss the various training modules and progress, and to create a means of community & support amongst trainees. The CoC sends SOAR training and certification resources from SAMHSA to housing and service providers via email for promotion and updates.

ID-7.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies—Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent the Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to develop CoC-wide policies and procedures that:	
1.	respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and	
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC partners and collaborates with local and state public health agencies to leverage their expertise in order to strengthen the CoC's preparedness and ability to respond to infectious disease outbreaks. One such agency is the Florida Department of Health-Brevard (FDOH-Brevard). FDOH-Brevard has long-standing protocols for communicable disease investigation and prevention. Their tuberculosis, epidemiology and STD programs provide testing, screening and treatment free of charge to those without financial means, including our homeless population. Providers in Brevard County, as well as commercial labs report any positive disease on the reportable disease list to their department for surveillance and follow up as needed. FDOH-Brevard works with our CoC's community-based partners to gain an understanding of the particulars of the each circumstance as it relates to location, encampment conditions, etc.

2. The Florida Dept of Health-Brevard (FDOH-Brevard) provides ongoing vaccinations & education for COVID, Flu, Monkeypox, Hepatitis A, etc. and routinely presents at CoC membership meetings to spread the word. FDOH-Brevard routinely works with point of contact providers that see high volumes of homeless people, including local ERs and treatment centers, to provide consultation and follow up care as needed to clients testing positive. During the 2024 PITC, the FDOH-Brevard provided informational flyers and distributed items to prevent the spread of Hepatitis C and HIV/AIDS. The CoC also partners with the local hospitals and the County's only Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC), the Brevard Health Alliance (BHA), for which their CEO holds a seat on the CoC's governing board, to provide various outreach services. The BHA has established clinics in two of the County's PSH and affordable housing developments. The BHA mobile clinic provides healthcare services to the largest day shelter/soup kitchen in Brevard to prevent disease and promote overall health & wellness. BHA also provides prevential services at Circles of Care, Brevard's only Crisis Stabilization Unit. The Lead Agency is registered to receive prevention supplies from public healthcare partners, and therefore can dispense to member organizations & service providers.

ID-7a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases. NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	
Describe in the field below how your CoC:		
1.	effectively shared information related to public health measures and homelessness; and	
2.	facilitated communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The BHC, lead agency for the CoC, presented information on the intersection between homelessness and public health at a March 2024 Ryan White community meeting, highlighting unsheltered residents living with HIV. This presentation strengthened the relationship between the Ryan White lead agency and ours opening the door to joint public health efforts. We routinely collaborate with the DOH-Brevard HIV/AIDS Community Health Program Specialist, who is part of a resource asset mapping team in North Brevard along with the BHC and on the PITC outreach team. One of the CoC's largest providers' onsite medical team offers info on infectious disease prevention, vaccinations & condoms. Finally, the prevention of infectious diseases are in the 23-26 strategic plan (Prevent) which is presented at CoC membership meetings.

2. The CoC Membership meetings serve as the central place for facilitating communication on the collaboration between the public health agencies & homeless/housing & other direct service providers. The DOH-Brevard uses this space as a way to disseminate critical public health information & CoC meetings are held in the DOH-Brevard facility. To ensure providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants, the CoC registered and/or entered partnerships with various public health agencies to facilitate communications between the health agencies and homeless service providers. The CoC receives alerts/updates, recommendations, and/or need requests from entities such as the DOH-Brevard, the DOH-Florida, the Agency for Health Care Administration, and the Centers for Disease Control, for which the CoC then distributes to the appropriate providers. A critical component to the prevention of infectious disease is to ensure that housing and shelter providers had the necessary supplies in stock to maintain a disease-free environment. The CoC's leadership works with housing & shelter providers & public health agencies to organize bulk supply orders & gain access to certain supplies. During the PIT count, we order bulk supplies through DOH-Brevard to distribute to unsheltered people for health & safety (ie, condoms). Additionally, the CoC Advisory Council allocates 1 seat for a federally qualified healthcare center, the Brevard Health Alliance (BHA). The BHA plays a key role in relaying public health needs to CoC decision makers.

1D-8.	Coordinated Entry Standard Processes.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	can serve everybody regardless of where they are located within your CoC's geographic area;
2.	uses a standardized assessment process to achieve fair, equitable, and equal access to housing and services within your CoC;
3.	collects personal information in a trauma-informed way; and
4.	is updated at least annually using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC ensures individuals experiencing homelessness can access the CES from any location in the geographic area through its hybrid Multisite Centralized Access Model that offers multiple methods of access, ensuring there is an option for everyone regardless of their location or circumstances. Whether through the widely publicized 24-hour 211 Brevard helpline (phone access by dialing "211"), fixed sites at designated locations placed strategically by region, or mobile street outreach workers, we ensure comprehensive coverage across the geographic area. This approach guarantees that individuals can engage with the CE system by phone, in person, or through outreach in encampments or remote areas.

2. Our CE system uses a standardized assessment process across all access points, ensuring fairness and equity. As a result of our recent CE evaluation, we retired the use of the VI-SPDAT as our chosen assessment tool. Research conducted during the evaluation showed that the tool was not providing fair, equitable, or equal access to housing and services, leading to potential biases in prioritization. We have since developed new, standardized assessment tools tailored to better serve the unique needs of our population and consistently evaluates each individual's vulnerability and prioritizes them for housing services. The assessment process is adapted to address the needs of specific subpopulations, including individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, survivors of domestic violence, and those at imminent risk. Our CES regularly uses case conferences and by-name list meetings to review updated assessment information, ensuring households are appropriately prioritized for services. The CoC's prioritization scheme is based on vulnerability, length of time spent homeless, and special circumstances such as chronic homelessness or disability, ensuring that the most vulnerable receive services first. Through these measures, our CE system ensures fair, equitable, and comprehensive access to housing and services for all individuals experiencing homelessness, regardless of their location or circumstances.

1D-8a.	Coordinated Entry–Program Participant-Centered Approach.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;	
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;	
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their needs and preferences; and	
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people seeking assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CES is designed to ensure the highest-needs pops are prioritized for housing & services, while minimizing the burden on those seeking assistance. Following a recent eval & overhaul, fully immersed in HF principles, the system ensures that we are serving who we intend to & that the most vulnerable are served 1st. 1. A hybrid access model is employed, combining street outreach, community/volunteer outreach workers, fixed & mobile service sites, a 24/7 hotline, & shelters to ensure access across the geo-area. Special initiatives target hard-to-reach pops through specialized programs like Daily Bread's Mobile Outreach Vehicle, Circles of Care's Medicated Assisted Treatment van, & Streetside Showers mobile trailers, all being essential resources provided directly to those unsheltered, fleeing DV, & other vulnerable pops who may not otherwise seek assistance. This ensures that those least likely to engage w/ traditional systems are ID'd & connected to CE. 2. The CES prioritizes individuals based on vulnerability, with a specific focus on chronic homelessness (CH), health, & crisis service usage. Following the 24' PITcount that showed a 71% increase in CH, the CoC adjusted its prioritization model to align with HUD's recommended prioritization for PSH. This change ensures that the most vulnerable—especially CH individuals—are prioritized at the top of housing lists. These efforts ensure fair, equitable, & timely housing for those in greatest need. 3. Our CES uses a push system for housing referrals, auto-matching high-need individuals to available housing based on real-time data in HMIS. This system ensures participants can receive housing offers as soon as units become available. Calendly is used for intake scheduling to expedite the overall process & reduce delays from assessment to PH. By streamlining referral workflows & using real-time project openings in HMIS, the CoC ensures that those most in need of assistance are housed quickly, in alignment w/ their preferences & needs. 4. To reduce the burden, our CES has simplified the intake/assessment process to only collect essential info, avoiding unnecessary or invasive questions. We emphasize a trauma-informed approach, ensuring assessments minimize re-traumatization. All staff working in the CES, including outreach workers, & 211 hotline operators, receive training in best practices for handling client interactions w/ sensitivity. This approach minimizes the burden while ensuring quick & efficient support.

1D-8b.	Coordinated Entry—Informing Program Participants about Their Rights and Remedies—Reporting Violations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC through its coordinated entry:	
1.	affirmatively markets housing and services provided within the CoC's geographic area and ensures it reaches all persons experiencing homelessness;
2.	informs program participants of their rights and remedies available under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws; and
3.	reports any conditions or actions that impede fair housing choice for current or prospective program participants to the jurisdiction(s) responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC affirmatively markets housing & services to ensure housing and service resources, programs, events, meetings, and other opportunities reach all persons experiencing homelessness. The CoC's marketing efforts include posts to social media, the web, through the 24-hr service hotline, and posted in the community near highly trafficked places such as transit centers, libraries, and parks. The CoC's SO teams rotate through the different regions of the county/geographic area on a monthly basis, sometimes a weekly basis, to market housing/services to those who are unsheltered and have yet to access services. We collaborate with others in the community (libraries, airports, police, VA, municipalities, schools, churches, etc.) to ensure we reach all persons experiencing homelessness that encounter our partners and other groups. Our CoC refers persons to services and PH options based on their prioritization ranking on the CE list, regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identify, sexual orientation, age, familial status, or disability. We market housing, services, and providers on our website and social media platforms. Case managers (CM's) and CE staff review cases on a weekly conference call from the CE/by-name list and CM's then advise their clients of options available to them based on eligibility. 2. Grievance Procedures are shared with & explained to new program participants during intake at all CoC projects. Our Contract Oversight Unit (COU) reviews the CoC's grievance process, as well as the grievance and fair housing policies and practices established by providers, as part of annual monitoring and compliance review. 3. Reports of fair housing issues are made to the proper authorities, advocates, legal services, including the jurisdiction responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan, the Brevard County government. The County's Fair Housing Program offers education and information around housing discrimination, and also assists persons who believe their rights have been violated in filing a complaint. The County ensures the complaint is filed with the appropriate enforcement agencies. The County works closely with the CoC to report any conditions or actions that impede fair housing choice for program participants and prospective participants.

1D-9.	Advancing Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	09/03/2024

1D-9a.	Using Data to Determine if Racial Disparities Exist in Your CoC's Provision or Outcomes of CoC Program-Funded Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	the data your CoC used to analyze whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance; and

2.	how your CoC analyzed the data to determine whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance.
----	---

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC conducts a racial equity analysis at least once per year to determine if any disparities are present in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance. The data comes from the annual PIT count and our HMIS database. In the CoC's most recent analysis in September 2024, Blacks accounted for 9.7% of the local population while accounting for 25% of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness. Whites accounted for 74% of the local population while accounting for 65% of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness, a more balanced percentage. These findings of over and underrepresentation amongst these races mirrors the national trends. The statistics reveal significant disparities in homelessness among racial groups, highlighting systemic issues like discrimination and socioeconomic inequalities.

2. The CoC adopted and implemented the CoC Racial Equity Analysis Tool, the Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA), and Stella P for this purpose. These tools aid in understanding the race and ethnicity demographics of who is served and how effectively our CoC is at serving them. Through the analysis tool, the CoC compares racial distributions between persons experiencing homelessness and the general population to identify if certain racial groups experience homelessness disproportionately. Comparing the demographics of people experiencing homelessness to people experiencing poverty, the CoC is able to identify racial disparities in homelessness that poverty alone cannot account for. Demographic differences between people who experience sheltered and unsheltered homelessness are also identified through this tool. Through the use of the LSA and Stella P, the CoC assesses how the system is serving households that belong to different race and ethnicity groups, in different project types, through performance measures data such as the length of time people spent homeless, the amount of successful exits from homelessness to permanent housing, and the degree to which households that have exited the homeless system return. Once the CoC has reviewed the quantitative data from the tools and analysis, the CoC seeks input from people with lived experience, the CoC Lived Experience Committee, and the Data Performance & Measures Committee to understand how disparities are being experienced and design access and program strategies to improve equitable access, service provisions, and outcomes.

1D-9b.	Implemented Strategies to Prevent or Eliminate Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to prevent or eliminate racial disparities.

1.	Are your CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies representative of the population served in the CoC?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC identify steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC?	Yes
3.	Is your CoC expanding outreach in your CoC's geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups?	Yes
4.	Does your CoC have communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups?	Yes

5.	Is your CoC training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness?	Yes
6.	Is your CoC establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector?	Yes
7.	Does your CoC have staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness?	Yes
8.	Is your CoC educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity?	Yes
9.	Did your CoC review its coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
10.	Is your CoC collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system?	Yes
11.	Is your CoC conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.		

1D-9c.	Plan for Ongoing Evaluation of System-level Processes, Policies, and Procedures for Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's plan for ongoing evaluation of system-level processes, policies, and procedures for racial equity.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The CoC has established a comprehensive plan to implement and evaluate racial equity through structured, system-level processes. Our Strategic Plan anchors this work through two key goals: "Strengthen," which guides equity integration into CoC operational structures through committee oversight, and "Reduce," which establishes specific equity strategies across all service areas including outreach, Coordinated Entry access, assessment, support services, and housing interventions.

We've implemented concrete system-level changes to address racial disparities, including revising our Coordinated Entry system with a culturally sensitive assessment tool to replace the VI-SPDAT. This revision included implementing special prioritization for Persons of Color and LGBTQ+ populations. We require equity training for all CE access points, assessors, and verifiers to ensure consistent implementation. Our RFP process further reinforces equity by awarding additional points for provider diversity in staff, board composition, and project scope. The Lived Experience Committee plays a crucial role in planning and implementation, with three members serving on our Advisory Council.

2.Our evaluation framework ensures continuous monitoring and improvement of these initiatives. We conduct monthly reviews of HMIS data and System Performance Measures 1, 3, and 7 to track equity outcomes. Progress updates are provided quarterly at general membership meetings, with a comprehensive review at the CoC annual meeting. Regular assessment of diversity and racial equity measurements, combined with continuous stakeholder feedback through workgroups and committees, allows us to evaluate and adjust our approaches as needed. We regularly evaluate our CE process effectiveness through an equity lens and monitor how our prioritization criteria impact housing placement outcomes for underserved populations.

This systematic approach ensures ongoing evaluation and adjustment of our equity initiatives while maintaining accountability through regular reporting and oversight structures. The integration of feedback loops and data-driven decision-making enables us to continuously refine our policies and procedures to better serve all populations in our community.

1D-9d.	Plan for Using Data to Track Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	the measures your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and	
2.	the tools your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. In the "Reduce" goal of the Strategic Plan plan are strategies & objectives to address equity & inclusion, including racial disparities, for program participants at the direct service level within the provision of diversified outreach & engagement, access to CE, assessment, other support services, non-PH housing interventions, PH interventions, & in regards to returns to homelessness. These action items are measured using HMIS data and the System Performance Measures 1, 3, & 7. As identified action items, prevention efforts, and/or elimination efforts are achieved within the previously mentioned components, this is recorded and a percentage of completion is calculated for the associated items. In addition to tracking the actual progress of the Plan, progress is also tracked via the annual CoC Racial Equity Analysis via the tool made available by HUD. During this annual analysis, data and findings are compared with the previous year's analysis to measure any progress made on the prevention/elimination of disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance. This review and comparison of data and findings enables the CoC, the governing board - CoC Advisory Council, the CoC Lived Experience Committee, and the CoC Data Performance & Measures Committee to assess the progress made, determine which objectives and/or action items appeared to be most influential to the changes, and determine what gaps still exist in our CoC's equity progression. Through the provision/revision of strategies, plans, & action items, gaps in the CoC's equity progression are addressed to make further progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the system.

2. Our CoC implements various strategies and tools to measure the elimination of disparities in the provision and outcomes of homeless assistance. The primary tool we utilize is the HUD CoC Racial Equity Analysis Tool, which is designed to assess HMIS data for racial disparities within the system, and conducted at a minimum on an annual basis. Assessment of disparities also occurs during the annual CE system evaluation by completing an analysis of CE and census data. In addition, the CoC's Data & Performance Measures Committee reviews data & metrics to identify performance levels, equitable outcomes, and to make recommendations to the CoC Advisory Council for further improvement.

1D-10.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking—CoC's Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decisionmaking processes.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Brevard CoC has made significant strides in incorporating lived experience into our decision-making processes. In 2022, we adopted a Lived Experience Committee, comprising individuals who have experienced or are currently experiencing homelessness in Brevard. This committee provides insights into our homeless system of care, enabling us to make swift & effective adjustments to our programs & processes. We've implemented diverse outreach strategies to recruit committee members, including leveraging agency relationships, utilizing care system access points, seeking community referrals, & maintaining an active Facebook page that shares engagement opportunities & key CoC information. The committee's influence extends to the highest levels of our CoC governance. Two members hold seats on the CoC Advisory Council, others have lived experience and fill other reserved seats. The committee is chaired by an individual with recent, direct experience of homelessness & social services involvement in Brevard County. Currently, the committee is working to formalize its operations, focusing on developing procedures for recruiting & onboarding new members, establishing operating guidelines, mtg. attendance compensation, providing transportation options, & aligning goals with the Brevard CoC 2023-26 Strategic Plan. The impact of lived experience on our decision-making process is exemplified by the committee chair's participation in the 2024 Brevard CoC NOFO Ranking & Review Committee, ensuring the perspective of those w/lived experience directly influences our funding priorities. Furthermore, we've integrated the value of lived experience into our procurement process, awarding higher points to agencies demonstrating ownership or employment of individuals with lived homeless experience. Through these comprehensive efforts, we ensure that individuals with lived experience are central to our CoC's operations & planning. Regular committee meetings provide a platform for ongoing input, representation on the Advisory Council informs high-level decisions, involvement in the NOFO process influences resource allocation, & procurement incentives encourage broader inclusion of lived experience in service provision. By prioritizing lived experience at multiple levels, we develop more effective, empathetic, & responsive solutions to homelessness. This approach not only improves our services but also empowers those who have experienced homelessness to shape the systems designed to help them.

1D-10a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

You must upload the Lived Experience Support Letter attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
 Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the four categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Routinely included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	15	1
2.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	14	1
3.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	2	0

4. Included in the development or revision of your CoC's coordinated entry process.	10	0
---	----	---

1D-10b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness. NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	
---------	---	--

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Two seats on the CoC governing body, the Advisory Council, are filled with individuals who have direct lived experience with the Brevard CoC. CoC Advisory Council meetings provide for professional development and members share opportunities for employment and volunteering during meetings. Our partnership with Career Source Brevard's Crosswalk program continues. Crosswalk is an online referral platform that connects clients from one participating agency to another for professional development, training, life/financial skills, and employment opportunities. Agencies can range from CoC provider agencies to public and private sector employers and non-profits. Referrals through Crosswalk are documented and monitored in HMIS as part of case management. Crosswalk greatly increases professional development and employment opportunities, which in turn will positively impact our CoC SPMs for employment and income growth. Family Promise of Brevard, serves as the backbone agency for Elevate Brevard, a community collective impact model that brings together sector area leaders from 60 organizations to address barriers to post-secondary attainment and increase access to training and upskilling opportunities leading to economic mobility. Priority is placed on underserved communities and financially vulnerable individuals, including those living in households below the Federal Poverty Level and "working poor." Elevate Brevard includes Local College Access Networks which represent leadership in K-12, higher education, nonprofits, local government, business and economic development, and philanthropy as well as the Florida College Access Network. WAYS for Life, a youth serving Member agency, provides wrap around youth services with education and employment as key pillars including their GED Fast Track Program, partnerships with local businesses to prepare youth, including those aged out of foster care, with job training and placement, Career Pathways/Ready for Work program, and the LiftUp Life Skills program. Matthew's Hope, a Member provider agency serving unsheltered people, operates the Moving Forward Program which provides skills-based training in woodworking/repurposing of home decor items. These items are sold in a storefront in the community they serve (Cocoa). Other agencies, such as Love INC and the South Brevard Sharing Center employ previous clients in their respective thrift stores; and still others employ those with lived experience as peer support specialists.

1D-10c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness. NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	
---------	---	--

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
2.	how often your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
3.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people who received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program;
4.	how often your CoC gathers feedback from people who have received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program; and
5.	steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The LE committee provides input on CoC strategies, such as the need for additional mental health and substance use disorder services, and expanded transportation routes and times, throughout the year in committee meetings. The Chair of the LE committee, a CoC Advisory Council member, has direct experience with the Brevard system of care and provides bimonthly updates on committee-led discussions. One member of the LE committee is the Executive Director of a direct homeless serving organization that provides onsite services for those living unsheltered. During the meetings he is a direct link to the unsheltered homeless populations' voice.
2. The CoC holds quarterly general membership meetings during which those with LE can provide input into CoC strategies. During the process to develop our 2023-2026 Strategic Plan input was sought by engaging the LE committee in focus groups to better understand strengths, barriers and gaps in the CoC. The LE committee meets bi-monthly.
3. We routinely gather feedback in several ways. We speak with our CoC and ESG-funded street outreach workers who provide insight into client experience. Weekly the BHC's CE Manager leads a CE Systems call to discuss the By Name list. Calls consist of case conferencing between case managers who relay client obstacles/successes. This allows us to address gaps and barriers quickly. Monthly the CE Manager coordinates outreach that connect with homeless individuals. Via outreach, the Manager stays in monthly contact with those who are in the system. He also works directly with clients receiving housing services. The CoC recently revamped the CE process. The new CE process is designed to keep in constant communication with the client until they are housed.
4. Quarterly CoC membership meetings provide an opportunity to gather feedback. The BHC CE Manager routinely fields calls from existing and former clients regarding on-going needs, and he relays those to provider case managers to better the system of care. The CoC LE committee is developing processes to gather input through surveys.
5. Our CoC has taken direct action to address challenges identified through the LE committee. Ex. transportation routes and times were identified as barriers to accessing services. To address this, the BHC onboarded Executive Directors from Space Coast Area Transit and the Transportation Planning Organization onto the CoC Advisory Council.

1D-11.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.s.	
	Describe in the field below at least two steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months to engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:	
1.	reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and	

2. reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC governing board is chaired by the County’s Housing & Human Services Assistant Dir.; the Vice Chair is the City of Melbourne’s Community Dev. Dir. Four seats are filled with leadership representation from each entitlement district. All 3 housing authorities serve on the governing board. These members have been instrumental in developing the CoC strategic plan and incorporating it into their respective communities. Since the passage of the Live Local Act (LLA), concrete steps have been taken by the cities to reform zoning and land use policies to permit more affordable housing (AH) development and reduce regulatory barriers. This has been successful. The city of Melbourne is supporting Providence Place, a PSH development, by donating 3 acres of City property for a min. of 70 PSH units at/below 80% AMI for a min. of 50 years and via \$3 million in grant funding for construction of the units. The BHC, CoC Lead Agency, has advocated for this development at public hearings and in meetings with the Mayor and city staff. With the passage of Florida HB1365 (the "anti-camping" bill), BHC has convened a workgroup of high-level County (Dir., Housing & Human Services), city (City Managers) & law enforcement staff (Sheriff’s Office & local PDs) to create a menu of recommendations for addressing unsheltered homelessness. The workgroup is tasked with addressing jurisdictional costs of homelessness, low-barrier overnight shelters, development of PSH & other strategies for workgroup members to bring back to their commission and councils as recommendations. The overall goal is to create a county-wide plan so that no one jurisdiction bears responsibility.

2. In Melbourne, beyond the LLA, changes have been made to reduce barriers such as reduced land dev. regulations for parking, unit SF'age, setbacks & buffers; admin approval process for AH; residential density bonuses; & deferral/waiver of impact fees. Palm Bay, considers the policies, procedures, ordinances, regulations or plan provisions related to the increase in costs of housing. In Cocoa, expedited permitting policies & procedures ensure that affordable housing projects receive priority processing. Building permit & inspection fees for projects/projects completed on City property are waived to meet the housing needs of very low, low & moderate-income households, expand production of & preserve affordable housing, & further the housing element of their comprehensive plan specific to affordable housing.

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Advance Public Notice of Your CoC’s Local Competition Deadline, Scoring and Rating Criteria.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a. and 2.g.	

1.	Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for New Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC’s local competition.	08/16/2024
2.	Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for Renewal Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC’s local competition.	08/16/2024

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC’s eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., 2.d., and 2.e.	

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes

6.	Provided points for projects based on the degree the projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	Yes
----	---	-----

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below. NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	
--------	---	--

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	123
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	10
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-PSH

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process. NOFO Section V.B.2.d.	
--------	--	--

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	the severe barriers your CoC considered.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC's project scorecards collected data regarding each project's success in housing program participants in permanent housing using HMIS and APR data from 10/1/2022-9/30/2023, as well as narrative question answers from the project applications. Rating criteria included: Housing First/low barrier (24 pts); housing stability (5 pts); the average length of time it took from project enrollment to obtain permanent housing (3 pts); increased income and benefit sources from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (16 pts); percent of persons admitted as literally homeless (3 pts); and hard to serve project populations (9 pts).

2. For renewal projects, the CoC analyzed the average length of time from project start date to housing derived from the APR report, table 22c. Those with an average of 30 days or less received full points, with lower performance resulting in lower points. For new projects, narrative answers to project application questions were analyzed regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing. For these projects, scoring was based on how concise the reported outcomes were, if they were measurable, and the level of positive impact on overall system performance.

3. During the ranking, review, and selection of projects, points were awarded to projects that demonstrated a Housing First approach, a minimum of 47% of program participants were Black or African American, 50% admitted directly from literal homelessness, 50% PSH/26% RRH were Chronically Homeless, 26% were Veterans, 26% were youth under the age of 25 and youth under the age of 25 with children, 26% were fleeing domestic violence, 50% were "hard to serve" as defined by no income at entry, 50% were "hard to serve" as defined by two or more physical/mental health conditions at entry, and 5% of Coordinated Entry referrals were of the highest acuity. These measures were required to receive minimum points and higher points were obtained through higher performance on such measures.

4. Considerations in project scoring were made that included the degree to which a project served the chronically homeless, participants of the highest acuity and prioritized to be of the highest need, participants who are "hard to serve" as defined by no income at entry, and participants who are "hard to serve" as defined by 2 or more physical/mental health conditions at entry. Points were awarded to projects that included a leverage of healthcare resources.

1E-3.	Advancing Racial Equity through Participation of Over-Represented Populations in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.e.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC used input from persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, to determine the rating factors used to review project applications;	
2.	how your CoC included persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population in the review, selection, and ranking process; and	
3.	how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree that proposed projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and steps the projects took or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. In the CoC's last analysis, utilizing results from the 2024 unsheltered Point in Time count, Black, African, or African American individuals accounted for 9.7% of the local population while accounting for 25% of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness. The Hispanic/Latina(e/o) population experiences homeless at more equal rate of 11% (general population) to 3% (homeless population). The analysis, specifically for Black, African, or African American individuals, rendered that BBI were significantly overrepresented in our homeless population, although at a slight decrease from last year. Guidance of our Coc is executed through the 2023-26 strategic plan: Housed & Healthy Brevard, including the intentionality of removing barriers for BBI populations. One of the plan's key themes is that we believe in equity and inclusion with equitable access to all parts of the CoC. Under the 1st goal: Strengthen, we established an action item to develop guidelines & policies to ensure that we have representation of overrepresented populations on all committees, including the Ranking & Review Committee. Rating factors used to review project applications directly support the goals & objectives within the strategic plan. We also encourage BBI organizations to apply for CoC funding by offering technical assistance and participate in the decision-making process by incorporating BBI representation.

2. The R&R Committee designated to review, select, & rank all project applications, & approve the submittal of the Project Priority Listing, included BBI representation. As an example, one woman who participated is African-American, and owns a local business employing those who are formerly homeless. We seek representation from BBI community members who can use their unique voice & perspective to assess projects.

3. The project scorecard awarded a total of 14 points, or 11% of the total available points, toward projects that demonstrate support of diversity, equity & inclusion. Rating criteria for new & renewal projects included points if the applicant demonstrated equity & inclusion in improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ individuals, racial equity, inclusion of persons with lived experience, including specific activities (or similar) such as emphasis on hiring Person with Lived Experience, use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback, & satisfaction surveys/comment cards. BBI members of the Ranking & Review committee provided insight into barriers faced by overrepresented population.

1E-4.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;
2.	whether your CoC identified any low performing or less needed projects through the process described in element 1 of this question during your CoC's local competition this year;
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Reallocation of HUD CoC funding provides CoC's with the opportunity to 1) reallocate excess funding and 2) to move funding from low-performing projects to new projects with the intent that the new project(s) will be higher performing. For the FY 2024 HUD CoC Cycle, the CoC focused on voluntary reallocation, as described below:
 - Excess Funding Awards–HUD CoC funded projects, including those where the agency is a direct HUD recipient and/or a BHC Sub-recipient, should review their current renewal amount compared to their actual expenses over the past 2 funded years. If a project has not expended all funding awarded, that agency should consider the actual amount needed to fulfill their grant outcomes, including serving the same number of clients/households as well as units to determine what, if any amount, can be reallocated to a new CoC project.
 - Low Performing Projects–CoC Projects that have consecutively been in Tier 2 based on ranking and scoring of their projects should consider voluntarily reallocating their funding in the FY 2024 funding competition. Reallocated funding will be utilized for New Projects as allowable under HUD's FY 2024 NOFO, with any new project to be selected from new project proposals received as part of this RFP.
2. Our CoC did not identify any low performing or less needed projects through this process during our local competition this year. We are in desperate need of every program in our system of care.
3. Our CoC did not reallocate any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year.
4. We did not reallocate any projects this year for the following reasons:
 - a) We have reduced and reallocated projects over the last several years and are confident in our decision to renew every project due to their place in our system of care.
 - b) We need every unit of housing that we currently have.
 - c) The renewal project that was reduced last year is working through the new Coordinated Entry process to ensure the most vulnerable are served. They are committed to the new process and are demonstrating their ability to receive referrals to serve households from the coordinated entry list.
 - d) Given their commitment and progress, we chose not to reduce their project again.

Our CoC's approach emphasizes maintaining our current housing capacity while encouraging voluntary reallocation when appropriate. We continuously evaluate project performance and system needs to ensure effective use of resources.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2019 and FY 2024.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2019 and FY 2024?	Yes
--	--	-----

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC reduce funding for any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	No
3.	Did your CoC inform applicants why your CoC rejected or reduced their project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
4.	If you selected Yes for element 1 or element 2 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	10/08/2024

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	10/08/2024
--	--	------------

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Selection Results attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Project Names; 2. Project Scores; 3. Project Status–Accepted, Rejected, Reduced Reallocated, Fully Reallocated; 4. Project Rank; 5. Amount Requested from HUD; and 6. Reallocated Funds +/-.	Yes
--	---	-----

1E-5c.	Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application 2 Days Before CoC Program Competition Application Submission Deadline.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g. and 24 CFR 578.95.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC’s website or partner’s website—which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	10/25/2024
--	--	------------

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders by Email that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	

You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application was posted on your CoC's website or partner's website.	10/25/2024
--	---	------------

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Bell Data Systems, Inc.
--	--	-------------------------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC’s HMIS coverage area.	Single CoC
--	--	------------

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 HIC data into HDX.	04/22/2024
--	---	------------

2A-4.	Comparable Databases for DV Providers—CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
	1. describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in HMIS comparable databases; and	
	2. state whether DV housing and service providers in your CoC are using a HUD-compliant comparable database—compliant with the FY 2024 HMIS Data Standards.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Brevard CoC, in collaboration with Bell Data Systems Inc., ensures that all DV housing and service providers are equipped to collect and manage data securely and in compliance with HUD requirements. Bell Data serves as both our HMIS and comparable database vendor, streamlining processes and ensuring consistency in data standards across platforms. Recognizing the critical importance of using HUD-compliant comparable databases, the CoC identified that some DV providers were entering fictitious PII in HMIS. In response, we initiated a transition plan to ensure that all DV/Victim Service Providers solely use the comparable database. This transition process includes agency onboarding and biweekly user training tailored to the data security and confidentiality needs of DV providers. It emphasizes HUD's privacy standards, including the protection of PII. In addition to training, we offer continuous one-on-one technical assistance to support providers in this transition. By focusing on education, security protocols, and technical support, the Brevard CoC ensures that all DV providers can confidently and securely document services in the comparable database, prioritizing client safety and adhering to HUD's 2024 HMIS Data Standards.

2) The Brevard Homeless Coalition (HMIS Lead) closely oversees access to both the HUD-compliant HMIS and the comparable database provided by Bell Data Systems, and ensures compliance with HUD's 2024 Data Standards, including data security and privacy for survivors. Agency agreements and user-level permissions are managed through secure and encrypted technology, ensuring that only authorized personnel can access sensitive data. Our comprehensive training and technical support ensure that DV providers comply with HUD's data privacy standards. Bell Data's system meets all HUD security requirements, using encryption and secure access to safeguard sensitive information. All DV providers in our CoC are transitioning to the fully HUD-compliant comparable database from the regular database, supported by Bell Data's encryption protocols and secure access controls. By utilizing a single vendor for both the HMIS and comparable database systems, the CoC ensures seamless adherence to HUD's security requirements, safeguarding client confidentiality throughout the data collection process and in documenting client services.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate—Using HIC, HMIS Data—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c. and V.B.7.	

Using the 2024 HDX Competition Report we issued your CoC, enter data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current Non-VSP Beds [Column F of HDX Report]	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds [Column K of HDX Report]	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database [Column M of HDX Report]	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate [Column O of HDX Report]
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	55	26	81	100.00%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	17	0	17	100.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	252	21	283	100.00%

4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	364	21	364	94.55%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	328	0	79	24.09%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	356	0	208	58.43%

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c.	

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:

1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC acknowledges that our bed coverage rates for Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and Other Permanent Housing (OPH) are currently below the 85% threshold, standing at 24.09% and 58.43%, respectively. Notably, had we indicated "Yes" for HMIS participation regarding the HUD-VASH inventory—based on our successful implementation of the HUD-VASH HOMES to HMIS Translator Tool and the import of data into our HMIS when preparing the 2024 HIC and PIT—our overall bed coverage rate would have been 88.34%. This oversight stemmed from a misunderstanding that successfully importing HUD-VASH data via the tool constituted HMIS participation and has highlighted the need for clarity in our reporting processes.

To address the bed coverage shortfalls, the CoC will undertake the following actions over the next 12 months:

- Select "HMIS-Participating" on the 2025 HIC: After the successful use of the Translator Tool and data import into HMIS, we will ensure our status is accurately reflected in the upcoming 2025 HIC submission.
- Strengthen Partnerships with PHAs: Establish and enhance collaboration with local PHAs to ensure they understand the benefits of using HMIS. Engaging them in CoC meetings can foster stronger relationships and encourage them to participate in data reporting.
- Offer tailored training sessions to PHA staff: Offer training on how to effectively use HMIS. Highlight the importance of accurate data entry for funding and program effectiveness, emphasizing how it can lead to better outcomes for clients and the community.
- Refine Data Integration Processes: Continue utilizing the HUD VASH to HMIS Translator Tool to streamline our data integration processes, facilitating seamless uploads and improving data accuracy across all project types.

By proactively addressing these areas, the CoC is committed to increasing our bed coverage rates for PSH and OPH to at least 85% within the next 12 months. Recognizing the impact of our reporting choices, we will ensure accurate participation indicators to effectively reflect our true bed coverage.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.d.	
	You must upload your CoC's FY 2024 HDX Competition Report to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

Did your CoC submit at least two usable LSA data files to HUD in HDX 2.0 by January 24, 2024, 11:59 p.m. EST?	Yes
---	-----

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2024 PIT count.	01/25/2024
--	---	------------

2B-2.	PIT Count Data–HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 PIT count data in HDX.	05/09/2024
--	---	------------

2B-3.	PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth in Your CoC’s Most Recent Unsheltered PIT Count.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	engaged unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations in your CoC’s most recent PIT count planning process;	
2.	worked with unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified during your CoC’s most recent PIT count planning process; and	
3.	included youth experiencing homelessness as counters during your CoC’s most recent unsheltered PIT count.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC engaged youth serving organizations such as WAYS for Life during our most recent PIT count planning process. WAYS for Life, an organization serving transition-age youth through its drop-in center, played a significant role by serving as a fixed site on the day of the PIT count, where unaccompanied youth frequent daily. Our partnership with Brevard County Public Schools (BCPS), school district covering the entire CoC geo-area, and the Students in Transition (SIT) Liaison, strengthened through a HMIS MOU, enables data sharing across both systems. This not only helps identify all homeless youth but also provides additional opportunities to inform youth of their educational rights and assist them in receiving services. We provide training to the SIT Liaison, school SIT contacts/counselors, and other staff on the importance of the PIT Count, its process, and specific instructions for conducting surveys. The SIT Liaison sat on the planning committee, and 34 schools participated in surveying in the count. Other youth providers were also engaged in the planning process.

2. Youth homeless service providers provided valuable information on locations where homeless youth were likely to be found during the PIT count. WAYS for Life's drop-in center was identified as a key fixed-site location, as it serves as a wraparound service center frequented daily by unaccompanied youth, often as their only place to go. Unaccompanied youth also volunteered in the count and identified other hotspots where unsheltered youth were likely to be residing. These locations were incorporated into the routes for the day of the count, enhancing its effectiveness.

3. Unaccompanied youth who were experiencing homelessness volunteered as counters during our CoC's most recent unsheltered PIT count, working closely with street outreach teams. The Chair of the Lived Experience Committee, who aged out of foster care and experienced homelessness in our CoC, received services within our system of care as an unaccompanied and transition-age youth. Though she is no longer homeless, she volunteered during the PIT count, offering valuable perspective and insight. The CoC continues to prioritize youth involvement in both the PIT planning and execution.

2B-4.	PIT Count—Methodology Change—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.a and V.B.7.c.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;	
2.	describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;	
3.	describe whether your CoC's PIT count was affected by people displaced either from a natural disaster or seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance who recently arrived in your CoCs' geographic; and	
4.	describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or	
5.	state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2024.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. During our 2024 sheltered PIT count, we utilized a complete census methodology through HMIS data and provider surveys to conduct our count. We enhanced this methodology by implementing new data validation protocols for shelter bed counts, increasing provider engagement in HMIS data quality reviews, and adding verification steps for chronically homeless status documentation. We collected data from all emergency shelters, transitional housing programs, and safe haven projects within our CoC's geographic area. These improvements resulted in more accurate shelter bed utilization data and improved demographic information quality.
2. Our 2024 unsheltered count methodology improvements included expanding our dual approach (night-of count plus service-based count), enhancing GIS mapping to include new identified locations, strengthening deduplication processes between observed and surveyed individuals, and implementing geographic zone coordination. This resulted in comprehensive coverage across our CoC (Central 40%, South 51%, North 9%).
3. Our analysis confirms the PIT count was not impacted by displaced persons or recent arrivals. The data shows 81% of unsheltered persons have resided in Brevard County for 3+ years, 9% have been residents for 1-2 years, and only 10% have less than one year of residency. This data definitively demonstrates our homeless population consists of long-term residents rather than recently displaced individuals.
4. These methodological improvements revealed a total count of 1,116 people experiencing homelessness (compared to 1,052 in 2023), with 337 sheltered (23% shelter rate) and 779 unsheltered. The enhanced data collection methods helped identify that 63% of unsheltered persons report disabling conditions, yet only 32% receive disability benefits. Additionally, those homeless for longer than a year with a disability account for 45% of the unsheltered population, with only 3 individuals from this subpopulation having access to shelter. The improved geographic distribution data is enabling better resource allocation across our CoC.

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reducing the Number of First Time Homeless—Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;	
2.	describe your CoC’s strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Risk factors are identified in several ways. We communicate regularly with our CE access points, street outreach workers, 211 & other community providers to learn about risk factors. We also learn from the LE committee. The information is shared formally during CoC Advisory Council meetings (bimonthly), CoC General Membership meetings (quarterly), LE committee calls (bimonthly), Data Performance & Measurement Committee (monthly) & on Coordinated Entry calls (weekly). We also gain information from our faith-based community & law enforcement partners through crisis mobile co-responder teams. The information is both anecdotal & quantitative. Within HMIS, we analyze data from our SPMs, increased # of HMIS-reporting agencies & entries into the system, supportive/financial services provided, & CE assessment tools. We utilize data from 211, Brevard County Govt. & local municipalities. We study housing/real estate trends to understand how our local economy impacts first time homelessness. To identify trends, we rely on our Point in Time count, SPMs, & income & economic factors from trusted sources.

2. Our CoC reduced first time homelessness from FY22 to 23. In large part this was due to less people entering into ES programs given the reduction in COVID funding. Brevard County remained consistent with national trends, including drastic price increases in the rental market. For the 2nd year, our largest growing segment of first time homeless were older adults living on fixed income becoming priced out of the rental market. Local wages did not keep pace with rising inflation. The CoC 2023-2026 strategic plan addresses this in its Goal 3, Prevent (Reducing the # of individuals accessing the CoC) and lays out strategies to reducing individuals & families from entering into the system of care through increasing educational, social, health, & financial service supports to vulnerable populations, incl. Veterans. We analyze data from SPM 5 to assess progress in meeting goals. By 2026, our goal is to reduce this number to 1,081, decreasing 5% annually. In Sept. '24 we began regional asset mapping as a geographic representation of resources in a defined region (i.e., a zip code). Brevard County is 72 miles long & accessing resources can be very difficult & expensive. Visually mapping the resources will allow for residents to access prevention services that are geographically within in reach.

3. The Exec. Dir. for the Brevard Homeless Coalition is responsible for outcomes.

2C-1a.	Impact of Displaced Persons on Number of First Time Homeless.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b	

Was your CoC's Number of First Time Homeless [metric 5.2] affected by the number of persons seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance displaced due to:

1.	natural disasters?	Yes
2.	having recently arrived in your CoC's geographic area?	Yes

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Brevard County experienced a Category 1 hurricane in October 2024, Hurricane Milton. Residents seeking short-term shelter and those in need of housing assistance were directly impacted by the hurricane which caused significant flooding to existing encampment sites where our unsheltered residents were located. The BHC played a vital role in communicating with Brevard County government and direct service provider agencies, and in connecting individuals experiencing homelessness to County emergency shelters, which are only activated during hurricane events. The BHC, along with providers, also coordinated transportation and other needs of unsheltered residents. In total, the Brevard CoC was able to coordinate shelter for 76 individuals experiencing homelessness at the County’s hurricane shelters. Additionally, a CoC provider, Matthew’s Hope, opened their doors and sheltered 81 individuals directly on site. A total of 157 of Brevard’s unsheltered were able to stay safe during the hurricane and were provided a safe place to sleep and meals. Post-hurricane, three (3) individuals were placed in hotels due to their camps being flooded.

2. One individual from another Florida county evacuated to Brevard County contacted our CoC and we provided shelter and transportation support for that person.

2C-2.	Reducing Length of Time Homeless—CoC’s Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.c.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC’s strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;	
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our strategy is not only to continue employ previous strategies, such as a focus on landlord engagement, & maintaining access point/case management connections to clients and increasing supportive/financial services while in the CE process, but also incorporate new objectives in the 2023-26 strategic plan. The 2nd goal is "Reduce" meaning to continue reducing the number and LOT people experience homelessness, including those who are chronically homeless, and those fleeing domestic violence. This goal calls for the expansion street outreach to our most vulnerable populations and a retooled CE system, which has been accomplished. Objectives include reduction of the # of households and people served in the CoC, reduction of the average # of days spent homeless, and an increase in the %age of successful permanent housing placements and/or retention. SPMs 1, 3 and 7, among other measurements, will be analyzed to assess progress.

2. Our CoC identifies and houses individuals and families with the longest lengths of time spent homeless through our CE process. The CE process recently underwent a HUD-required evaluation/revamp in 23-24. The new CE created a streamlined approach for people in chronic homeless to access and flow through the CoC as they have the highest acuity and are best suited for PSH opportunities. Under the new CE system, 211 is the main access point and attempts diversion. If homelessness can't be resolved, they will request that homeless verification is completed from one of the CoC's designated outreach providers' staff. 211 schedules homeless verification assessment through an electronic calendaring process at designated fixed access sites, mobile outreach units or virtually through 211 itself. 211 also establishes the person or family in HMIS. This step is designed to ensure that those who are verified homeless are placed on the CES prioritization list and eliminates barriers to the verification process. Once a person/family is placed on the CES referral list, case conferencing occurs between the BHC, the referral agency, and the provider who will case manage the client until permanent housing is obtained. Case conferencing is ongoing throughout the client's journey to housing to ensure the client understands where they are at in the process, expectations, and connections are made to wrap around supports such as food and mental health counseling.

3. The Executive Director for the Brevard Homeless Coalition is responsible for oversight.

2C-3.	Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention –CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.d.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;	
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.From FY22-23, while we increased the rate of persons exiting to PH projects, we did experience less people entering into the system. There are several reasons for this. There were fewer public housing authority vouchers in our system of care and less funding from the CARES Act (COVID-19 funding) which drove down PH inventory. Our community critically needs more affordable housing inventory to continue to increase exits to permanent housing destinations. The 2023-2026 strategic plan: Housed & Healthy Brevard address the lack of affordable housing inventory. The strategic plan has 5 goals, each with associated objectives that are broken down into 1-year action plans. The 5th goal is to "Build" upon and create community and business partnerships to increase the affordable housing inventory. While this long-term and ongoing goal is to increase all units of affordable housing, our CoC has placed a special focus on those who are elderly, elderly with memory disorders, those who are physically or cognitively disabled, as well as those with priority assignments on the By Name List. One objective is to increase the supply of affordable housing and permanent supportive housing by leveraging partnerships with the HOME consortium projects, affordable housing and supportive housing developers (like Carrfour), and private sector developers. We are also beginning to implement a newly redesigned CE system to identify and streamline the process for people and families in homelessness. Our goal is to increase PSH beds 25% every year with a 2026 goal of 400 PSH beds.

2.The rate at which people residing in PSH/OPH exited to permanent destinations decreased this past year. Our goal is to increase employment income 49% by 2026 and increase non-earned income from 7% (2023) to 34% (2026). We'll analyze SPM2 for the prevention of returns to homelessness. Our CoC has begun integrating Career Source Brevard's Crosswalk, an online referral tool (case management/employment/financial/life skills) into HMIS for more efficient referrals. Clients will be referred along w/ their HMIS unique identifier for measurement purposes. We will also identify funding to support longer term case management beyond 24 months and provide high quality educational trainings opportunities for case managers.

3.The Executive Director for the Brevard Homeless Coalition is responsible for oversight.

2C-4.	Reducing Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.e.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;	
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate that individuals and families return to homelessness; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. BHC staff and the Data & Performance Cmte analyzes data points from HMIS reports. Identification of persons who return to homelessness is a measurement we use to gauge the effectiveness of programs. From our analysis, we've determined that the largest % of those returning to homelessness occurs within 13-24 months, often after case management has ended. We also scrub our CE By Name List for those who have been re-entered into CE after housing placement. Weekly CE/case management calls with provider agencies also help to identify those individuals and families who have returned to homelessness. The BHC's CE Manager (1 staff member) works with the CoC's outreach workers and can identify these individuals and families as well.

2. During FY22 to 23, we saw a decrease of returns to homelessness both within 6 and 12 months. Although the CoC experienced decreases in returns to homelessness, we know that increasing inflation around basic goods, wages not keeping pace, and mental health continue to be challenges that need to be addressed. All these factors can contribute to a return to homelessness. Within the 2023-2026 strategic plan is the Prevent goal which addresses a prevention of returns to homelessness after permanent placement within years one and two as well as increasing job and income growth for CoC program participants. Currently (23-24) we have an 4.8% (within 1 year) and 23% (within 2 years) rate of return to homelessness. Our goal by 2026 is to keep or reduce those percentages to 8% and 10% respectively and continue that trend. An analysis of SPM 2 will determine whether we meet this objective each year of our strategic plan. Our strategy to reduce the rate of returns is focused on case management. We will identify strategies to support long term case management for 24+ months as well as to provide high quality trainings for best practice case management protocols. This includes continuing case management services during lease renewal periods. We will also focus on expanding the offerings of supportive services, such as the Keys to Good Tenancy and financial skills programs. We'll encourage people to continue job and income growth through CoC member, Career Source Brevard, which has a multitude of training, education, and job placement programs. Finally, we continue to work with landlords to help mediate issues before they turn into evictions.

3. The Executive Director of the Brevard Homeless Coalition is responsible for oversight.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	

In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their employment cash income; and
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC recorded a 17.5% increase in the percentage of adults who increased their earned income from FY22 to 23, and increase of 2.5% from last year. We are very encouraged by existing strategies that led to the increased percentage; therefore we will continue to implement existing strategies while executing new ones as outlined within our 2023-26 strategic plan goal of "Prevent." Existing strategies include focusing on workforce development and increasing access through case management to post-secondary education and recertifications. We will also continue to engage with business sector leaders, those with decision making ability, to educate on the employment and skills needs of our vulnerable populations. The CoC has added business sector positions to the CoC Advisory Council to help address workforce development. In the new strategic plan, the Prevent goal seeks to increase, in part, household income through employment skills-based training.

2. Career Source Brevard, a CoC member agency, plays a major role in helping individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their employment cash income. Last year we integrated Career Source Brevard's Crosswalk, an online referral tool (case management/employment/financial/life skills) into HMIS for more efficient referrals, especially for individuals and families on the Coordinated Entry By Name List. People will be referred along with their HMIS unique identifier for measurement purposes. In addition to Crosswalk, Career Source Brevard offers on the job training programs and direct work experience with paid internships. Their job training is geared toward high-growth industries in Brevard such as manufacturing, aviation, aerospace, cybersecurity, and healthcare. Career Source Brevard offers specialized programs to increase earned income, such as for those 50+, veterans, workers w/ disabilities, young adults and those re-entering the community from incarceration. On the other side, they have agreements in place with Employ Florida and a multitude of businesses, both local and national businesses with a local presence. The CareerSource Senior Managing Dir. sits on the CoC Advisory Council. We are also working on our partnership w/the Brevard County Public Schools Adult programs. These programs train in high wage/high skill jobs designed to fulfill needed positions unique to the Space Coast (Brevard County).

3. The Executive Director of the Brevard Homeless Coalition is responsible for oversight.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and	
	2. provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC strategy is to provide education surrounding HMIS data quality best practices and best practices for client success which include increasing and retaining client income of all types. It is a requirement for agencies who are applying for funding to have S.O.A.R. certified staff tied to their proposals. This strategy is working as we experienced a 9% increase in non-cash income from FY22 to 23. We will continue to support S.O.A.R. trained case management staff to assist people with applying for expedited social security disability income, and for all case management staff to assist people with Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF), SNAP benefits (food stamps), the Women Infants Children (WIC) nutrition program, Medicaid, Medicare, and other mainstream resources for increasing non-employment cash income. This strategy ties directly into our 2023-26 strategic plan goal of "Prevent" by increasing an individual or family's total household income and therefore housing stability.
2. The Executive Director of the Brevard Homeless Coalition is responsible for oversight.

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	--	-----

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	--	-----

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.6.a. and V.B.6.b.	

If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
WAYS for Life You...	Joint TH-RRH	13	Both
TH-RRH DV Bonus P...	Joint TH-RRH	12	Healthcare

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? WAYS for Life Youth Housing Expansion FY2024
2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): EGYBWW2MWVV5
3. Select the new project type: Joint TH-RRH
4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing: 13
5. Select the type of leverage: Both

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? TH-RRH DV Bonus Project - FY24
2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): FKLNYZTNFKN3
3. Select the new project type: Joint TH-RRH
4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing: 12
5. Select the type of leverage: Healthcare

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--	----

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD’s implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

N/A

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serve Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	--	----

3C-2.	Cost Effectiveness of Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

N/A

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants for New DV Bonus Funding

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applicants.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?		Yes
--	--	--	-----

4A-1a.	DV Bonus Project Types.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the type(s) of new DV Bonus project(s) your CoC included in its FY 2024 Priority Listing.

	Project Type	
1.	SSO Coordinated Entry	Yes
2.	PH-RRH or Joint TH and PH-RRH Component	Yes

4A-2.	Information About the Project Applicant for the New Support Services Only Coordinated Entry (SSO-CE) DV Bonus Project.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(3)	

Enter in the chart below information about the project applicant that applied for the new SSO-CE DV Bonus project:

1.	Applicant Name	Brevard Homeless Coalition, Inc.
2.	Project Name	FL-513 DV Bonus SSO Expansion FY2024

4A-2a.	Addressing Coordinated Entry Inadequacies through the New SSO-CE DV Bonus Project.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(3)(c)	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. the inadequacies of your CoC's current Coordinated Entry that limits its ability to better meet the needs of survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking; and	
	2. how the proposed project addresses inadequacies identified in element 1 of this question.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC recognizes a critical inadequacy in the current CES, which operates entirely within HMIS, that limits our ability to meet the needs of survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. To refer survivors, Victim Service Providers (VSPs) have either manually submitted referrals, which can lead to delays and fragmented communication, or they've created fictitious profiles in HMIS without including any personally identifiable information (PII) to complete the referrals. While this method has been compliant and allowed for survivor protection, the separation creates inefficiencies in the referral process, requiring VSPs to manually navigate between systems, leading to delays, potential data quality and data duplication issues, an overall lack of seamless integration between service providers and CE, and potential compliancy risk with HUD standards.

2. The new SSO-CE project directly addresses these inadequacies by ensuring a faster, more seamless connection between VSPs and CE for housing referrals while maintaining survivor safety and confidentiality. In partnership with our HMIS and comparable database vendor, Bell Data Systems, Inc., we have developed new functionality that will allow for seamless data transfer, without PII, from the VSP's comparable database to the CES in HMIS. Rather than relying on manual referrals or fictitious profiles, users of the comp database will be able to initiate referrals, transferring only the household's ID# from the comp database (un-identifiable to HMIS users), their assessment score, date of referral, and household size. Upon prioritization and permanent housing project opening, the CE Specialist will notify the referring VSP that served as the Access Point of the referral. This unidentifiable data will significantly expedite the referral process, improve accuracy, and reduce manual errors while maintaining compliance with privacy and confidentiality standards under the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA). This approach also ensures that HUD's data privacy standards are upheld, reducing any potential risks associated with previous methods of referral submission. The new functionality also not only corrects the gaps in our current CES but also ensures long-term sustainability by enhancing the safety, speed, and effectiveness of survivor referrals to CE. It reflects our CoC's commitment to protecting the privacy of survivors while improving their access to critical housing resources.

4A-2b.	Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation in the New SSO-CE DV Bonus Project.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(3)(d)	
	Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors:	
	1. with a range of lived expertise; and	

	2. in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.
--	--

(limit 2,500 characters)

Survivors having diverse experiences of violence & homelessness will be engaged to ensure that their expertise shapes the design & delivery of services & will draw from a wide range of lived experiences (LE), such as homelessness, fleeing domestic/sexual violence, navigating emergency shelters, & accessing supportive services. Survivor participation in consultations, focus groups, & advisory roles will offer insights on safety, service accessibility, & trauma-informed care. Additionally, through the CoC's LE Committee, which includes individuals with both LE of homelessness, being survivors, & receiving services in the CoC, a formal platform will be provided for survivors to contribute to the project. This committee will ensure the voices of those directly impacted by homelessness & violence are continuously heard & integrated into program design & execution. To ensure meaningful participation in the development, implementation, & evaluation of policies & procedures, the project will:

- To support the involvement of survivors throughout the project, funding will be allocated within the project's budget to compensate individuals with LE of fleeing for their contributions, allowing survivors to take part in ongoing activities such as policy development, service improvement, & program evaluations without financial barriers.

- Survivors will contribute to reg working groups focused on improving service delivery, ensuring their input drives the ongoing refinement of policies & will also be involved in conducting annual program evaluations, offering feedback on trauma-informed practices, safety protocols, & service accessibility.

- Survivor feedback will be a key component of evaluating the project's success. LE will inform adjustments in service delivery, ensuring the project remains responsive to survivor needs & that services continue to be accessible, trauma-informed, & effective.

By creating formal pathways for survivor involvement, supported by budgetary allocations for compensation, the project will ensure that survivors are integral to every phase of the project & continuous involvement will make the project more responsive, effective, & centered on the needs of survivors.

4A-3.	Data Assessing Need for New DV Bonus Housing Projects in Your CoC's Geographic Area.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(c) and I.B.3.j.(3)(c)	

	1. Enter the number of survivors that need housing or services:	358
	2. Enter the number of survivors your CoC is currently serving:	237
	3. Unmet Need:	121

4A-3a.	How Your CoC Calculated Local Need for New DV Bonus Housing Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(c)	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC calculated the number of DV survivors needing housing or services in question 4A-3 element 1 and element 2; and

2.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects); or
3.	if your CoC is unable to meet the needs of all survivors please explain in your response all barriers to meeting those needs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The CoC calculated the number of DV survivors needing housing and services by utilizing data from the CoC’s Coordinated Entry System (CES), HMIS, the comparable database, and the 2024 Point-in-Time (PIT) Count. This data included referrals from Victim Service Providers (VSPs), focusing on individuals who sought housing assistance through VSPs and CES, specifically those meeting HUD’s Category 4 definition of homelessness. The CoC cross-referenced these numbers with crisis hotline calls and emergency shelter intake records to identify those actively fleeing violence and requiring permanent housing solutions, such as Rapid Rehousing (RRH) or Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH). Additionally, the CoC analyzed gaps identified during the 2024 PIT count, where DV survivors self-identified as homeless and in need of immediate housing solutions. By triangulating this data, the CoC accurately quantified the number of survivors requiring housing services.2.The data used to calculate the need for housing and services came from multiple sources to ensure a comprehensive and accurate reflection of demand. The primary data source was the CoC’s comparable database, which tracks all service provision for DV survivors while maintaining compliance with privacy regulations. HMIS, the 2024 PIT Count, and the 211 crisis hotline were also key data sources. The comparable database includes referrals from VSPs into the Coordinated Entry System (CES), and HMIS data from non-DV service providers, particularly shelters and outreach programs, contributed to the calculation of housing needs. External data sources, including Brevard County law enforcement records and local crisis helplines, were consulted to identify individuals requiring services who had not yet accessed CoC resources. Together, these sources provided a complete picture of local housing needs for DV survivors.
 3.N/A

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	

Use the list feature icon to enter information on each unique project applicant applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus projects—only enter project applicant information once, regardless of how many DV Bonus projects that applicant is applying for.

Applicant Name
South Brevard Wom...

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant that applied for one or more New DV Bonus housing projects included on your CoC's FY 2024 Priority Listing for New Projects:

1.	Applicant Name	South Brevard Women's Center
2.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors–Percentage	80%
3.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors–Percentage	100%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant's Housing Placement and Retention Data Explanation.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below:

1.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing placement;
2.	whether the rate for housing placement accounts for exits to safe housing destinations;
3.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing retention; and
4.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

(limit 1,500 characters)

1. The rate of housing placement (80%) was calculated by dividing the total number of survivors who successfully moved into permanent housing by the total number of survivors exiting the program. The calculation only includes survivors whose exit destinations were confirmed as permanent or transitional housing solutions, meeting HUD's definition of safe housing (any PH solution such as RRH, PSH, independent rental units, & temporary safe housing such as TH). This ensures that the data reflects the outcomes aligned with providing long-term stability for DV survivors. 2. Yes, the rate for housing placement includes exits to safe housing destinations. Any exit that involves an unsafe or unstable housing environment, such as returning to a situation involving violence, was excluded from the housing placement calculation. 3. The rate of housing retention (100%) was calculated by tracking all housed survivors for a period of 12 months post-exit from the program. During this time, the program confirmed that survivors retained their housing or transitioned to another stable and safe housing environment. The project team conducted follow-ups at 6- and 12-month intervals to verify housing stability, which led to the 100% retention rate for the program participants. 4. The data was extracted from the project's comparable database, compliant with HUD's 2024 Data Standards for VSPs.

4A-3c.	Applicant's Experience Housing DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below how the project applicant:	
1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;	
2.	prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan;	
3.	determined survivors' supportive services needs;	
4.	connected survivors to supportive services; and	
5.	moved survivors from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

SBWC not only participates in the CES but has contributed to the development of the CES for survivors, ensuring equal access to the CoC's housing & services. Prioritizing survivors for RRH & immediate stabilization, Victim Advocates (VA) work closely with landlords to secure housing & navigate tenancy barriers. SBWC also utilizes its own properties, including safe houses, transitional housing, & affordable housing. Through a HF approach, SBWC eliminates preconditions like income or sobriety, ensuring survivors are housed quickly.

Survivors are prioritized based on vulnerability & need through the CES. SBWC uses the CoC's trauma-informed assessment tool, ensuring survivor privacy & safety. The CoC's Emergency Transfer Plan (ETP) enables swift relocation for survivors facing ongoing threats, guaranteeing those at highest risk are prioritized for housing & services.

VA's conduct comprehensive needs assessments to evaluate the survivors safety, mental health, income, and housing barriers. SBWC connects survivors to services such as SNAP, TANF, Medicaid, & other mainstream benefits. Ongoing & regular assessment of service needs ensures services adapt to the survivor's evolving needs.

SBWC has built strong partnerships with local providers to ensure survivors receive essential support services. BlissCARES provides onsite medical care & STI/STD screening 2x/mth, while ProjectResponse offers HIV testing. An MOU with the area's major health system strengthens access to critical health services. Survivors receive priority for childcare assistance via the Early Learning Coalition through the CoC's MOU. The project also provides transportation assistance for attending appts., job training, & support services, reducing transportation barriers. Warm hand-offs ensure survivors feel supported during & after the transition to PH.

SBWC uses a trauma-informed approach to match survivors w/ appropriate housing per HUD's criteria. Working closely with the CoC & CE, SBWC addresses barriers such as landlord negotiations & secures necessary docs. Once a housing match is made, SBWC provides flexible move-in deposits & rental assistance. Advocates assist survivors in stabilizing by connecting them to critical community & mainstream resources. Advocates meet with households regularly to monitor progress & ensure stabilization, while helping survivors increase income & assume rent payments. Survivors are informed of how they can access further assistance after the program ends.

4A-3d.	Applicant's Experience in Ensuring DV Survivors' Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:	
1.	taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;	
2.	making determinations and placements into safe housing;	
3.	keeping survivors' information and locations confidential;	
4.	training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and	
5.	taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. South Brevard Women's Center (SBWC) offers intake services through various methods to ensure privacy and minimize coercion risks. Intake is available in person, via a 24/7 hotline, or through virtual appointments, allowing survivors to reach out when it is safest. For in-person services, support persons wait in a separate area, ensuring survivors can speak freely without external pressure. 2. Understanding that access to safe housing is critical, SBWC focuses on two criteria: imminent danger due to intimate partner violence and the survivor's readiness to transition to a violence-free life. No employment, transportation, or fees are required for placement. Once eligible, safety planning starts immediately, with housing placements available any time to avoid delays. 3. The location of our emergency and transitional houses is strictly confidential, shared only with essential staff and trusted partners. Client information is stored using HIPAA-compliant systems, and physical documentation is securely handled. Personally identifiable information is only shared with partner agencies upon signed consent when absolutely necessary. Through functionality developed by our HMIS and comparable database vendor, Bell Data Systems, Inc., referrals are made from the comp database to CES & HMIS agencies without sharing PII, ensuring confidentiality while providing quick access to services. 4. All staff undergo annual HIPAA privacy and confidentiality training through the Florida Coalition Against Sexual Violence (FCASV). Department-specific training is also regularly conducted, with ongoing education through FCASV, OVC TTAC, and the Florida Attorney General's Office, ensuring client safety and confidentiality protocols are consistently maintained. 5. Our emergency safe house is located in an undisclosed area, designed to appear as an ordinary residence, and does not appear under the organization's name in public records. It is equipped with a comprehensive security system including surveillance cameras, an electronic gate, panic buttons, and impact-resistant windows. On-site staff are present 24/7, with additional features like a fire suppression system to further ensure residents' safety.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant's Experience in Evaluating Its Ability to Ensure DV Survivors' Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

To ensure the safety of DV survivors served, South Brevard Women's Center (SBWC), the only certified rape center within our CoC geo-area, conducted a comprehensive evaluation of safety measures & protocols. This evaluation included regular assessments by Victim Advocates (VA) trained in trauma-informed care, confidentiality standards, and survivor-centered approaches. As part of this ongoing review, our team analyzed the physical, emotional, and privacy needs of DV survivors, identifying & addressing gaps to enhance survivor protection continuously.

The project evaluated safety through several methods: direct feedback from survivors, continuous monitoring of service delivery processes, and analysis of critical incident reports. Survivors participated in confidential surveys, allowing them to share concerns about their safety, which were then reviewed to identify trends, address potential risks, and adjust protocols as needed. Key safety considerations, such as controlled access to facilities, secure communication channels, and confidential documentation, were assessed monthly to ensure they adhered to the highest standards.

One area identified for improvement was further enhancement of privacy measures to reduce any potential risks associated with data sharing in housing referrals. In response, the project collaborated with the Brevard Homeless Coalition and Bell Data Systems, Inc. (HMIS & comp database vendor) to ensure that all referrals to the CES from the comp database did not contain personally identifiable information (PII), were fully compliant, & protecting survivor confidentiality.

To mitigate housing-related risks, SBWC reviewed & strengthened safety planning in collaboration with survivors, focusing on housing stability, secure tenancy, and ongoing support for DV survivors post-placement. VAs incorporated regular tenancy assessments and landlord mediation into the program, addressing potential housing barriers that could impact a survivor's long-term safety and independence. Through these evaluation practices, our project demonstrated a robust capacity to prioritize and enhance DV survivor safety continuously. The ongoing assessments have allowed us to uphold stringent safety standards while also remaining adaptable to meet evolving survivor needs, thereby ensuring our project aligns closely with HUD's expectations for the safety and protection of DV survivors.

4A-3e.	Applicant's Experience in Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches. NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below the project applicant's experience in:	
1.	prioritizing placement and stabilization of survivors;	
2.	placing survivors in permanent housing;	
3.	placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their preferences; and	
4.	placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their stated needs.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. South Brevard Women’s Center (SBWC) prioritizes the rapid placement and stabilization of survivors using a trauma-informed, Housing First approach. From the initial intake, Victim Advocates assess each survivor’s safety needs and housing options, ensuring swift transitions to stable housing. SBWC provides rental assistance, utility deposits, and supportive services to ensure survivors are stabilized in housing as quickly as possible. Ongoing support services help to address issues related to trauma, employment, and life skills, ensuring long-term housing stability.

2. SBWC has extensive experience placing survivors in permanent housing by leveraging partnerships with local providers offering RRH, PSH, & housing vouchers. Advocates work with survivors to find housing that fits their needs, helping them navigate the application and approval process. SBWC ensures that every survivor has access to a stable, long-term housing solution, with continuous support to maintain housing stability and prevent returns to homelessness.

3. SBWC employs a survivor-centered approach, ensuring survivors’ preferences guide the housing process. Advocates engage survivors in decision-making, understanding their desired housing type, location, and any safety considerations. Whether the survivor prefers to live in a particular area or in a specific housing type, such as scattered-site apartments or homes closer to family, SBWC honors these preferences while securing housing options that fit their unique circumstances.

4. SBWC customizes housing placements and stabilization services based on survivors’ stated needs. These needs are assessed during intake and continuously updated through case management. Advocates address safety concerns, family dynamics, access to resources like childcare or employment, and trauma-related challenges. SBWC offers wraparound services, including legal support, counseling, and income assistance, ensuring survivors are holistically supported and can maintain long-term housing stability.

4A-3f.	Applicant’s Experience in Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant’s experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:

1.	establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures survivors and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;
2.	providing survivors access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing survivors with information on the effects of trauma;
3.	emphasizing survivors’ strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
4.	centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
5.	providing a variety of opportunities for survivors’ connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
6.	offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

1. South Brevard Women’s Center (SBWC) ensures that interactions between survivors and staff are based on equality, agency, and mutual respect. The project does not use punitive interventions or exert control over survivors. Instead, SBWC adopts a trauma-informed approach that emphasizes empowerment and minimizes power differentials. Victim Advocates collaborate with survivors to develop individualized plans based on their personal goals. Survivors are encouraged to make their own decisions regarding their housing, safety, and recovery without pressure. Staff are trained to maintain respectful, non-judgmental communication and to recognize the inherent strength in every survivor, fostering an environment that promotes trust and healing.
2. SBWC equips survivors with valuable information on trauma and its effects as part of the support process. Staff are trained on the impact of trauma and how it manifests in various ways, allowing them to better understand survivors’ experiences. Survivors receive educational materials on trauma, including how it affects mental health, relationships, and decision-making. Advocates offer one-on-one sessions where survivors can learn about trauma recovery and coping strategies. This educational approach empowers survivors to better understand their own reactions and progress in their healing journey, while ensuring they are informed participants in their care.
3. SBWC emphasizes survivors’ strengths through a strength-based approach to case management and goal setting. Staff use tools and questionnaires designed to highlight survivors’ capabilities, focusing on their resilience, resourcefulness, and potential. Survivors work with their advocates to develop personalized, strength-based case plans that align with their self-defined goals and aspirations. Rather than focusing on deficits or past victimization, the project centers on survivors’ positive attributes and future potential, empowering them to take active roles in their recovery and long-term stability.
4. SBWC is committed to cultural responsiveness and inclusivity in all services. Staff receive ongoing training on cultural competence, equal access, and nondiscrimination, ensuring they are equipped to provide trauma-informed care that respects each survivor’s cultural background. The project uses language access services for non-English speaking survivors and strives to remove any barriers to care based on race, ethnicity, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, or disability. By fostering a culturally inclusive environment, SBWC ensures that all survivors feel welcomed and respected, with services tailored to meet their diverse needs.
5. SBWC recognizes the importance of connection in the healing process and offers various opportunities for survivors to build meaningful relationships. Survivors can participate in peer-to-peer support groups, where they connect with others who have shared similar experiences. Additionally, SBWC facilitates mentorship opportunities, allowing survivors to learn from individuals further along in their recovery journey. The project also addresses spiritual needs by offering connections to faith-based resources, if desired by the survivor. These opportunities help survivors feel supported, understood, and less isolated as they navigate their recovery.
6. SBWC provides trauma-informed parenting support to survivors, recognizing that many survivors are also parents who face unique challenges. The project offers parenting classes that focus on the impact of trauma on children and parenting techniques to foster healthy, supportive family environments. Advocates connect survivors with local childcare services, ensuring they have access to safe and reliable care for their children while they focus on their recovery. Additionally, SBWC helps survivors navigate legal challenges related to parenting, including custody and family law, ensuring that they receive the support they need to protect and care for their children.

4A-3g.	Applicant's Experience Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.

(limit 5,000 characters)

South Brevard Women’s Center (SBWC) is deeply committed to supporting survivors in achieving both immediate safety & long-term stability. The supportive services offered ensure survivors quickly move into permanent housing while addressing their safety needs through a trauma-informed, survivor-centered approach. Here are some key examples of the services provided:

1. Child Custody Support:

SBWC partners with legal aid organizations to provide survivors with access to child custody support, recognizing the importance of maintaining family stability. Survivors work with trained legal advocates who assist them in navigating complex custody and family law cases. This legal support also includes helping survivors secure restraining orders where necessary. Confidentiality & safety are top priorities throughout the process, & transportation to and from court hearings is provided to minimize logistical challenges for survivors. Additionally, survivors can attend peer support groups that connect them with others who have faced similar custody challenges, fostering a sense of solidarity & shared experience.

2. Addressing Bad Credit/Financial Barriers:

Recognizing that financial independence is key to long-term stability, SBWC offers credit repair and financial counseling services to survivors with damaged credit histories. Partnering w/ local credit repair agencies, the program helps survivors restore their credit, often an essential step in securing affordable housing. Advocates work with survivors to assess their financial situation, negotiate payment plans, & provide budget counseling to ensure long-term financial stability. This service has been instrumental in reducing housing barriers and empowering survivors to regain financial control of their lives.

3. Housing Search/Counseling:

SBWC employs dedicated housing navigators who work one-on-one with survivors to identify safe, affordable housing options. Navigators maintain a comprehensive list of landlords and apartment complexes that are sensitive to the needs of survivors of domestic violence. This partnership between SBWC and local landlords has significantly reduced the time it takes to secure housing, cutting the average housing search time by three weeks. Additionally, housing counselors assist with tenancy applications, ensuring survivors are well-prepared to present their cases to potential landlords. Survivors are also provided with housing education to help them maintain housing stability long-term.

4. Crisis DV Services:

SBWC operates a 24/7 DV crisis helpline staffed by trained advocates who offer trauma-informed support, crisis intervention, and safety planning. Survivors receive immediate assistance to escape dangerous situations & access emergency shelter, if needed. Advocates also assist survivors in navigating the restraining order process & accessing other legal protections. This crisis response ensures that survivors have immediate support in times of need while connecting them to long-term housing & service options.

5. Long-Term Housing Stability & Safety Planning:

Once housed, SBWC continues to support survivors by developing individualized, long-term safety plans. These plans are crafted in collaboration with the survivor and include strategies for maintaining housing, increasing income, and preventing future housing instability. Safety planning also includes helping survivors build self-advocacy skills, navigating systems such as public benefits and employment services, and ensuring ongoing access to legal support. SBWC also assists survivors in securing representative support for navigating complex social service systems and accompanies them to

appointments as needed to provide additional emotional and logistical support.

6. Education/Employment Services:

To promote survivors' long-term self-sufficiency, SBWC partners with local educational institutions to provide GED classes and employment training. Many survivors have had their education and employment disrupted due to their experiences of DV, and these services help them regain their independence. SBWC has successfully enrolled several survivors in GED programs, with an increasing number of participants showing interest each year. Survivors are also connected with local employment resources to help them find jobs that align with their goals, ensuring both financial stability and personal growth.

7. Parenting Support:

Understanding that many survivors are also parents, SBWC offers trauma-informed parenting classes to help survivors navigate the challenges of parenting while recovering from DV. These classes provide survivors with the tools to create safe, supportive environments for their children, fostering healing for the entire family. SBWC also connects survivors to affordable childcare & legal services for custody cases. Parenting support is offered throughout the survivor's journey, from access to PH, ensuring their family's needs are addressed every step of the way.

4A-3h.	Applicant's Plan for Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	
	Describe in the field below how the project(s) will:	
1.	prioritize placement and stabilization of program participants;	
2.	place program participants in permanent housing;	
3.	place and stabilize program participants consistent with their preferences; and	
4.	place and stabilize program participants consistent with their stated needs.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

"1. The new DV Bonus project will prioritize the placement & stabilization of survivors by utilizing a trauma-informed, survivor-centered approach from the initial intake process. Survivors will be rapidly connected to housing through SBWC's partnerships with local housing providers & landlords, who understand the unique needs of DV survivors. By coordinating with the CES, survivors will be prioritized for housing placements based on their vulnerability and safety risks. Upon placement, a dedicated case manager will provide comprehensive support through the provision of Supportive Services & Rental Assistance to stabilize participants in PH. This will be coupled with wraparound services such as mental health counseling, employment support, and access to public benefits to ensure survivors are equipped with the resources needed for long-term stability.

2. SBWC's RRH component will be central to placing survivors in permanent housing. The project will connect survivors with landlords offering safe and affordable housing, leveraging SBWC's extensive network of community partners. Victim Advocates will work with each survivor to navigate housing applications, address any rental history challenges, and negotiate rental agreements. The program will provide short-term rental assistance, ensuring that survivors have the financial support they need to stabilize in their new homes. Additionally, survivors will be supported in accessing housing options. The goal is to move survivors into permanent, safe housing as quickly as possible, while offering ongoing support to ensure housing stability.

3. SBWC is committed to honoring survivors' preferences throughout the housing process. Advocates will engage survivors in conversations about their housing preferences, such as proximity to work/schools, neighborhood safety, & housing type. These preferences will be incorporated into the housing search & placement process, ensuring that survivors feel in control of their housing decisions. Whether a survivor prefers scattered-site housing or living closer to family, SBWC will make every effort to accommodate their choices. By centering the survivor's voice in decision-making, SBWC will empower participants to take ownership of their housing journey and ensure their long-term satisfaction with their living environment.

4. SBWC's trauma-informed approach ensures that survivors' needs are fully addressed during the placement and stabilization process.

4A-3i.	Applicant's Plan for Administering Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Practices in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	

Describe in the field below examples of how the new project(s) will:	
1.	establish and maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;
2.	provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
3.	emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans work towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
4.	center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
5.	provide a variety of opportunities for program participants' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and

	6. offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.
--	---

(limit 5,000 characters)

1. In the new DV Bonus project, South Brevard Women’s Center (SBWC) will implement trauma-informed practices that ensure survivors are treated with dignity and respect. Staff interactions with survivors will be grounded in equality, fostering a non-hierarchical, supportive environment where survivors have control over their own decisions. SBWC will avoid punitive interventions, and instead focus on harm reduction and empowerment, ensuring that power differentials between staff and survivors are minimized. Survivors will be active participants in their care plans and housing decisions, and all staff will receive regular training on maintaining mutual respect in all interactions. This approach will help survivors regain a sense of agency, rebuild trust, and feel empowered throughout their recovery process.

2. SBWC recognizes that understanding trauma is essential for survivors' recovery. As part of the new project, staff will be trained on how to communicate the effects of trauma to survivors, ensuring that all information shared is clear and accessible. Survivors will have access to educational resources about the psychological, emotional, and physical impacts of trauma, allowing them to better understand their own responses and healing process. SBWC will offer one-on-one counseling sessions where survivors can explore how trauma has affected their lives, learn coping strategies, and engage in their recovery with a deeper understanding of their own experiences.

3. The new project will focus on a strengths-based approach to survivor support. Victim Advocates will work closely with participants to identify their strengths and capacities, using strength-based coaching to foster resilience and confidence. Assessment tools will be designed to highlight survivors' capabilities, and case plans will be developed based on survivor-defined goals and aspirations. SBWC will help survivors recognize their own abilities to overcome challenges and achieve self-sufficiency, shifting the focus from deficits to empowerment. Regular check-ins with participants will ensure that they remain actively involved in setting and working toward their personal goals.

4. Cultural competence and inclusivity will be central to SBWC’s service delivery. The new project will provide ongoing training for staff on cultural competence, nondiscrimination, and equal access to services. This training will cover language access, ensuring that non-English speaking survivors have access to interpreters and translated materials. SBWC will also work to ensure that services are responsive to the unique needs of survivors from diverse backgrounds, including those related to race, ethnicity, religion, gender identity, sexual orientation, and disability. By fostering a culturally inclusive environment, SBWC will ensure that all survivors feel welcome, respected, and understood, no matter their background or identity.

5. The new project will offer multiple ways for survivors to build supportive connections. Survivors will be able to join peer-to-peer support groups, where they can share experiences, provide mutual encouragement, and learn from others who have faced similar challenges. SBWC will also facilitate mentorship opportunities, connecting survivors with individuals who have successfully navigated the recovery process. In addition, the project will provide access to spiritual support for those who request it, helping survivors find solace and healing in their faith or spiritual practices. These connection opportunities will help combat isolation and foster a sense of community among survivors.

6. Many survivors are parents, & SBWC will offer trauma-informed parenting classes as part of the new project to help them navigate the unique challenges of parenting while recovering from DV. These classes will provide survivors with the tools to create safe, nurturing environments for their children, and help them address the impacts of trauma on their family dynamics. Additionally, SBWC will connect survivors to affordable childcare services and legal support for custody

cases, ensuring they have access to necessary resources. By offering holistic support for survivor parenting, including assistance with navigating legal challenges and understanding trauma's effects on children, SBWC will empower survivors to build healthier, more stable family environments.

4A-3j.	Applicant's Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(f)	

Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors:

1.	with a range of lived expertise; and
2.	in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. In the new DV Bonus project, South Brevard Women's Center (SBWC) will actively involve survivors with a range of lived expertise, ensuring their voices are central to the project's success. Survivors who have experienced homelessness, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking will be invited to participate in various aspects of the project, from development to ongoing evaluation. SBWC will engage survivors through the CoC's Lived Experience Committee, a group of individuals who have shared experience with homelessness. This committee will be expanded to include survivors, ensuring that diverse voices are represented. Survivors will also have opportunities to share their experiences and provide feedback in focus groups, which will inform program improvements and ensure that the project remains survivor-centered. By including individuals with firsthand knowledge of the barriers and needs of DV survivors, SBWC will ensure that the project effectively meets the needs of the populations it serves.

2. To ensure that the new project reflects the needs and insights of those it serves, SBWC will take several steps to involve survivors in policy and program development, operations, and evaluation. Survivors will be invited to participate in the development and review of program policies, such as those related to housing placements, service delivery, and safety planning. Survivor input will be gathered through regular advisory board meetings, which will include representation from individuals with lived expertise. Additionally, SBWC will allocate funding within the project budget to compensate survivors for their time and expertise, recognizing the value of their contributions. Survivors will also play a key role in the evaluation of the project, participating in surveys and feedback sessions to assess the effectiveness of services and identify areas for improvement. By creating structured opportunities for survivors to influence decision-making, SBWC will ensure that the project evolves based on real-world insights and continues to prioritize survivor needs.

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1. You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
2. You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
3. We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
4. Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
5. Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
6. If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.
 - . We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
 - . We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
7. After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.
8. Only use the "Other" attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	1C-7. PHA Homeles...	10/23/2024
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No	1C-7. PHA Moving ...	10/23/2024
1D-10a. Lived Experience Support Letter	Yes	1D-10a. Lived Exp...	10/23/2024
1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation	Yes	1D-2a. Housing F...	10/23/2024
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	1E-2. Local Compe...	10/23/2024
1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project	Yes	1E-2a. Scored For...	10/23/2024
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	1E-5. Notificatio...	10/25/2024
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	1E-5a. Notificati...	10/25/2024
1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results	Yes	1E-5b. Local Comp...	10/24/2024
1E-5c. Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		

2A-6. HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report	Yes	2A-6. HUD's Homel...	10/23/2024
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No	3A-1a. Housing Le...	10/25/2024
3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No	3A-2a. Healthcare...	10/25/2024
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		
Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1D-10a. Lived Experience Support Letter

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: 2A-6. HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report

Attachment Details

Document Description: 3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments

Attachment Details

Document Description: 3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	10/22/2024
1B. Inclusive Structure	10/22/2024
1C. Coordination and Engagement	10/25/2024
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	10/25/2024
1E. Project Review/Ranking	10/25/2024
2A. HMIS Implementation	10/25/2024
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	10/25/2024
2C. System Performance	10/23/2024
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	10/22/2024
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	10/22/2024
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	10/22/2024

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	10/25/2024
4B. Attachments Screen	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required

Question: 1C-7
Attachment Name: PHA Homeless Preference

PHA Homeless Preference

FL-513 Brevard County CoC
Collaborative Applicant - Brevard Homeless Coalition
FY2024 HUD CoC Competition Attachment Cover Page



SECTION 8 ADMINISTRATIVE PLAN

SECTION 8 ADMINISTRATIVE PLAN TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	EQUAL OPPORTUNITY	6
1.1	FAIR HOUSING	1
1.2	REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION.....	2
1.3	COMMUNICATION.....	7
1.4	QUESTIONS TO ASK IN GRANTING THE ACCOMMODATION.....	7
1.5	SERVICES FOR LIMITED-ENGLISH PROFICIENCY PERSONS AND PARTICIPANTS	9
1.6	FAMILY/OWNER OUTREACH.....	9
1.7	RIGHT TO PRIVACY	10
1.8	REQUIRED POSTINGS	10
2.0	COCOA HOUSING AUTHORITY/OWNER RESPONSIBILITY/ OBLIGATION OF THE FAMILY	11
2.1	COCOA HOUSING AUTHORITY RESPONSIBILITIES	11
2.2	OWNER RESPONSIBILITY	13
2.3	OBLIGATIONS OF THE PARTICIPANT	14
3.0	ELIGIBILITY FOR ADMISSION	17
3.1	INTRODUCTION	17
3.2	ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA.....	17
4.0	MANAGING THE WAITING LIST	26
4.1	OPENING AND CLOSING THE WAITING LIST	26
4.2	TAKING APPLICATIONS.....	26
4.3	ORGANIZATION OF THE WAITING LIST	28
4.4	FAMILIES NEARING THE TOP OF THE WAITING LIST	29
4.5	MISSED APPOINTMENTS	29
4.6	PURGING THE WAITING LIST	29
4.7	REMOVAL OF APPLICANTS FROM THE WAITING LIST	30
4.8	TARGETED ASSISTANCE	25
4.9	GROUNDINGS FOR DENIAL	26
4.10	NOTIFICATION OF NEGATIVE ACTIONS.....	34
4.11	INFORMAL REVIEW	34
5.0	SELECTING FAMILIES FROM THE WAITING LIST	35
5.1	WAITING LIST ADMISSIONS AND SPECIAL ADMISSIONS	35
5.2	PREFERENCES	35
5.2.1	HOUSING FOR FEDERALLY DECLARED VICTIMS.....	38
5.3	SELECTION FROM THE WAITING LIST.....	38
6.0	ASSIGNMENT OF BEDROOM SIZES (SUBSIDY STANDARDS)	39
6.1	BRIEFING	40

Authority will describe how to obtain the informal review. The informal review process is described in Section 16.2 of this Plan.

5.0 SELECTING FAMILIES FROM THE WAITING LIST

5.1 WAITING LIST ADMISSIONS AND SPECIAL ADMISSIONS

The Housing Authority may admit an applicant for participation in the program either as a special admission or as a waiting list admission.

If HUD awards funding that is targeted for families with specific characteristics or families living in specific units, the Cocoa Housing Authority will use the assistance for those families. If this occurs, the Cocoa Housing Authority will maintain records demonstrating that these targeted housing choice vouchers were used appropriately. When one of these targeted vouchers turns over, the voucher shall be issued to applicants with the same specific characteristic as the targeted program describes.

5.2 PREFERENCES

Consistent with the Cocoa Housing Authority Agency Plan, the Cocoa Housing Authority will select families based on the following preferences based on local housing needs and priorities. They are consistent with the Cocoa Housing Authority’s Agency Plan and the Consolidated Plan that covers our jurisdiction.

Choice Mobility	300 points
Substandard Housing/Homeless	100 points
Residency in City of Cocoa – Resident living and/or working in the City of Cocoa.	100 points
Rent Overburden	100 points
Relocated at the request of the Executive Director or Designee or involuntarily displaced due to federally declared disaster.	100 points
Displaced by Government Action with CHA jurisdiction	100 points
Volunteers of America Support Service for Veteran Families (SSVF) Program participants.	80 points

Working Family/Elderly/Disabled	25 points
Absence of Proscribed Crime	25 points
Absence of a Felony within the last ten (10) years	25 points
Absence of a Misdemeanor within the last five (5) years	5 points
Enrolled in School (school age dependents)	1 point
Homeless Veteran – Must provide Military DD214	1 point
Non-Elderly Person with disabilities who:	1 point
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Are transitioning out of institutional or other segregated settings, or ✓ Has previously experienced homelessness and currently a client in a permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing project, and ✓ Are referred by a partnering homeless service organization 	

Preference Definitions/Verification Methods

Choice Mobility – a family that resides in a unit which provides for Choice Mobility after a specified period of time. RAD PBV and PBRA units provide for Choice Mobility. PBA after one (1) year and PBRA after two (2) years. This preference is limited to one-third of the annual turnover vouchers of CHA.

Verification – resides in a RAD converted property or a property to which CHA has committed Project Based Vouchers and has contacted CHA to request a mobility voucher.

Substandard Housing/Homeless – as defined in accordance with the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act.

- Lacking a fixed residence
- Lacking an adequate residence (substandard) – a residence that is: dilapidated; without indoor plumbing or a usable flush toilet and/or bathtub for the family’s exclusive use; without electricity or with inadequate or unsafe electrical service; without a safe or adequate source of heat; without a kitchen or area for food preparation where one should be present and/or has been declared unfit for habitation by a unit of government.
- Has a primary residence in a shelter or other public or private temporary accommodations.
- Living in transitional housing.

Verification – statement of homelessness from a recognized homeless service organization; verification of condemnation from a local government agency; other verification method to support substandard housing as approved by the CHA Administrative Services Director.

Residency in the City of Cocoa – a family that currently resides with the Cocoa City Limits and/or has one adult household member who works within the City of Cocoa.

Verification – a lease or utility bill with the current address of the applicant; a paystub from a local employer; a referral or statement from a local non-profit and/or homeless organization that the applicant family is homeless and receiving services in the City of Cocoa.

Rent overburdened families – families currently paying more than 50% of monthly adjusted income for rent and utilities.

Verification – lease and/or rental agreement stating the rental amount and three (3) months utility bills for the same residence.

Participants in Volunteers of America Support Service for Veteran Families (SSVF)

Verification – documentation from Volunteers of America.

Working Family/Elderly/Disabled – Working families, where the head, spouse, co-head, or sole member is employed at least 30 hours per week for at least the previous three months. As required by HUD, families where the head, spouse, co-head, or sole member is a person age 62 or older, or is a person with disabilities will also be given the benefit of working.

Verification – supporting documentation from Employer and/or the Social Security Administration.

Absence of Proscribed Crime (violence towards others) – This entails both crimes in which the violent act is the objective, such as battery, as well as crimes in which violence is the means to an end. (Violent crimes may, or may not be committed with weapons). To display conduct which unjustifiably and inexcusably causes or threatens substantial harm to individual or public interests.

Verification – criminal background check.

Absence of a Felony with the last ten (10) years – Lack of a felony conviction in the ten (10) years prior to application selection.

Verification – criminal background check.

Absence of a Misdemeanor within the last five (5) years – lack of a misdemeanor conviction in the five (5) years prior to application selection.

Verification – criminal background check.

Enrolled in School (school age dependents) – at least one (1) member of the household is enrolled in an accredited institute of higher education and/or school aged dependents are present in the household.

Verification – current course schedule from an institute of higher education and/or birth certificates documenting school aged dependents.

Homeless Veteran

Verification – documentation from Volunteers of America. Must provide Military DD214, indicating honorable separation.

The date and time of application will be noted and utilized to determine the sequence within the above prescribed preferences.

Notwithstanding the above, families who are elderly, disabled, or displaced will be offered housing before other single persons.

The Cocoa Housing Authority will not deny a local preference, nor otherwise exclude or penalize a family in admission to the program, solely because the family resides in public housing.

5.2.1 HOUSING FOR FEDERALLY DECLARED VICTIMS

In the case of a federally declared disaster, the Cocoa Housing Authority reserves the right for its Executive Director to suspend its preference system what whatever duration the Executive Director feels is appropriate and to admit victims of the disaster to the program instead of those who would be normally admitted. Any other provisions of this policy can also be suspended during the emergency at the discretion of the Executive Director so long as the provision suspended does not violate a law. If regulatory waivers are necessary, they shall be promptly requested of the HUD Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing

5.3 SELECTION FROM THE WAITING LIST

Based on the above preferences, the potential participant with the most points will be housed first. The date and time of application will be utilized to determine the sequence within the above-prescribed preferences.

Notwithstanding the above, if necessary to meet the statutory requirement that 75% of newly admitted families in any fiscal year be families who are extremely low-income

Question: 1C-7
Attachment Name: PHA Moving On Preference

PHA Moving On Preference

FL-513 Brevard County CoC
Collaborative Applicant - Brevard Homeless Coalition
FY202 0 HUD CoC Competition Attachment Cover Page



SECTION 8 ADMINISTRATIVE PLAN

SECTION 8 ADMINISTRATIVE PLAN TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	EQUAL OPPORTUNITY	6
1.1	FAIR HOUSING	1
1.2	REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION.....	2
1.3	COMMUNICATION.....	7
1.4	QUESTIONS TO ASK IN GRANTING THE ACCOMMODATION.....	7
1.5	SERVICES FOR LIMITED-ENGLISH PROFICIENCY PERSONS AND PARTICIPANTS	9
1.6	FAMILY/OWNER OUTREACH.....	9
1.7	RIGHT TO PRIVACY	10
1.8	REQUIRED POSTINGS	10
2.0	COCOA HOUSING AUTHORITY/OWNER RESPONSIBILITY/ OBLIGATION OF THE FAMILY	11
2.1	COCOA HOUSING AUTHORITY RESPONSIBILITIES	11
2.2	OWNER RESPONSIBILITY	13
2.3	OBLIGATIONS OF THE PARTICIPANT.....	14
3.0	ELIGIBILITY FOR ADMISSION	17
3.1	INTRODUCTION	17
3.2	ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA.....	17
4.0	MANAGING THE WAITING LIST	26
4.1	OPENING AND CLOSING THE WAITING LIST	26
4.2	TAKING APPLICATIONS.....	26
4.3	ORGANIZATION OF THE WAITING LIST	28
4.4	FAMILIES NEARING THE TOP OF THE WAITING LIST	29
4.5	MISSED APPOINTMENTS	29
4.6	PURGING THE WAITING LIST	29
4.7	REMOVAL OF APPLICANTS FROM THE WAITING LIST	30
4.8	TARGETED ASSISTANCE	25
4.9	GROUNDINGS FOR DENIAL	26
4.10	NOTIFICATION OF NEGATIVE ACTIONS.....	34
4.11	INFORMAL REVIEW	34
5.0	SELECTING FAMILIES FROM THE WAITING LIST	35
5.1	WAITING LIST ADMISSIONS AND SPECIAL ADMISSIONS	35
5.2	PREFERENCES	35
5.2.1	HOUSING FOR FEDERALLY DECLARED VICTIMS.....	38
5.3	SELECTION FROM THE WAITING LIST.....	38
6.0	ASSIGNMENT OF BEDROOM SIZES (SUBSIDY STANDARDS)	39
6.1	BRIEFING	40

Authority will describe how to obtain the informal review. The informal review process is described in Section 16.2 of this Plan.

5.0 SELECTING FAMILIES FROM THE WAITING LIST

5.1 WAITING LIST ADMISSIONS AND SPECIAL ADMISSIONS

The Housing Authority may admit an applicant for participation in the program either as a special admission or as a waiting list admission.

If HUD awards funding that is targeted for families with specific characteristics or families living in specific units, the Cocoa Housing Authority will use the assistance for those families. If this occurs, the Cocoa Housing Authority will maintain records demonstrating that these targeted housing choice vouchers were used appropriately. When one of these targeted vouchers turns over, the voucher shall be issued to applicants with the same specific characteristic as the targeted program describes.

5.2 PREFERENCES

Consistent with the Cocoa Housing Authority Agency Plan, the Cocoa Housing Authority will select families based on the following preferences based on local housing needs and priorities. They are consistent with the Cocoa Housing Authority’s Agency Plan and the Consolidated Plan that covers our jurisdiction.

Choice Mobility	300 points
Substandard Housing/Homeless	100 points
Residency in City of Cocoa – Resident living and/or working in the City of Cocoa.	100 points
Rent Overburden	100 points
Relocated at the request of the Executive Director or Designee or involuntarily displaced due to federally declared disaster.	100 points
Displaced by Government Action with CHA jurisdiction	100 points
Volunteers of America Support Service for Veteran Families (SSVF) Program participants.	80 points

Working Family/Elderly/Disabled	25 points
Absence of Proscribed Crime	25 points
Absence of a Felony within the last ten (10) years	25 points
Absence of a Misdemeanor within the last five (5) years	5 points
Enrolled in School (school age dependents)	1 point
Homeless Veteran – Must provide Military DD214	1 point
Non-Elderly Person with disabilities who:	1 point
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Are transitioning out of institutional or other segregated settings, or ✓ Has previously experienced homelessness and currently a client in a permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing project, and ✓ Are referred by a partnering homeless service organization 	

Preference Definitions/Verification Methods

Choice Mobility – a family that resides in a unit which provides for Choice Mobility after a specified period of time. RAD PBV and PBRA units provide for Choice Mobility. PBA after one (1) year and PBRA after two (2) years. This preference is limited to one-third of the annual turnover vouchers of CHA.

Verification – resides in a RAD converted property or a property to which CHA has committed Project Based Vouchers and has contacted CHA to request a mobility voucher.

Substandard Housing/Homeless – as defined in accordance with the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act.

- Lacking a fixed residence
- Lacking an adequate residence (substandard) – a residence that is: dilapidated; without indoor plumbing or a usable flush toilet and/or bathtub for the family’s exclusive use; without electricity or with inadequate or unsafe electrical service; without a safe or adequate source of heat; without a kitchen or area for food preparation where one should be present and/or has been declared unfit for habitation by a unit of government.
- Has a primary residence in a shelter or other public or private temporary accommodations.
- Living in transitional housing.

Verification – statement of homelessness from a recognized homeless service organization; verification of condemnation from a local government agency; other verification method to support substandard housing as approved by the CHA Administrative Services Director.

Residency in the City of Cocoa – a family that currently resides with the Cocoa City Limits and/or has one adult household member who works within the City of Cocoa.

Verification – a lease or utility bill with the current address of the applicant; a paystub from a local employer; a referral or statement from a local non-profit and/or homeless organization that the applicant family is homeless and receiving services in the City of Cocoa.

Rent overburdened families – families currently paying more than 50% of monthly adjusted income for rent and utilities.

Verification – lease and/or rental agreement stating the rental amount and three (3) months utility bills for the same residence.

Participants in Volunteers of America Support Service for Veteran Families (SSVF)

Verification – documentation from Volunteers of America.

Working Family/Elderly/Disabled – Working families, where the head, spouse, co-head, or sole member is employed at least 30 hours per week for at least the previous three months. As required by HUD, families where the head, spouse, co-head, or sole member is a person age 62 or older, or is a person with disabilities will also be given the benefit of working.

Verification – supporting documentation from Employer and/or the Social Security Administration.

Absence of Proscribed Crime (violence towards others) – This entails both crimes in which the violent act is the objective, such as battery, as well as crimes in which violence is the means to an end. (Violent crimes may, or may not be committed with weapons). To display conduct which unjustifiably and inexcusably causes or threatens substantial harm to individual or public interests.

Verification – criminal background check.

Absence of a Felony with the last ten (10) years – Lack of a felony conviction in the ten (10) years prior to application selection.

Verification – criminal background check.

Absence of a Misdemeanor within the last five (5) years – lack of a misdemeanor conviction in the five (5) years prior to application selection.

Verification – criminal background check.

Enrolled in School (school age dependents) – at least one (1) member of the household is enrolled in an accredited institute of higher education and/or school aged dependents are present in the household.

Verification – current course schedule from an institute of higher education and/or birth certificates documenting school aged dependents.

Homeless Veteran

Verification – documentation from Volunteers of America. Must provide Military DD214, indicating honorable separation.

The date and time of application will be noted and utilized to determine the sequence within the above prescribed preferences.

Notwithstanding the above, families who are elderly, disabled, or displaced will be offered housing before other single persons.

The Cocoa Housing Authority will not deny a local preference, nor otherwise exclude or penalize a family in admission to the program, solely because the family resides in public housing.

5.2.1 HOUSING FOR FEDERALLY DECLARED VICTIMS

In the case of a federally declared disaster, the Cocoa Housing Authority reserves the right for its Executive Director to suspend its preference system what whatever duration the Executive Director feels is appropriate and to admit victims of the disaster to the program instead of those who would be normally admitted. Any other provisions of this policy can also be suspended during the emergency at the discretion of the Executive Director so long as the provision suspended does not violate a law. If regulatory waivers are necessary, they shall be promptly requested of the HUD Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing

5.3 SELECTION FROM THE WAITING LIST

Based on the above preferences, the potential participant with the most points will be housed first. The date and time of application will be utilized to determine the sequence within the above-prescribed preferences.

Notwithstanding the above, if necessary to meet the statutory requirement that 75% of newly admitted families in any fiscal year be families who are extremely low-income

Question: 1D-10a.
Attachment Name: Lived Experience Support Letter

Lived Experience Support Letter

- 1. Letter signed by authorized representative of the Lived Experience Committee**
- 2. Minutes showing evidence that the person is authorized to represent the Committee.**

October 14, 2024

Faith Hartsock, Chair
Brevard Continuum of Care (CoC)
Lived Experience Committee

As Chair of the Brevard CoC Lived Experience Committee, and on behalf of committee members, this letter is to support the Brevard CoC's priority of serving individuals and families experiencing homelessness, including those who are living unsheltered. These individuals and families have severe and complicated service needs as outlined in the HUD FY2024 NOFO.

Along with the Lived Experience Committee members, people with lived experience, including our unsheltered population, participated in the Brevard CoC through general membership meetings, provider agency plan development, and our homeless memorial services. Additionally, in the creation of the 2023-26 strategic plan, Housed & Healthy Brevard, four (4) unsheltered people participated in focus group sessions to ensure their voices and voices of their peers, were represented in the planning process.

The Lived Experience Committee looks forward to the continued engagement of our unsheltered and recently housed residents so that we can ensure our system of care is designed to support the most vulnerable of our population.

On Mission Together,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Faith Hartsock". The signature is stylized and cursive, with the first name "Faith" written in a larger, more prominent script than the last name "Hartsock".

Faith Hartsock, Chair



ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING

Circles of Care -James Whitaker Treatment Center
1770 Cedar Street, Rockledge, 32922
May 2, 2024 @ 9:00 – 10:30 am

Minutes

1. **Welcome & Introductions** **Cindy Dittmer, Vice Chair**
Cindy called the meeting to order at 9:10 a.m.

***Attendees:** Stephen Lord, Colleen Cantin, Ibis Berardi, Traci Klinkbeil, Cindy Dittmer, Jeff Njus, Rob Cramp, Caroline Joseph-Paul, Faith Hartsock, Jenny Garcia, Katie Guemple, Tara Pagliarini, Angela Gambino, Joseph Downs, Shauna Ginn, Jarvis Wash, Sandra Newsom, Stanley Brizz, Dawn Bittar, Carmen Baez, Michael Blake*
***Staff:** Amber Carroll, Sarah Slone*
***Guest Speaker:** Martha Are, President/CEO, Homeless Services Network of Central Florida*

2. **Approval of Minutes** **Cindy Dittmer, Vice Chair**
Motion to approve the minutes presented by: Rob Cramp
Second by: Stephen Lord
All in Favor- Motion Carries Unanimously

3. **Approval of Membership Requests** **Cindy Dittmer, Vice Chair**
Motion to approve the membership requests presented by: Jeff Njus
Second by: Dawn Bittar
All in Favor- Motion Carries Unanimously

4. **CoC General Membership Annual Meeting Recap** **Amber Carroll, Exec. Dir.**
 - a. *Approvals: Amber Carroll stated that the new Advisory Council member requests were approved by the General Membership. The general membership also approved the proposed Governance Charter revisions including the redefined council structure and addition of a standing Housing Committee.*
 - b. *General Membership Feedback: Amber Carroll stated that there was great feedback from the membership body around moving the 2023-2-26 Housed and Healthy Brevard strategic plan forward, especially the provision of housing. It was a call to action for the Advisory Council.*

5. **CoC Advisory Council Committees** **Cindy Dittmer, Vice Chair**
 - a. *Please note this is previous agenda item 6.*
 - b. *Committee Template: While this item doesn't technically require a vote, Cindy Dittmer called for a general consensus on the template/framework for Committee operations (included within your meeting packet). Each committee will have its own*

structure based on goals of the committee. Amber Carroll addressed several questions regarding the committee structures including:

- i. Committees are to be comprised of an Advisory Council member (Chair) plus general membership organization staff.*
- ii. Staff will assist with administrative functions of each committee and each committee will determine its own work structure such as frequency of meetings, number of members, spin-off workgroups, etc.*
- iii. The Governance Charter states that an annual review of the committee is required*

Consensus for the template/framework was obtained.

6. CoC Advisory Council Chair Designations **Cindy Dittmer, Vice Chair**

- a. AC Chair, Executive Committee – The current Executive Committee has agreed to remain pending approval by Council members.
Motion to continue with current Executive Committee presented by: Sandra Newsom
Second by: Ibis Berardi
All in Favor- Motion Carries Unanimously*
- b. Data Performance & Measures (DP&M) Committee - Leeanne Saccino has agreed to Chair this committee. Leeanne is the Executive Director for the Florida Coalition to End Homeless and on the BHC Board of Directors. No vote is required for this designation as it's defined in the Governance Charter. Stephen Lord expressed an interest in sitting on the committee.*
- c. Direct Services – Tara Pagliarini stated her interest in the Chair position.
Motion to elect Tara Pagliarini as Chair of Direct Services committee presented by: Rob Cramp
Second by: Stephen Lord
All in Favor- Motion Carries Unanimously.
Jeff Njus expressed an interest in sitting on the committee.*
- d. Lived Experience – Faith Hartsock stated her interest in the Chair position.
Motion to elect Faith Hartsock as Chair of Lived Experience committee presented by: Sandra Newsom
Second by: Jarvis Wash
All in Favor- Motion Carries Unanimously
Jarvis Wash and Katie Guemple both expressed an interest in sitting on the committee. Several committee members offered support in terms of transportation, community meeting space, and outreach for members.*
- e. Housing Committee – Dawn Bittar, Sandra Newsom, and Drew Warren (as nominated by Rob Cramp) stated their interest in the Chair position. Dawn Bittar withdrew her interest. Sandra provided background on her experience in developing affordable, permanent supportive housing and her credentials. On behalf of Drew,*

Rob Cramp provided background on Drew's experience with affordable housing development and as co-chair of the Affordable Housing Task Force.

Motion to elect Sandra Newsom as Chair of Housing committee presented by: Jarvis Wash

Second by: Jeff Njus

All in Favor with the exception of 2 Nay votes (Dawn Bittar, Rob Cramp) and 1 abstained vote (Stephen Lord) - Motion Carries

7. Florida House Bill 1365 (Anti-Camping Bill)

Amber Carroll, Exec. Dir.

- a. *Amber provided an overview of Florida House Bill 1365 as a lead into the presentation by guest speaker Martha Are.*
- b. *Martha Are shared a presentation by Gregg Colburn, co-author of the book [Homelessness is a Housing Problem](#). The author discussed that vulnerabilities are starting factors when enough housing isn't available. He then relayed potential explanations on the individual level, in a local context, and from a housing market perspective.*
- c. *Rob Cramp stated that the book "eliminates the distraction" around substance use, mental health, etc. Sandra Newsom stated that it would be helpful to conduct asset mapping around current affordable housing and vacancy rates.*
- d. *There was a discussion on the need for a campaign to address inaccuracies about those experiencing homelessness and messaging around the lack of affordable housing as a key driver of homelessness.*
- e. *If you are interested in receiving the book, Homelessness is a Housing Problem, please reach out to Sarah Slone.*

Action Item:

- *Stanley Brizz will organize a workgroup to identify a campaign messaging strategy and share at the July Advisory Council meeting.*
- *Dawn Bittar, Jeff Njus expressed an interest in being part of this workgroup.*

8. Questions, Comments

9. Adjournment

Cindy Dittmer, Vice Chair

Meeting adjourned at 10:47 am

Next Advisory Council Meeting – July 11, 2024

Minutes Approved on: July 11, 2024

Question: 1D-2a.

Attachment Name: Housing First Evaluation

Housing First Evaluation

FL-513 Brevard County CoC
Collaborative Applicant - Brevard Homeless Coalition
FY2024 HUD CoC Competition Attachment Cover Page

**Brevard County Continuum of Care
Housing First / Low Barrier Questionnaire**

Organization Name: Housing for Homeless Project Name: PH1 (PSH)

Low barrier/Housing First shelters and permanent housing projects are proven effective in engaging and assisting all sub-populations of homeless persons to a path to permanent stable housing. Communities have demonstrated that projects can be well-run and safe without requirements that keep many homeless individuals from entering and/or remaining in their project.

Please answer the following questions related to the *proposed project's eligibility criteria and project rules*. Score your own questionnaire as follows: "yes" = 0 points, "no" = 1 point, N/A = 1 point (24 max. points). The higher the score, the closer the project is to being Housing First/Low Barrier.

Do not alter, change or add additional information to this questionnaire. Questionnaires submitted that are altered, changed or have additional comments will be considered void and will receive a score of 0.

- 1) Yes No Will/Does the project require a background screening prior to project entry (excluding sexual offender/predator check)?
- 2) Yes No Will/Does the project prohibit persons with certain criminal convictions (e.g. violent felonies, arson) from entering your project (excluding registered sexual offender/predator)?
- 3) Yes No Will/Does the project require participants to be clean and sober prior to project entry and/or during project stay?
- 4) Yes No Will/Does the project require alcohol/drug tests on participants suspected of being under the influence?
- 5) Yes No Will/Does a positive alcohol/drug test result in termination from the project and/or require participant to participate in substance abuse treatment and/or detox to resume project services?
- 6) Yes No Will/Does the project require participants to have a mental health evaluation prior to project entry?
- 7) Yes No Will/Does the project require project participants who demonstrate mental health symptoms to participate in mental health services and/or medication compliance (excluding those who present a danger to self or others) as a condition of services?
- 8) Yes No Will/Does the project require participants to have income at time of project entry?
- 9) Yes No Will/Does the project require participants to obtain an income as a condition of remaining in the project?
- 10) Yes No Will/Does the project require participants to participate in supportive services (such as vocational training, employment preparation, budgeting or life skills classes; not including required case management meetings) as a condition of continued services?
- 11) Yes No Will/Does the project require participants to be 'progressing' in their goals in order to remain in the project?

Brevard County Continuum of Care Housing First / Low Barrier Questionnaire

- 12) Yes No Will/Does the project require participants to sign a services plan agreement to receive the project services? (Please note a service plan is not the same as a housing plan.)
- 13) Yes No Will/Does project participant have to do "chores" as a part of project stay and/or will project participants who do work around the facility receive special treatment or are rewarded with special benefits (applicable for facility/project - based emergency shelters, transitional housing, permanent housing)?
- 14) Yes No N/A Will/Does the project include curfews and/or required 'lights out' time for all participants (applicable for facility/project - based emergency shelters, transitional housing, permanent housing)?
- 15) Yes No Will/Does the project exclude or refuse project entry based on race, color, religion, national origin, disability, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity and/or gender expression.
- 16) Yes No N/A Will/Does the project include any requirements, outside of those typically found in a lease agreement or in "community-living" conduct rules (applicable for facility/project - based emergency shelters, transitional housing, permanent housing, scattered site housing)? Examples of acceptable 'community- living' rules include agreement to be non-violent, agreement to no weapons on site, agreement to no alcohol/drug consumption on site.
- 17) Yes No Will a project participant be asked/forced to leave the project and/or will agency refuse service if project participant is disrespectful to a staff member or other project participant, including making verbal threats, acting belligerently, or "having an attitude?"
- 18) Yes No N/A Will/Do project participants have to travel to the agency's office(s) to receive the majority of their services, including case management, after they are housed (applicable to scattered-site PSH, RRH and HP projects)?
- 19) Yes No N/A Will/Do project participants have to travel to the agency's office(s) to receive the majority of services, including case management (applicable to Street Outreach and Engagement only)?
- 20) Yes No N/A Will/Does the project exclude any dependent children in the household, based on age and/or gender, from remaining with the household at the project (applicable for facility/project - based emergency shelters, transitional housing, and permanent housing for households with children)?
- 21) Yes No N/A Will/Does the project prohibit any member(s) of a household (as defined by the household), based on age, gender, biological relationship and/or marital status, from residing together at the project (applicable for facility/project - based emergency shelters, transitional housing, permanent housing, scattered site permanent housing)?
- 22) Yes No N/A Will/Does the project exclude any family composition type: single dad, single mom, same gender couples, opposite-gender couples, multi-generational, and non-romantic groups who present for services as a family (applicable to projects that serve households with children under the age of 18)?

**Brevard County Continuum of Care
Housing First / Low Barrier Questionnaire**

23) Yes No N/A Will/Does project require project participants to be “placed” in accordance with their sex assigned at birth and/or “perceived” gender; and/or require participant to “prove” their gender identity prior to receiving services (applicable for facility/project - based emergency shelters, transitional housing, permanent housing, scattered site permanent housing)?

24) Yes No N/A Will/Does the project exclude participants who do not have a form of identification (applicable for emergency shelters)?

TOTAL SCORE: 24pts

Certification of Responses

I attest that the answers above are true and are provided by marking Yes, No, or N/A without any additional comment or clarification.

Authorized Reviewer Signature: Ashley Miller

Authorized Reviewer Name: Ashley Miller

Authorized Reviewer Title: Director of System Performance

Date: 4/10/2024

Question: 1E-2
Attachment Name: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Local Competition Scoring Tool

- 1. Renewal Scorecard**
- 2. New Scorecard**
- 3. Housing First Questionnaire**
- 4. CoC Agency Attendance Report**
- 5. Coordinated Entry Audit Report**
- 6. HMIS Participation Report**
- 7. SAMPLE APR Report**
- 8. SAMPLE ELOCCS Print out**

1. Renewal Scorecard

Agency Name: 0

Project Name: Project Type:

Renewal Projects			Maximum Pt
-------------------------	--	--	------------

Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Grant Management

11%

a	Did the project meet the Match requirements per HUD and CoC regulations (at least 25% or 0.25:1)?	Provision of Project Match is required by HUD and lack of required match is a compliance issue.	Source: Match Documentation Letters for most recently ended grant term Calc: Percent of Match documented against total funds expended NOTE: For projects with a leasing component, leasing funds do not require a Match. For these projects, the required Match of 25% applies to the total amount of expended funds minus the total amount of leasing funds. >25% = 2 pts 25% = 1 pt <25% = 0 pts	2
b	In the project's most recently ended grant year, what percentage of awarded funds were expended and drawn down from HUD?	Projects not fully using their award amount leaves resources unutilized that could have supported another community project.	Source: Project eLOCCS Printout – Budget Tab Calc: Divide the amount of funding expended by the total award amount 90%+ = 2 pts 85-89% = 1.5 pts 80-84% = 1 pt 75-79% = 0.5 pts 70-74% = 0.25 pts <70% = 0 pts	2
c	What percent of CoC project funding was used for housing vs other activities/services?	HUD looks to maximize HUD funds for housing and utilize Match from other sources to provide supportive services in order to maximize the amount of households served.	Source: Project eLOCCS Printout – Budget Tab Calc: Divide the amount of rental assistance or leasing expended by the total amount expended 80-100% = 3 pts 70-79% = 2 pts 60-69% = 1 pt <60% = 0 pts	3
d	Did the project draw down funds from eLOCCS at least quarterly during the most recently ended grant term?	Timeliness of drawdowns is specifically stated in the FY24 HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO.	Source: Project eLOCCS Printout – Voucher Tab listing each time the project drew down funds. Calc: Based on the project's grant term, was a draw down completed at least once every quarter?	1
e	OVERALL ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY - Does the agency have the staff to client ratio and organizational structure to perform the project and services proposed?	It is critical that an organization be capable and resourced to carry out the project and services proposed in their application.	Source: Project Application and Attachments - Organizational Budget, Most Recently submitted Federal Form 990, Most Recent Financial Audit including Supplementary Information and Other Reports and The Management Letter Calc: Answer Yes or No Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts	5
Section 1. Total Score:				13

Section 2. Equity & Inclusion

11%

a	Client Racial Equity Analysis: Data Quality	HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems. Analysis of CoC Data has shown that Black people are disproportionately affected by homelessness.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" from the "Race" line in Table 6a. 0% = 2 pts 1%-14% = 1 pt 15% or more = 0 pts	2
b	Client Racial Equity Analysis	HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems. Analysis of CoC Data has shown that people of color are disproportionately affected by homelessness.	Source: HUD APR Report and Brevard CoC LSA Report Calc: Divide "Total" of "White" from table 12a by line 2, "Total Number of Persons Served", from table 5a to get the % of white served. Subtract that % from 100% to get the % of people of color served. Brevard CoC LSA Report shows White Population = 45% People of Color Population = 54% >54% = 3 pts 50% - 53% = 2 pts 47% - 49% = 1 pt 46% or less = 0 pts OR a score of 0 pts was received in the previous section, "Client Racial Equity Analysis: Data Quality" = 0 pts	3
c	Agency Lived Experience Analysis	HUD has stated an interest in including those with lived experience within homeless response systems.	Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details Calc: Count each staff member and board director who has lived experience with homelessness and the homeless response system to determine the point value 5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts	3
d	Agency Racial Ethnicity Equity Analysis	HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems.	Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details Calc: Count each racial/ethnic/gender category within the organization's staff and Board of Directors to determine the point value. 5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts	3
e	EQUITY & INCLUSION FROM APPLICATION Does the Project application address equity and inclusion in all areas of the project? 1) Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals Narrative 2) Agency Racial Equity Narrative 3) Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience Narrative Lived Experience specific activities (or similar) listed below, will be scored •Representation on the organization's Board of Director's or other decision-making board •CoC Lived Experience Committee •Emphasis on hiring Person with Lived Experience •Use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback •Satisfaction surveys / comment cards	HUD has stated an interest in including those with lived experience, addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems, and improving assistance to LGBTQ+ individuals is one of HUD's priorities in the FY2024 CoC NOFO HUD states CoCs and projects should address the needs of LGBTQ+, transgender, gender non-conforming, and non-binary individuals and families including privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation in projects.	Source: Using all application content, the project proposal has made equity and inclusion a priority. Agency already demonstrates equity and inclusion with a plan to increase = 3 pts Clear plan for inclusion and equity = 2 pts No plan = 0 pts	3
Section 2. Total Score:				14

Section 3. CoC Participation

3%

a	Applicant is classified as an "Active" Member of the Continuum of Care (CoC) by attending at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings held in the past 12 months.	HUD expects that all CoC-funded projects actively participate within the CoC.	Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 – July 2024) Calc: Enter Yes if project applicant attended at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings Yes = 1 pts No = 0 pts	1
----------	---	---	--	---

Renewal Projects				Maximum Pt
b Applicant agency has a leadership role in the Brevard County CoC as evidence by at least 1 of the applicant's paid staff serving as Chair or Co-Chair of a CoC Committee or holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council	HUD expects that all CoC-funded projects actively participate within the CoC.	Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 – July 2024) Calc: Enter Yes if applicant is listed as having 1+ paid staff who holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council and/or serves as Chair/Co-Chair on a CoC Committee	Applicant staff member Chairs/Co-Chairs a Committee and/or holds a seat on the Council = 1 pt Applicant staff member does NOT Chair/Co-Chair a Committee or hold a Council seat = 0 pts	1
c Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?	HUD has stated that all homeless assistance organizations should be involved in the coordinated entry process by helping households access the system and receive referrals.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries	> 10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2
Section 3. Total Score:				4

Section 4. Coordinated Entry

3%

a Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT and Rubric are the tools our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches for Agency" to determine points <i>*Project Based Vouchers (PBV) assume highest points due to referral process with Housing Authority.</i>	14%+ = 2 pts 9% - 13% = 1 pts 5% - 8% = 0.5 pt up to 4% = 0 pts	2
b Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT and Rubric are the tools our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points	>10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1.0 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2
Section 4. Total Score:				4

Section 5. Project Performance (Outcomes (HMIS DATA))

51%

a OVERALL PROJECT PERFORMANCE: Project Application describes how the project will help improve the performance of the community's overall system, fills a gap/need within our system and move the community forward in achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals to make homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring in our CoC. Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	Comprehensive project applications should address these components.	Source & Calc: Application printout from Enaps. Using all application content, the project describes the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance	Clearly describes = 5 pts Somewhat describes = 3 pts Does not or vaguely describes = 0 pts	5
b Did the project application prove through official partner agreements, how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	In accordance with HUD's Policy Priorities, CoC's should work closely with public and private healthcare organizations, PHAs, and local workforce development centers to maximize the use of resources available to end homelessness.	Source: Coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding/Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.	Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 2 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 1 pt No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers = 0 pts	4
c Housing First/Low Barrier: To what extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier?	HUD has expressly stated that programs need to follow a housing first/low barrier philosophy.	Source and Calc: Completed Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire – Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)	Maximum of 24 pts	24
d Housing Stability: % of persons who remained in the PH project as of the end of the operating year or exited to a positive housing destination.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Add the total "Number of Stayers" from table 5a, line 9, to the Subtotal from the Permanent Situations Total column on table 23c and divide by line 2 of table 5a"	90%+ = 5 pts 80% - 89% = 3 pts 75% - 79% = 1 pt < 75% = 0 pts	5
e Length of Time to Housing: Average number of days between Project Enrollment Date and Housing Move-in Date	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the "Average length of time to housing" number of days from the "Total" column found on table 22c	< 30 days = 3 pts 31-60 days = 2 pts 61-90 days = 1 pt 91+ days = 0 pts	3
f Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who increased their total income (from all sources) from project start to latest status.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from Table 19a1.	50%+ = 5 pts 40% - 49% = 4 pts 30% - 39% = 3 pts 20% - 29% = 2 pts 10% - 19% = 1 pt < 9% = 0 pts	5

Renewal Projects				Maximum Pt	
g	Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who <u>increased</u> their <u>total income</u> (from all sources) <u>from project start to project exit</u> .	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a2.	50% += 5 pts 40% -49% = 4 pts 30% -39% = 3 pts 20% -29% = 2 pts 10% -19% = 1 pt <9% = 0 pts	5
h	Entered From: % of Participants admitted directly from the street or other locations not meant for human habitation, Safe Haven or Emergency Shelters.	HUD emphasizes the Housing First philosophy and requires both PSH and RRH to assist persons directly from the street, emergency shelter, or Safe Haven as a best practice to reducing a person's length of time spent homeless.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 15. From the "Homeless Situations" column, add the numbers from the following rows: "Emergency shelter", "Place not meant for human habitation", and "Safe Haven." Divide total figure by "Number of Adults (age 18 or over)" from table 5a, line 2.	75% += 3 pts 65% -74% = 2 pts 50% -64% = 1 pt <49% = 0 pts	3
i	Exits to Homelessness: Less than 5% of program exits will be to another homeless destination	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 23c, take the Subtotal from the Homeless Situations Total column and divide by line 2 of table 5a	5% or less = 3 pts 6% -10% = 2 pts 11% -24% = 1 pt >25% = 0 pts	3
j	Non-cash Benefits - Annual: % of households with non-cash benefits at annual assessment.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in Row "1+ Sources" and Column "Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers" from table 20b. Divide number by Line 15 from Section 5a.	85% += 3 pts 50% -84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts	3
k	Non-cash Benefits - Exit: % of households with non-cash benefits at program exit.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in table 20b in row "1+ Sources" and column "Benefit at Exit for Leavers". Divide number by Line 8 from Section 5a.	85% += 3 pts 50% -84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts	3
Section 5. Total Score:				63	

Section 6. Project Populations (HMIS DATA)

7%

a	% of Chronically Homeless Persons Served	Ending Chronic homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 12 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	PSH Projects 100% = 1 pt 99% -50% = 0.5 pts <49% = 0 pts RBH Projects >50% = 1 pt 26% -49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1
b	% of Veterans Served	Ending Veteran homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 11 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% -49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1
c	% of Youth (Under the Age of 25) Served	Effectively ending unaccompanied youth (UAY) is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 13 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% -49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1
d	% Parenting Youth Under the Age of 25 with Children Served	Parenting youth is a sub-population of unaccompanied youth (UAY) as well as families with children	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 14 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% -49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1
e	% Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	Persons fleeing domestic violence are a population of concern in HUD and local goals	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 14b Calc: Divide Total in section 14b by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% -49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1
f	Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by no income at entry.	Participants with no income at entry are considered harder to serve than those with income at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 18 Calc: Take the number of "Adults with no Income" from the "Number of Adults at Start" Column and divide it by Line 2 of Section 5a.	50% += 2 pts <50% = 0 pts	2
g	Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by 2 or more physical/mental health conditions at entry.	Participants with multiple physical/mental health conditions at entry are considered harder to serve than those with no or 1 condition at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - 13a2: Calc: In the "Total Persons" column on table 13a2, add the number in "2 conditions" and "3+ Conditions". Divide this number by the "Total Persons" number shown in Section 13a2.	50% += 2 pts <50% = 0 pts	2
Section 6. Total Score:				9	

Section 7. HMIS Data Quality

13%

a	Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Project Entry Date	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Project Start Date" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% -3% = 1 pt 4% -10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2
b	Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Relationship to Head of Household	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Relationship to Head of Household" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% -3% = 1 pt 4% -10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2
c	Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Disabling Condition	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Disabling Condition" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% -3% = 1 pt 4% -10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2
d	Project's Data Quality: Destination	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Destination" from 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% -3% = 1 pt 4% -10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2

Renewal Projects				Maximum Pts	
e	Project's Data Quality: Income at Entry	HUD is utilizing HMS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Start" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2
f	Project's Data Quality: Income at Annual Assessment	HUD is utilizing HMS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Annual Assessment" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2
g	Project's Data Quality: Income at Exit	HUD is utilizing HMS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Exit" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2
h	Project's Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness	HUD is utilizing HMS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6d Calc: Enter "% of records unable to calculate" from the "Total" row from table 6d.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2
Section 7. Total Score:				16	
TOTAL SCORE (Sections 1-7):				123	

2. New Scorecard

Agency Name: _____

Project Type: _____

New Projects

Maximum Pts

Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Experience

20%

<p>Organization's Capacity and Experience: Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>Describe your organization's, and any partner organization's (e.g., developers, key contractors, etc.), experience with successfully utilizing federal funds in other projects. Provide examples that illustrate experience such as:</p> <p>a working with and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive service needs; developing and implementing relevant program systems, services, and/or residential property construction and rehabilitation; identifying and securing matching funds from a variety of sources, and; managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems</p>	<p>Source: Application - Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative + Attachments - Organizational Budget and Most Recently submitted Federal Form 990</p>	<p>Extensive experience with government grants with high staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 6 pts.</p> <p>Some experience with government grants with some staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 3 pts.</p> <p>Minimum or no experience with government grants and staff has minimum/no experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 0 pts.</p>	<p>6</p>
<p>Experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds. Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>b Include experience with leveraging all federal, state, local and private sector funds. If your organization has no experience leveraging other funds, include the phrase: 'No experience leveraging other federal, state, local, or private sector funds.'</p>	<p>Source: Application</p>	<p>Extensive Experience with Leveraging Funds = 6 pts</p> <p>Some experience = 3 pts</p> <p>No Experience = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>
<p>Financial Management Structure Include how your organization has a functioning accounting system that is operated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or has designated a fiscal agent that will maintain a functioning accounting system for your organization in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Include the fiscal control and accounting procedures to assure proper dispersal of and accounting for federal funds in accordance with the requirements of 2 CFR part 200.</p> <p>Agency Compliance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Past compliance findings or concerns for other funding sources, • Compliance findings/concerns from other monitoring agencies • Any Prior Audit Findings and Questioned Costs indicated in the agency's audited financial reports within the past 3 years or that are older but unresolved. • Status of any of the compliance findings/concerns reported, such as resolved, unresolved 	<p>Source: Application - Financial Management Structure and Using the provided Financial Audit(s) including Supplementary Information and Other Reports and The Management Letter</p>	<p>Structure in Place and full Compliance = 6 pts</p> <p>Structure in Place with partial compliance = 4 pts</p> <p>1 unresolved finding = 2 pts</p> <p>2+ unresolved findings = 0 pt</p>	<p>6</p>
<p>d Overall Organizational Financial Health</p>	<p>Source: Organizational Budget, Experience with leveraging funds, Financial Management Structure, Agency Compliance, supporting documents.</p>	<p>Operational Budget reflects financial stability with multiple streams of funding = 6 pts</p> <p>Operational Budget reflects stability = 3 pts</p> <p>Operational Budget reflects instability = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Section 1 Totals</p>			<p>24</p>

Section 2. Equity & Inclusion

9%

<p>a Agency Lived Experience Analysis</p>	<p>Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details</p> <p>Calc: Count each staff member and board director who has lived experience with homelessness and the homeless response system to determine the point value</p>	<p>5+ = 3 pts</p> <p>3-4 = 2 pts</p> <p>1-2 = 1 pt</p> <p>0 = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>b Agency Racial Equity Analysis</p>	<p>Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details</p> <p>Calc: Count each racial/ethnic category within the organization's staff and Board of Directors to determine the point value.</p>	<p>5+ = 3 pts</p> <p>3-4 = 2 pts</p> <p>1-2 = 1 pt</p> <p>0 = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>Organizational equity and inclusion</p> <p>Does the Project application address equity and inclusion in all areas of the project?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals 2) Racial Equity 3) Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience <p>c Lived Experience specific activities (or similar) listed below, will be scored</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representation on the organization's Board of Director's or other decision-making board • CoC Lived Experience Committee • Emphasis on hiring Person with Lived Experience • Use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback • Satisfaction surveys / comment cards 	<p>Source: Application Question - has made equity and inclusion a priority.</p>	<p>Agency already demonstrates equity and inclusion with a plan to increase = 5 pts</p> <p>Clear plan for inclusion and equity = 3 pts</p> <p>No plan = 0 pts</p>	<p>5</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Section 2 Totals</p>			<p>11</p>

Section 3. CoC Participation

4%

<p>a Applicant is classified as an "Active" Member of the Continuum of Care (CoC) by attending at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings held in the past 12 months.</p>	<p>Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Enter Yes if project applicant attended at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings</p>	<p>Yes = 2 pts</p> <p>No = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>
<p>b Applicant agency has a leadership role in the Brevard County CoC as evidenced by at least 1 of the agency's paid staff serving as Chair or Co-Chair of a CoC Committee or holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council</p>	<p>Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Enter Yes if applicant is listed as having 1+ paid staff who holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council and/or serves as Chair/Co-Chair on a CoC Committee</p>	<p>Applicant staff member Chair/Co-Chairs a Committee and/or holds a seat on the Council = 3 pt</p> <p>Applicant staff member does NOT Chair/Co-Chair a Committee or hold a Council seat = 0 pts</p>	<p>1</p>
<p>c Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?</p>	<p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries</p>	<p>> 10% = 2 pts</p> <p>3%-10% = 1 pt</p> <p>0%-2% = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Section 3 Totals</p>			<p>5</p>

Section 4. Coordinated Entry

4%

<p>a Coordinated Entry High Acuity Referrals/Matches: % of High Acuity Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients</p>	<p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column</p> <p>Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches" to determine points</p>	<p>14% = 3 pts</p> <p>9% - 13% = 2 pts</p> <p>5% - 8% = 1 pt</p> <p>up to 4% = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>b Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List</p>	<p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column</p> <p>Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points</p>	<p>> 10% = 2 pts</p> <p>3%-10% = 1.0 pt</p> <p>0%-2% = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Section 4 Totals</p>			<p>5</p>

Section 5. HMIS

2%

<p>a Applicant's HMIS participation</p> <p>DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points</p>	<p>Applicant agency actively enters data into HMIS as defined as having entered data within the past 90 days (inclusive of any/all of applicants projects using HMIS)</p> <p>Applicant is a non-DV provider and is not a current HMIS partner = 0 pts.</p>	<p>Applicant actively enters data in HMIS = 1 pt.</p> <p>Applicant is an HMIS partner agency but is not actively entering data = 0.5 pts.</p> <p>Applicant is a non-DV provider and is not a current HMIS partner = 0 pts.</p>	<p>1</p>
---	--	--	----------

<p>Experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds. Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>b Include experience with leveraging all federal, state, local and private sector funds. If your organization has no experience leveraging other federal funds, include the phrase "No experience leveraging other federal, state, local, or private sector funds".</p>	<p>Source: Application</p>	<p>Extensive Experience with Leveraging Funds = 6 pts</p> <p>Some experience = 3 pts</p> <p>No Experience = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>
<p>b Applicant's Overall HMS Data Completeness (Quality)</p>	<p>All HMS projects are required to maintain satisfactory completeness (quality). If the applicant has current HMS projects, all current projects will be used to determine the Agency's overall data completeness (quality).</p> <p>DV providers prohibited from entering into HMS will receive maximum points</p> <p>Calc- Review the applicant agency's APR inclusive of all projects. Review sections 6A, 6B, 6C, 6D - Data Elements Percent Error Rate?</p>	<p>Number of Categories with greater than 10% missing data:</p> <p>0 = 2 pts</p> <p>1-2 = 1 pt</p> <p>3+ = 0 pts</p> <p>Applicant is a non-DV provider and does not have a currently operating projects that are entering data into HMS = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>
<p>Section 5 Totals</p>			<p>3</p>

Section 6. Project Proposal & Performance (Outcomes)

58%

<p>Project Description and Scope: Maximum 3500 characters</p> <p>Provide a detailed description of the scope of the project including the target population(s) to be served, project plan for addressing the identified housing and supportive service needs, anticipated project outcome(s), coordination with other organizations (e.g., federal, state, nonprofit), and how the CoC Program funding will be used.</p> <p>Additionally, if your project implements service participation requirements or beyond what is typically included in a lease agreement, describe those requirements and how they will be implemented.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Project Description Narrative.</p> <p>Using all application content, the project addresses the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance</p>	<p>Clearly describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 13 pts</p> <p>Somewhat describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 6 pts</p> <p>Does not or vaguely describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 0 pts</p>	<p>13</p>
<p>Project Health Services Partnerships: Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?</p>	<p>Source: Application - Project Description Narrative.</p> <p>Using all application content and attachments, determine if the application formally addressed this coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding / Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.</p>	<p>Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 6 pts</p> <p>Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts</p> <p>Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts</p> <p>Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 2 pt</p> <p>No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers but the narrative describes a relationship = 1 pts</p> <p>No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers and the narrative does not describe a relationship = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>
<p>Housing First/Low Barrier: To What Extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier? The project adheres to a Housing First model.</p>	<p>Source and Calc: Application</p> <p>Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire - Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)</p>	<p>Maximum of 24 pts.</p>	<p>24</p>
<p>Project Client Demographics/Target Populations: Describes the project's proposed populations to be served, including identifying targets, and information demonstrating an understanding of the needs of the clients they propose to serve.</p> <p>The type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Client Demographics/Target Population</p>	<p>Clearly defines the target demographics of the individuals/households to be served with details that demonstrates an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 10 pts</p> <p>Adequately defines the target demographic, but lacks some detail to demonstrate a full understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 5 pt.</p> <p>Vaguely defines the target demographic, does not demonstrate an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 0 pts</p>	<p>10</p>
<p>Project Performance Outcomes: Outcomes are the primary way for projects to demonstrate effectiveness and impact in effecting ending homelessness.</p> <p>Outcomes should be based on client accomplishments and not on the number of services/activities provided to an individual/household; demonstrate a positive impact on overall system performance</p> <p>Narrative should include the following Outcomes for all project types except SSO-CE. The standard being implemented for our CoC system is indicated in parenthesis.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Percent of participants/households that will exit to a permanent housing situation (80%) - Average Length of time from project enrollment to permanent housing placement (60 days or less) - Percent of adult participants that have increased Earned Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (10%) - Percent of adult participants that have increased Total Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (25%) 	<p>Source: Application - Performance Outcomes</p>	<p>Outcomes are concise, identified and measurable outcomes that can positively impact overall system performance and are not based on number of activities/services = 5 pts</p> <p>Outcomes are adequate, but not concise, included some that are based on number of activities/services, and adequately shows positive impact on overall system performance = 3 pts</p> <p>Outcomes are all based on number of services/activities provided, outcomes are vague, and/or do not demonstrate a positive impact on overall system performance = 0 pts</p>	<p>5</p>
<p>Project Budget and Financial Plan. The average cost per household served is reasonable, meaning that the costs for housing and services provided by the project are consistent with the population the project plans to serve.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Detailed Financial Plan Narrative</p>	<p>Budget information is detailed, clear and complete; aligns with the project descriptions, presents a feasible project = 6 pts</p> <p>Budget information adequately provides necessary information for eligible costs, adequately aligns with the project descriptions, adequately presents a feasible project = 3 pts</p> <p>Budget information includes ineligible costs, vague details, missing key information to support project description and/or does not present a feasible project = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>
<p>Match Commitment</p>	<p>Source: Using Application - Match Narrative and Documentation of Commitment</p>	<p>Detailed, clear and complete match commitment documentation as required by HUD that indicates the applicant understands match and will be able to provide required project match = 6 pts</p> <p>Demonstrates a basic understanding of match, however the match commitment documentation does not meet HUD's requirements, and/or indicates the applicant may have difficulty providing necessary match = 3 pts</p> <p>Vague, missing required commitment documentation required by HUD and/or does not demonstrate an understanding of eligible match and/or the ability to provide required project match = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>
<p>Section 6 Totals</p>			<p>70</p>

Section 7. Overall Review

2%

<p>a Overall Proposal Presentation</p>	<p>The overall presentation of the proposal – content, flow of narratives, adherence to RFP instructions – demonstrates attention to detail and quality</p>	<p>Presented in a detailed, concise organized manner that was easy to understand and review = 3 pts</p> <p>Lacked attention to detail and overall organization of information making it difficult for the reviewer(s) to locate information needed to complete scoring = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>Section 7 Totals</p>			<p>3</p>
<p>TOTAL SCORE (Sections 7): 121</p>			<p>121</p>

3. Housing First Questionnaire

Housing First / Low Barrier Questionnaire

Low barrier/Housing First shelters and permanent housing projects are proven effective in engaging and assisting all sub-populations of homeless persons to a path to permanent, stable housing. Communities have demonstrated that projects can be well-run and safe without requirements that keep many homeless individuals from entering and/or remaining in their projects.

Please answer the following questions related to the **proposed project's eligibility criteria and program rules**.

Score your own questionnaire as follows: "yes" = 0 points, "no" = 1 point, "N/A" = 1 point (24 max. points).

The higher the score, the closer the project is to being Housing First/Low Barrier.

1. Will/Does the project require a background screening prior to project entry (excluding sexual offender/predator check)?

No

2. Will/Does the project prohibit persons with certain criminal convictions (e.g. violent felonies, arson) from entering your project (excluding registered sexual offender/predator)?

No

3. Will/Does the project require participants to be clean and sober prior to project entry and/or during project stay?

No

4. Will/Does the project require alcohol/drug tests on participants suspected of being under the influence?

No

5. Will/Does a positive alcohol/drug test result in termination from the project and/or require participant to participate in substance abuse treatment and/or detox to resume project services?

No

6. Will/Does the project require participants to have a mental health evaluation prior to project entry?

No

7. Will/Does the project require project participants who demonstrate mental health symptoms to participate in mental health services and/or medication compliance (excluding those who present a danger to self or others) as a condition of services?

No

8. Will/Does the project require participants to have income at time of project entry?

No

9. Will/Does the project require participants to obtain an income as a condition of remaining in the project?

No

10. Will/Does the project require participants to participate in supportive services (such as vocational training, employment preparation, budgeting or life skills classes; not including

required case management meetings) as a condition of continued services?

No

11. Will/Does the project require participants to be 'progressing' in their goals in order to remain in the project?

No

12. Will/Does the project require participants to sign a services plan agreement to receive the project services? (Please note a service plan is not the same as a housing plan.)

No

13. Will/Does project participant have to do "chores" as a part of project stay and/or will project participants who do work around the facility receive special treatment or are rewarded with special benefits (applicable for facility/project - based emergency shelters, transitional housing, permanent housing)?

No

14. Will/Does the project include curfews and/or required 'lights out' time for all participants (applicable for facility/project - based emergency shelters, transitional housing, permanent housing)?

No

15. Will/Does the project exclude or refuse project entry based on race, color, religion, national origin, disability, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity and/or gender expression.

No

16. Will/Does the project include any requirements, outside of those typically found in a lease agreement or in "community-living" conduct rules (applicable for facility/project - based emergency shelters, transitional housing, permanent housing, scattered site housing)? Examples of acceptable 'community-living' rules include agreement to be non-violent, agreement to no weapons on site, agreement to no alcohol/drug consumption on site.

No

17. Will a project participant be asked/forced to leave the project and/or will agency refuse service if project participant is disrespectful to a staff member or other project participant, including making verbal threats, acting belligerently, or "having an attitude?"

No

18. Will/Do project participants have to travel to the agency's office(s) to receive the majority of their services, including case management, after they are housed (applicable to scattered-site PSH, RRH and HP projects)?

No

19. Will/Do project participants have to travel to the agency's office(s) to receive the majority of services, including case management (applicable to Street Outreach and Engagement only)?

No

20. Will/Does the project exclude any dependent children in the household, based on age and/or gender, from remaining with the household at the project (applicable for facility/project - based emergency shelters, transitional housing, and permanent housing for households with children)?

No

21. Will/Does the project prohibit any member(s) of a household (as defined by the household),

based on age, gender, biological relationship and/or marital status, from residing together at the project (applicable for facility/project - based emergency shelters, transitional housing, permanent housing, scattered site permanent housing)?

No

22. Will/Does the project exclude any family composition type: single dad, single mom, same gender couples, opposite-gender couples, multi-generational, and non-romantic groups who present for services as a family (applicable to projects that serve households with children under the age of 18)?

No

23. Will/Does project require project participants to be “placed” in accordance with their sex assigned at birth and/or “perceived” gender; and/or require participant to “prove” their gender identity prior to receiving services (applicable for facility/project -based emergency shelters, transitional housing, permanent housing, scattered site permanent housing)?

No

24. Will/Does the project exclude participants who do not have a form of identification (applicable for emergency shelters)?

No

Project Housing First / Low Barrier Score

24

If you answered yes to any of the above, please explain.

4. CoC Agency Attendance Report



CoC Agency Attendance Report

CoC Active Membership - August 2023 -July 2024

Applicant is classified as an “Active” Member of the Continuum of Care (CoC) by attending at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings held in the past 12 months.

Applicant agency has a leadership role in the Brevard County CoC as evidence by at least 1 of the agency’s paid staff serving as Chair or Co-Chair of a CoC Committee or holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council

Agency	Active Participation in CoC					Attendance	Leadership role
	4/4/24	1/18/24	10/12/23	8/3/23			
Carrfour Supportive Housing	y	y	y	y		100%	Yes
Catholic Charities of Central Florida	y	y	y	y		100%	No
Community of Hope	y	y	y	y		100%	Yes
Crosswinds Youth Services	y	n	n	y		50%	No
Daily Bread, Inc	y	y	y	y		100%	Yes
Family Promise of Brevard	y	y	y	y		100%	Yes
HfH Supportive Housing	y	y	y	y		100%	Yes
North Brevard Charities	n	y	n	y		50%	No
Operation New Hope	y	y	y	n		75%	Yes
Safe place Treatment Services Corporation	y	y	y	y		100%	No
Shelter of Grace Inc.	y	n	n	n		25%	No
South Brevard Women's Center, Inc.	y	y	y	y		100%	No
Space Coast Recovery	n	n	n	n		0%	No
Substance Abuse Services, Inc doing business as RASE Project	y	y	y	y		100%	No
WAYS for Life, Inc.	y	y	n	n		50%	Yes

5. Coordinated Entry Audit Report

Coordinated Entry Audit Report (August 2023 - July 2024)
Reporting Period: 08/01/2023-07/31/2024

ACCESS POINT

This section is reviewing access points that are entering clients into Coordinated Entry

	Total # of Households on CE List	Total # of Clients that could not be contacted	Average Surveys/Assessments Score of HH on CE List	Total # of Family Surveys/Assessments	Average Family Surveys/Assessments Score	Total # of Individual Surveys/Assessments	Average Individual Surveys/Assessments Score	Total # of Youth Surveys/Assessments	Average Youth Surveys/Assessments Score	Total # of Clients with SSDI
All Agencies	648	19	8.79	173	9	450	9	2	9	86
2-1-1 Brevard, Inc	136	7	9	46	9	90	9	0	0	32
Aspire Health Partner	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brevard Homeless Coalition	22	0	9	8	9	14	9	0	0	6
Bridges at Patriot House	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carrfour Supportive Housing Inc.	30	1	9	2	12	28	9	0	0	2
Catholic Charities	6	0	9	2	9	4	9	0	0	0
Circles Of Care	41	0	13	1	16	40	13	0	0	1
CITA Inc.	1	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
Community of Hope	59	1	7	39	7	20	7	0	0	5
Coordinated Entry	21	1	10	10	11	11	9	0	0	4
Crosswinds Youth Services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Daily Bread	161	3	10	3	13	158	9	0	0	18
Eckerd	14	0	10	10	11	4	8	0	0	0
Family Promise of Brevard, Inc.	3	0	7	3	7	0	0	0	0	0
Genesis House	9	0	10	7	10	2	9	0	0	1
Helps Community Initiatives	8	0	8	2	12	5	8	1	2	1
Hfh Supportive Housing	16	0	11	7	12	9	10	0	0	4
National Veterans Homeless Support, Inc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Life Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
North Brevard Charities	2	1	9	1	10	1	7	0	0	0
Salvation Army of North Central Brevard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Salvation Army of South Brevard	10	0	8	6	9	4	7	0	0	0
Serene Harbor	6	0	8	5	8	1	8	0	0	0
Sharing Center of Central Brevard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
South Brevard Sharing Center	1	0	5	0	0	1	5	0	0	0
Space Coast Health Centers	12	2	9	6	9	6	10	0	0	3
Space Coast Health Foundation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tooley Community Development Group, Inc.	35	0	8	10	8	25	7	0	0	5
Viera VA Outpatient Clinic	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vietnam Veterans of Brevard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Volunteers of America	22	2	10	3	10	19	9	0	0	2
Ways for Life	5	0	8	2	8	3	8	0	0	0

AGENCY MATCHES

This section is reviewing agencies receiving matches from the Coordinated Entry System

2024 CE Participation

2023 CE Participation

	# of Attempted Matches	Active Matches	# of Low Acuity Matches	# of Moderate Acuity Matches	# of High Acuity Matches	# of Agency Returned Matches	# of Auto-Returned Matches	Total Matches	% of Matches taken from the list	% of Highest Acuity Matches for Agency	% of Highest Acuity Matches from CE List	Agency Kept Matches (Not returned or auto returned)	% successful matches	Total Matches	% of Matches taken from the list	% of Highest Acuity Matches	% of Highest Acuity Matches	Agency Kept Matches (Not returned or auto returned)	% successful matches
All Agencies	225	6	1	59	163	33	36	223	100%	73%	100%	154	69%	156	100%	80%	100%	38	24%
Brevard Homeless Coalition	8	0	0	5	3	0	0	8	4%	38%	2%	8	100%	33	21%	70%	18%	7	21%
Carrfour	8	0	0	0	8	0	0	8	4%	100%	5%	8	100%						
Catholic Charities	30	0	0	9	21	2	10	30	13%	70%	13%	18	60%	11	24%	82%	20%	3	27%
Circles Of Care	46	0	0	0	45	0	1	45	20%	100%	28%	44	98%	21	47%	100%	47%	0	0%
Community of Hope	24	1	0	8	15	0	1	23	10%	65%	9%	22	96%	21	47%	81%	38%	16	76%
Daily Bread	4	0	0	1	3	0	0	4	2%	75%	2%	4	100%	7	16%	100%	16%	0	0%
Eckerd	29	3	0	4	25	2	8	29	13%	86%	15%	19	66%	21	47%	67%	31%	0	0%
Family Promise of Brevard, Inc.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	2	4%	100%	4%	2	100%
Genesis House	10	1	0	1	9	0	1	10	4%	90%	6%	9	90%	12	27%	75%	20%	7	58%
Hfh Supportive Housing (RRH)	27	1	0	10	17	8	2	27	12%	63%	10%	17	63%	26	58%	81%	47%	4	15%
Serene Harbor	9	0	0	3	6	0	2	9	4%	67%	4%	7	78%						
South Brevard Sharing Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	5	11%	100%	11%	2	40%
Space Coast Health Centers	6	0	1	1	4	0	4	6	3%	67%	2%	2	33%						
Tooley Community Development Group, Inc.	69	0	1	27	41	28	9	69	31%	59%	25%	32	46%						
Womens Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%						

6. HMIS Participation Report



HMIS Participation Report

Applicant agency actively enters data into HMIS as defined as having entered data within the past 90 days (inclusive of any/all of applicants' projects using HMIS)

DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points

Agency	Yes/No	Active w/in 90 Days
211	Yes	Yes
Aspire HP	Yes	Yes
Bridges at Patriot House	No	No
Carrfour Supportive Housing	Yes	Yes
Catholic Charities of Central Florida	Yes	Yes
Circles of Care	Yes	Yes
CITA, Inc.	Yes	Yes
Community of Hope	Yes	Yes
Crosswinds Youth Services	Yes	Yes
Daily Bread	Yes	Yes
Eckerd connects	Yes	Yes
Family Promise of brevard	Yes	Yes
Genesis House	Yes	Yes
Helps Community Initiative	Yes	Yes
HfH Supportive Housing	Yes	Yes
National Veterans Homeless Support	Yes	Yes
New Life Mission	Yes	Yes
North Brevard Charities	Yes	Yes
Safe Place Treatment Services, Corp.	No	No
Salvation Army of North Central Brevard	Yes	Yes
Salvation Army of South Brevard	Yes	Yes
Serene Harbor	Yes	Yes
Sharing Center of Central Brevard	Yes	Yes
South Brevard Sharing Center	Yes	Yes
Space Coast Health Centers	Yes	Yes
South Brevard Women's Center, Inc.	Yes	Yes
Tooley Community Development Group	Yes	Yes
Viera VA Outpatient Clinic	Yes	Yes
Vietnam Veterans of Brevard	Yes	Yes
Volunteers of America	Yes	Yes
WAYS for Life, Inc.	Yes	Yes

*NOTE: Safe Place Treatment Services, Corp onboarded to HMIS on August 13, 2024.

6. SAMPLE APR Report

HUD: Annual Performance Report (APR)

ReportID: 583786

HfH Supportive Housing

Project included in the Report: CHH - PH1

Report Run Date: 9/25/2024 - 6:07:34 PM

Report Dates: 10/01/2022-09/30/2023

[CUSTOM - View DUPLICATED Clients \(New Window or Excel\)](#)

4a. Project Identifiers in HMIS (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

Organization Name	Organization ID	Project Name	Project ID	HMIS Project Type	RRH Suntype	Coordinated Entry Access Point	Affiliated with a residential project	ProjectIDs of affiliations	CoC Number	Geocode	Victim Service Provider	HMIS Software Name and Version Number	Report Start Date	Report End Date	Total Active Clients	Total Active Households
HfH Supportive Housing	CHH	PH1	PRO184	3		0			FL-513	129009	0	Bell Data Systems Inc. - Client Services Network v5.0	10/01/2022	09/30/2023	45	25

5a. Report Validity (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

1. Category	Count	Client Count	Count	Client Count
2. Total Number of Persons Served		45		45
3. Number of Adults (18 or over)		34		34
4. Number of Children (Under 18)				
5. Number of Persons with Unknown Age				
6. Number of Lovers		10		10
7. Number of Adult Stayers		8		8
8. Number of Adult Heads of Household		8		8
9. Number of Stayers		35		35
10. Number of Adult Stayers		26		26
11. Number of Veterans		1		1
12. Number of Chronically Homeless Persons		10		10
13. Number of Youth Under Age 25		0		0
14. Number of Parenting Youth Under Age 25 with Children		0		0
15. Number of Adult Heads of Household		25		25
16. Number of Child and Unknown Age Heads of Household		0		0
17. Heads of Households and Adult Stayers in the Project 365 days or more		22		22

6a. Personally Identifiable Information (PII) (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

Data Element	Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	Information Missing	Data Issues	Total	Percentage Error Rate
Name	0	0	0	0	0%
Social Security Number	0	0	0	0	0%
Date of Birth	0	0	0	0	0%
Race and Ethnicity	0	0	0	0	0%
Gender	0	0	0	0	0%
Overall Score				0	0%

6b. Universal Data Elements (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

Data Element	Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	Information Missing	Data Issues	Total	Percentage Error Rate
Veteran Status	0	0	0	0	0%
Project Start Date			0	0	0%
Relationship to Head of Household		0	0	0	0%
Enrollment CoC		0	0	0	0%
Disabling Condition	0	0	0	0	0%

6c. Income and Housing Data Quality (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

Data Element	Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	Information Missing	Data Issues	Total	Percentage Error Rate
Destination	0	0	0	0	0%
Income Sources at Start	0	0	0	0	0%
Income Sources at Annual Assessment	0	1	0	1	4.55%
Income Sources at Exit	0	0	0	0	0%

6d. Chronic Homelessness (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

Entering into Project Type	Count of Total Records	Missing Time in Institution	Missing Time in Housing	Missing Approximate Date Started	Missing/Do not know/Refused Number of Times	Missing/Do not know/Refused Number of Months	Percentage Records Unable to calculate
ES, SH, Street Outreach	0			0	0	0	0%
TH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
PH (all)	30	0	0	0	0	0	0%
CE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
SSO, Day Shelter, HP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	30						0%

6e. Timeliness (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)		
Time of Record Entry	Number of Project Start Records	Number of Project Exit Records
<0 days	1	0
0 days	32	5
1-3 days	7	0
4-6 days	1	0
7-10 days	0	0
11 plus days	4	5

6f. Inactive Records - Street Outreach and Emergency Shelter (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)			
Data Element	Number of Records	Number of Inactive Records	Percentage of Inactive Records
Contact (Adults and HOH in Street Outreach or ES-night-by-night)	0	0	0%
Bed Nights (All Clients in ES-night-by-night)	0	0	0%

7a. Number of Persons Served (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Adults	34	23	11	0	0
Children	11	0	11	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	45	23	22	0	0
For PSH/RRH - total persons served who moved into housing	38	18	20	0	0

7b. Point-In-Time Count of Persons on the Last Wednesday (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
January	18	15	18	0	0
April	18	14	18	0	0
July	15	11	15	0	0
October	18	12	18	0	0

8a. Number of Households Served (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Total Households	25	17	8	0	0
For PSH/RRH - total households served who moved into housing	21	14	7	0	0

8b. Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
January	17	11	6	0	0
April	16	10	6	0	0
July	15	10	5	0	0
October	18	12	6	0	0

9a. Number of Persons Contacted (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)				
	All Persons Contacted	First contact - NOT staying on the Streets, ES or SH	First contact - WAS staying on the Streets, ES or SH	First contact - Worker unable to determine
Once	0	0	0	0
2-5 Times	0	0	0	0
6-9 Times	0	0	0	0
10+ Times	0	0	0	0
Total Persons Contacted	0	0	0	0

9b. Number of Persons Engaged (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)				
	All Persons Contacted	First contact - NOT staying on the Streets, ES or SH	First contact - WAS staying on the Streets, ES or SH	First contact - Worker unable to determine
Once	0	0	0	0
2-5 Times	0	0	0	0
6-9 Times	0	0	0	0
10+ Times	0	0	0	0
Total Persons Engaged	0	0	0	0
Rate of Engagement	0	0	0	0

10a. Gender of Adults (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Woman	27	14	13	0	0
Man	18	9	9	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Man	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Culturally Specific Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Transgender	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0

Man/Culturally Specific Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Transgender	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Transgender	0	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Binary/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Binary/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Questioning/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
More than 2 Gender Identities Selected	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	45	23	22	0	0

11. Age (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Under 5	4	0	4	0	0
5-12	5	0	5	0	0
13-17	2	0	2	0	0
18-24	1	1	0	0	0
25-34	4	3	1	0	0
35-44	8	4	4	0	0
45-54	2	2	0	0	0
55-64	10	10	0	0	0
65 +	3	3	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	45	23	22	0	0

SAMPLE

12. Race (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	0	0	0	0	0
Asian or Asian American	0	0	0	0	0
Black, African American, or African	14	5	9	0	0
Hispanic/Latina/e/o	0	0	0	0	0
Middle Eastern or North African	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
White	29	17	12	0	0
Asian or Asian American & American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	0	0	0	0	0
Black, African American, or African & American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/Latina/e/o & American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	0	0	0	0	0
Middle Eastern or North African & American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander & American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	0	0	0	0	0
White & American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	0	0	0	0	0
Black, African American, or African & Asian or Asian American	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/Latina/e/o & Asian or Asian American	0	0	0	0	0
Middle Eastern or North African & Asian or Asian American	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander & Asian or Asian American	0	0	0	0	0
White & Asian or Asian American	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/Latina/e/o & Black, African American, or African	0	0	0	0	0
Middle Eastern or North African & Black, African American, or African	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander & Black, African American, or African	0	0	0	0	0
White & Black, African American, or African	0	0	0	0	0
Middle Eastern or North African & Hispanic/Latina/e/o	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander & Hispanic/Latina/e/o	0	0	0	0	0
White & Hispanic/Latina/e/o	2	1	1	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander & Middle Eastern or North African	0	0	0	0	0
White & Middle Eastern or North African	0	0	0	0	0
White & Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Multiracial – more than 2 races/ethnicity, with one being Hispanic/Latina/e/o	0	0	0	0	0
Multiracial – more than 2 races, where no option is Hispanic/Latina/e/o	0	0	0	0	0

Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	45	23	22	0	0

13a1. Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Start (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children and Adults	Children in HH with Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Mental Health Disorder	11	7	4	0	0	0
Alcohol Use Disorder	2	2	0	0	0	0
Drug Use Disorder	1	0	1	0	0	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Use Disorder	3	2	1	0	0	0
Chronic Health Condition	7	2	5	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0	0	0
Developmental Disability	7	4	1	2	0	0
Physical Disability	16	12	4	0	0	0

13a2. Number of Conditions at Start (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children and Adults	Children in HH with Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
None	14	2	3	9	0	0
1 Condition	18	15	1	2	0	0
2 Conditions	9	4	5	0	0	0
3+ Conditions	4	2	2	0	0	0
Condition Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	45	23	11	11	0	0

SAMPLE

13b1. Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Exit (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children and Adults	Children in HH with Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Mental Health Disorder	3	2	0	0	0	0
Alcohol Use Disorder	0	0	0	0	0	0
Drug Use Disorder	0	0	1	0	0	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Use Disorder	1	1	0	0	0	0
Chronic Health Condition	2	1	1	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0	0	0
Developmental Disability	1	1	0	0	0	0
Physical Disability	3	2	1	0	0	0

13b2. Number of Conditions at Exit (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children and Adults	Children in HH with Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
None	3	0	1	2	0	0
1 Condition	1	1	0	0	0	0
2 Conditions	2	1	1	0	0	0
3+ Conditions	3	2	1	0	0	0
Condition Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	1	1	0	0	0	0
Total	10	5	3	2	0	0

13c1. Physical and Mental Health Conditions of Stayers (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children and Adults	Children in HH with Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Mental Health Disorder	10	7	3	0	0	0
Alcohol Use Disorder	1	1	0	0	0	0
Drug Use Disorder	0	0	0	0	0	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Use Disorder	3	2	1	0	0	0
Chronic Health Condition	6	2	4	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0	0	0
Developmental Disability	7	3	2	2	0	0
Physical Disability	13	10	3	0	0	0

13c2. Number of Conditions for Stayers (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children and Adults	Children in HH with Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
None	10	1	2	7	0	0
1 Condition	14	11	1	2	0	0
2 Conditions	7	4	3	0	0	0
3+ Conditions	4	2	2	0	0	0
Condition Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	35	18	8	9	0	0

14a. Domestic Violence History (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Yes	4	2	2	0	0
No	30	21	9	0	0

Client Doesn't Know/Preferes not to answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	34	23	11	0	0

14b. Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Within the past three months	1	1	0	0	0
Three to six months ago	0	0	0	0	0
Six months to one year	0	0	0	0	0
One year ago, or more	1	1	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Preferes not to answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	4	2	2	0	0

15. Living Situation (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Homeless Situations					
Place not meant for habitation	11	9	2	0	0
Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher, Host Home shelter	8	1	7	0	0
Safe Haven	1	0	1	0	0
Subtotal	20	10	10	0	0
Institutional Situations					
Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0	0	0	0
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility	0	0	0	0	0
Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
Psychiatric hospital or psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
Substance abuse treatment or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Temporary Situations					
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	2	2	0	0	0
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	2	2	0	0	0
Host Home (non-crisis)	0	0	0	0	0
Staying or living in a friend's room, apartment, or house	1	1	0	0	0
Staying or living in a family member's room, apartment, or house	3	2	1	0	0
Subtotal	8	7	1	0	0
Permanent Situations					
Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	3	3	0	0	0
Rental by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	3	3	0	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	6	6	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Preferes not to answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Total	34	23	11	0	0

16. Cash Income - Ranges (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Income at Start	Income at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	Income at Exit for Leavers
No Income	6	3	1
\$1 - \$150	0	0	0
\$151 - \$250	1	1	0
\$251 - \$500	0	0	0
\$501 - \$1,000	19	12	5
\$1,001 - \$1,500	6	3	1
\$1,501 - \$2,000	2	2	1
\$2,001+	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Preferes not to answer	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	1	0
Number of Adult Stayers not yet required to have an Annual Assessment		4	
Number of Adult Stayers without required Annual Assessment		1	
Total Adults	34	26	8

17. Cash Income - Sources (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Income at Start	Income at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	Income at Exit for Leavers
Earned Income	2	0	1
Unemployment Insurance	0	0	0
SSI	7	7	1

SSDI	21	11	5
VA Service Connected Disability Compensation	0	0	0
VA Non-Service Connected Disability Compensation	0	0	0
Private Disability Insurance	0	0	0
Worker's Compensation	0	0	0
TANF or Equivalent	0	0	0
General Assistance	1	0	0
Retirement (Social Security)	0	0	0
Pension from Former Job	0	0	0
Child Support	1	1	0
Alimony (Spousal Support)	0	0	0
Other Source	1	1	0
Adults with Income Information at Start and Annual Assessment/Exit		21	8

18. Client Cash Income Category - Earned/Other Income Category (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Number of Adults at Start	Number of Adults at Annual Assessment (Stayers)	Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)
Adults with Only Earned Income	2	0	1
Adults with Only Other Income	26	18	6
Adults with Both Earned and Other Income	0	0	0
Adults with No Income	6	3	1
Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer Income Information	0	0	0
Adults with Missing Income Information	0	1	0
Number of Adult Stayers not yet required to have an Annual Assessment		4	
Number of Adult Stayers without required Annual Assessment		1	
Total Adults	34	26	8
1 or More Source		18	
Adults with Income Information at Start and Annual Assessment/Exit		21	8

SAMPLE

19a1. Client Cash Income Change - Income Source - By Start and Exit Status (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

Income Change by Income Category	Had Income Category at Latest Status	Retained Income Category but had less \$ at Latest Status than at Start	Retained Income Category and same \$ at Latest Status as at Start	Retained Income Category and increased \$ at Latest Status	Did not have the Income Category at Start and gained the Income Category at Latest Status	Did not have the Income Category at Start or at Latest Status	Total Adults (including those with no income)	Performance Measures: Adults who gained or increased income from Start to Latest Status, Average Gain	Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure
Number of Adults with Earned Income	0	0	0	0	0	21	21	0	0%
Average change in Earned Income	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00			\$0.00	
Number of Adults with Other Income	0	3	0	13	2	3	21	15	0%
Average change in Earned Income	\$0.00	(\$111.00)		\$107.00	\$915.00			\$215.00	
Number of Adults with Any Income	0	3	0	13	2	3	21	15	0%
Average change in Earned Income	\$0.00	(\$111.00)		\$107.00	\$915.00		\$138.00	\$215.00	

19a2. Client Cash Income Change - Income Source - By Start and Exit (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

Income Change by Income Category	Had Income Category at Start and did not have it at Exit	Retained Income Category but had less \$ at Exit than at Start	Retained Income Category and same \$ at Exit as at Start	Retained Income Category and increased \$ at Exit	Did not have the Income Category at Start and gained the Income Category at Exit	Did not have the Income Category at Start or at Exit	Total Adults (including those with no income)	Performance Measures: Adults who gained or increased income from Start to Exit, Average Gain	Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure
Number of Adults with Earned Income	0	0	0	1	0	7	8	1	0%
Average change in Earned Income	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$375.00	\$0.00			\$375.00	
Number of Adults with Other Income	0	0	0	6	0	2	8	6	0%
Average change in Earned Income	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$99.00	\$0.00			\$99.00	
Number of Adults with Any Income	0	0	0	7	0	1	8	7	0%
Average change in Earned Income	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$139.00	\$0.00		\$121.00	\$139.00	

19b. Disabling Conditions and Income for Adults at Exit (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	AO: Adult with Disabling Condition	AO: Adult without Disabling Condition	AO: Total Adults	AO: % with Disabling Condition by Source	AC: Adult with Disabling Condition	AC: Adult without Disabling Condition	AC: Total Adults	AC: % with Disabling Condition by Source	UK: Adult with Disabling Condition	UK: Adult without Disabling Condition	UK: Total Adults	UK: % with Disabling Condition by Source
Earned Income	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	100	0	0	0	0

Unemployment Insurance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Supplemental Security Income (SSI)	1	0	1	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI)	3	1	4	0	1	0	1	100	0	0	0	0
VA Service-Connected Disability Compensation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VA Non-Service-Connected Disability Pension	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Private Disability Insurance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Worker's Compensation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
General Assistance (GA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Retirement Income from Social Security	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pension or retirement income from a former job	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Child Support	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alimony and other spousal support	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other source	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
No Sources	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Unduplicated Total Adults	4	1	5		2	1	3		0	0	0	0

20a. Type of Non-Cash Benefit Sources (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Benefit at Start	Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	Benefit at Exit for Leavers
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program	15	14	5
WIC	0	0	0
TANF Child Care Services	0	0	0
TANF Transportation Services	0	0	0
Other TANF-Fund Sources	0	0	0
Other Source	0	0	0

20b. Number of Non-Cash Benefit Sources (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Benefit at Start	Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	Benefit at Exit for Leavers
No Sources	19	11	2
1+ Source(s)	15	10	5
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0
Data Not Collected/Not stayed long enough for Annual Assessment	0	5	0
Total	34	26	7

21. Health Insurance (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	At Start	At Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
MEDICAID	33	24	10
MEDICARE	11	10	3
State Children's Health Insurance Program	0	0	0
Veteran's Health Administration (VHA)	0	0	0
Employer Provided Health Insurance	0	0	0
Health Insurance through COBRA	0	0	0
Private Pay Health Insurance	0	0	0
State Health Insurance for Adults	0	0	0
Indian Health Services Program	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
No Health Insurance	9	1	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	1	0
Number of stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment		6	
1 Source of Health Insurance	28	20	7
More than 1 Source of Health Insurance	8	7	3

22a1. Length of Participation - CoC Projects (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

Length	Total	Leavers	Stayers
30 days or less	0	0	0
31 to 60 days	1	0	1
61 to 90 days	0	0	0
91 to 180 days	4	2	2
181 to 365 days	4	1	3
366 to 730 Days (1-2 Yrs)	13	2	11
731 to 1,095 Days (2-3 Yrs)	13	1	12
1096 to 1460 Days (3-4 Yrs)	5	3	2
1461 to 1825 Days (4-5 Yrs)	1	1	0
More than 1825 Days (> 5 Yrs)	4	0	4
Total	45	10	35

22b. Average and Median Length of Participation in Days (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

Length	Leavers	Stayers
Average Length	174	706
Median Length	1233	731

22c. RRH Length of Time between Project Start Date and Residential Move-in Date (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	3	1	2	0	0

8 to 14 days	0	0	0	0	0
15 to 21 days	0	0	0	0	0
22 to 30 days	0	0	0	0	0
31 to 60 days	0	0	0	0	0
61 to 90 days	0	0	0	0	0
91 to 180 days	0	0	0	0	0
181 to 365 days	0	0	0	0	0
366-730 days (1-2 years)	0	0	0	0	0
Total Persons moved into housing	3	1	2	0	0
Average length of time to housing	0	0	0	0	0
Persons Exited without move-in	0	0	0	0	0
Total Persons	3	1	2	0	0

22e. Length of Time Prior to Housing - based on 3.917 Date Homelessness Started (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	0	0	0	0	0
8 to 14 days	0	0	0	0	0
15 to 21 days	0	0	0	0	0
22 to 30 days	0	0	0	0	0
31 to 60 days	3	0	3	0	0
61 to 90 days	3	0	3	0	0
91 to 180 days	3	1	2	0	0
181 to 365 days	5	1	4	0	0
366-730 days (1-2 years)	0	0	0	0	0
731 days or more	6	3	3	0	0
Total (persons moved into housing)	20	5	15	0	0
Not yet moved into housing	7	5	2	0	0
Data not collected	18	13	5	0	0
Total	45	23	22	0	0

22f. Length of Time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date by Race and Ethnicity (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	Asian or Asian American	Black, African American, or African	Hispanic/Latina/e/o	Middle Eastern or North African	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	White	At Least 1 Race and Hispanic/Latina/e/o	Multi-racial (includes other races)	Unknown (Doesn't Know, Prefers not to answer, Data not collected)
Persons Moved Into Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Persons Exited Without Move-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average time to Move-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Median time to Move-In	731	731	731	731	731	731	0	0	0	0

22g. Length of Time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date by Race and Ethnicity (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	Asian or Asian American	Black, African American, or African	Hispanic/Latina/e/o	Middle Eastern or North African	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	White	At Least 1 Race and Hispanic/Latina/e/o	Multi-racial (does not include Hispanic/Latina/e/o)	Unknown (Doesn't Know, Prefers not to answer, Data not collected)
Persons Moved Into Housing	0	0	3	0	0	0	16	0	0	0
Persons Not Yet Moved Into Housing	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
Average time to Move-In	0	0	82	0	0	0	1213	0	0	0
Median time to Move-In	0	0	82	82	82	82	345	345	345	345

23c. Exit Destination - All Persons (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Homeless Situations					
Place not meant for habitation	0	0	0	0	0
Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher, Host Home shelter	0	0	0	0	0
Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Institutional Situations					
Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0	0	0	0
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility	0	0	0	0	0
Long-term care facility or nursing home	1	1	0	0	0
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	1	1	0	0	0
Temporary Situations					
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	0	0	0	0	0
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	0	0	0	0	0
Host Home (non-crisis)	0	0	0	0	0

Staying or living with family, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
Staying or living with friends, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Situations					
Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	4	2	2	0	0
Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	4	1	3	0	0
Rental by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	1	1	0	0	0
Subtotal	9	4	5	0	0
Other Situations					
No Exit Interview completed	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Total	10	5	5	0	0
Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations		4	5	0	0
Total persons exiting to situations that excluded them from housing		1	0	0	0
Percentage of persons exiting to positive housing destinations	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%

SAMPLE

23d. Exit Destination - Subsidy Type of Persons Exiting to Rental by Client With An Ongoing Subsidy (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
VASH housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
RRH or equivalent subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
HCV voucher (tenant or project based) (not dedicated)	0	0	0	0	0
Public housing unit	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with other ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Housing Stability Voucher	0	0	0	0	0
Family Unification Program Voucher (FUP)	0	0	0	0	0
Foster Youth to Independence Initiative (FYI)	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Supportive Housing	0	0	0	0	0
Other permanent housing dedicated for formerly homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

23e. Exit Destination Type by Race and Ethnicity (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total	American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	Asian or Asian American	Black, African American, or African	Hispanic/Latina/e/o	Middle Eastern or North African	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	White	At Least 1 Race and Hispanic/Latina/e/o	Multi-racial (does not include Hispanic/Latina/e/o)	Unknown (Doesn't Know, Prefers not to answer, Data not collected)
Homeless Situations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Institutional Situations	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Temporary Situations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Situations	9	0	0	3	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	10	0	0	4	0	0	0	5	0	0	0

24b. Moving On Assistance Provided to Households in PSH (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Subsidized housing application assistance	0	0	0	0	0
Financial assistance for Moving On	0	0	0	0	0
Non-financial assistance for Moving On	0	0	0	0	0
Housing referral/placement	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0

24c. Sexual Orientation of Adults in PSH (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Heterosexual	0	0	0	0	0
Gay	0	0	0	0	0
Lesbian	0	0	0	0	0

Bisexual	0	0	0	0	0
Questioning/Unsure	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	34	23	11	0	0
Total	34	23	11	0	0

24d. Language of Persons Requiring Translation Assistance (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

Language Response (Top 20 Languages Selected)	Total Persons Requiring Translation Assistance
Different Preferred Language [21]	0
Total [0]	0

25a. Number of Veterans (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	Unknown Household Type
Chronically Homeless Veteran	0	0	0	0
Not Chronically Homeless Veteran	1	0	1	0
Not a Veteran	33	23	10	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0
Total	34	23	11	0

25b. Number of Veteran Households (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	Unknown Household Type
Chronically Homeless Veteran	0	0	0	0
Not Chronically Homeless Veteran	1	0	1	0
Not a Veteran	24	7	7	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0
Total	25	17	8	0

SAMPLE

25c. Gender - Veterans (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	Unknown Household Type
Woman	1	0	1	0
Man	1	0	1	0
Culturally Specific Identity	0	0	0	0
Transgender	0	0	0	0
Non-Binary	0	0	0	0
Questioning	0	0	0	0
Different Identity	0	0	0	0
Woman/Man	0	0	0	0
Woman/Culturally Specific Identity	0	0	0	0
Woman/Transgender	0	0	0	0
Woman/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0
Woman/Questioning	0	0	0	0
Woman/Different Identity	0	0	0	0
Man/Culturally Specific Identity	0	0	0	0
Man/Transgender	0	0	0	0
Man/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0
Man/Questioning	0	0	0	0
Man/Different Identity	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Transgender	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Questioning	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Different Identity	0	0	0	0
Transgender/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0
Transgender/Questioning	0	0	0	0
Transgender/Different Identity	0	0	0	0
Non-Binary/Questioning	0	0	0	0
Non-Binary/Different Identity	0	0	0	0
Questioning/Different Identity	0	0	0	0
More than 2 Gender Identities Selected	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	1	0

25d. Age - Veterans (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	Unknown Household Type
18-24	0	0	0	0
25-34	0	0	0	0
35-44	0	0	0	0
45-54	0	0	0	0
55-64	1	0	1	0
65 +	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	1	0

25i. Exit Destination - Veterans (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

Homeless Situations	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type

Place not meant for habitation	0	0	0	0	0
Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher, Host Home shelter	0	0	0	0	0
Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Institutional Situations					
Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0	0	0	0
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility	0	0	0	0	0
Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Temporary Situations					
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	0	0	0	0	0
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	0	0	0	0	0
Host Home (non-crisis)	0	0	0	0	0
Staying or living with family, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
Staying or living with friends, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Situations					
Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	1	0	1	0	0
Rental by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	1	0	1	0	0
Other Situations					
No Exit Interview completed	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	1	0	0
Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations	1	0	1	0	0
Total persons exiting to destinations that excluded them from the calculation	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of persons exiting to positive housing destinations	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%

SAMPLE

23j. Subsidy Type of Persons Exiting to Rental by Client With An Ongoing Subsidy – Veterans (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)				
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	Unknown Household Type
GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0
VASH housing subsidy	0	0	0	0
RRH or equivalent subsidy	0	0	0	0
HCV voucher (tenant or project based) (not dedicated)	0	0	0	0
Public housing unit	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with other ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0
Housing Stability Voucher	0	0	0	0
Family Unification Program Voucher (FUP)	0	0	0	0
Foster Youth to Independence Initiative (FYI)	0	0	0	0
Permanent Supportive Housing	0	0	0	0
Other permanent housing dedicated for formerly homeless persons	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

26a. Number of Households with at least one or more Chronically Homeless Person (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Chronically Homeless	6	5	1	0	0
Not Chronically Homeless	19	12	7	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	25	17	8	0	0

26b. Number of Chronically Homeless Persons by Household (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Chronically Homeless	10	7	3	0	0
Not Chronically Homeless	35	16	19	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	45	23	22	0	0

26c. Gender of Chronically Homeless Persons (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Woman	6	5	1	0	0
Man	4	2	2	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Man	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Culturally Specific Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Transgender	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Culturally Specific Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Transgender	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Transgender	0	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Binary/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Binary/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Questioning/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
More than 2 Gender Identities Selected	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	10	7	3	0	0

SAMPLE

Q26d. Age of Chronically Homeless Persons (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
0-17	1	0	1	0	0
18-24	0	0	0	0	0
25-34	1	1	0	0	0
35-44	4	3	1	0	0
45-54	2	1	1	0	0
55-64	2	2	0	0	0
65 +	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	10	7	3	0	0

26e. Physical and Mental Health Conditions - Chronically Homeless Persons (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)			
	At Start	At Annual Assessment (Stayers)	At Exit (Leavers)
Mental Health Disorder	3	3	0
Alcohol Use Disorder	0	0	0
Drug Use Disorder	0	0	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Use Disorder	1	1	1
Chronic Health Condition	2	2	0
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0
Developmental Disability	5	4	1
Physical Disability	2	2	0

Q27a. Age of Youth (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)					
12-17		0	0	0	0
18-24		0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer		0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected		0	0	0	0
Total		0	0	0	0

27b. Parenting Youth (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)				
	Total Parenting Youth	Total Children of Parenting Youth	Total Persons	Total Households
Parenting Youth younger than 18				0
Parenting Youth 18 to 24				0

27c. Gender of Youth (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)					
--	--	--	--	--	--

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Woman	0	0	0	0	0
Man	0	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Man	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Culturally Specific Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Transgender	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Culturally Specific Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Transgender	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Transgender	0	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Binary/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Binary/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Questioning/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
More than 2 Gender Identities Selected	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefer not to answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

SAMPLE

27d. Living Situations (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Homeless Situations					
Place not meant for habitation	0	0	0	0	0
Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher, Host Home shelter	0	0	0	0	0
Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Institutional Situations					
Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0	0	0	0
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility	0	0	0	0	0
Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Temporary Situations					
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	0	0	0	0	0
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	0	0	0	0	0
Host Home (non-crisis)	0	0	0	0	0
Staying or living in a friend's room, apartment, or house	0	0	0	0	0
Staying or living in a family member's room, apartment, or house	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Situations					
Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefer not to answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

27e. Length of Participation - Youth (View Sub Report in a [New Window](#) or in [Excel](#))

Length	Total	Leavers	Stayers
30 days or less	0	0	0
31 to 60 days	0	0	0

61 to 90 days	0	0	0
91 to 180 days	0	0	0
181 to 365 days	0	0	0
366 to 730 Days (1-2 Yrs)	0	0	0
731 to 1,095 Days (2-3 Yrs)	0	0	0
1096 to 1460 Days (3-4 Yrs)	0	0	0
1461 to 1825 Days (4-5 Yrs)	0	0	0
More than 1825 Days (> 5 Yrs)	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0

27f1. Exit Destination - Youth (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Homeless Situations					
Place not meant for habitation	0	0	0	0	0
Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher, Host Home shelter	0	0	0	0	0
Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Institutional Situations					
Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0	0	0	0
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility	0	0	0	0	0
Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Temporary Situations					
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	0	0	0	0	0
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
Hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher	0	0	0	0	0
Host Home (non-crisis)	0	0	0	0	0
Staying or living with family, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
Staying or living with friends, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Situations					
Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Other Situations					
No Exit Interview completed	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations	0	0	0	0	0
Total persons exiting to destinations that excluded them from the calculation	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of persons exiting to positive housing destinations	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

27f2. Exit Destination - Subsidy Type of Persons Exiting to Rental by Client With An Ongoing Subsidy (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
VASH housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
RRH or equivalent subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
HCV voucher (tenant or project based) (not dedicated)	0	0	0	0	0
Public housing unit	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with other ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Housing Stability Voucher	0	0	0	0	0

Alimony and other spousal support	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other source	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
No Sources	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unduplicated Total Adults	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

27j. Average and Median Length of Participation in Days - Youth (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)		
Length	Leavers	Stayers
Average Length	0	0
Median Length	0	0

27k. RRH Length of Time between Project Start Date and Residential Move-in Date - Youth (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	0	0	0	0	0
8 to 14 days	0	0	0	0	0
15 to 21 days	0	0	0	0	0
22 to 30 days	0	0	0	0	0
31 to 60 days	0	0	0	0	0
61 to 90 days	0	0	0	0	0
91 to 180 days	0	0	0	0	0
181 to 365 days	0	0	0	0	0
366-730 days (1-2 years)	0	0	0	0	0
Total Persons moved into housing	0	0	0	0	0
Average length of time to housing	0	0	0	0	0
Persons Exited without move-in	0	0	0	0	0
Total Persons	0	0	0	0	0

27l. Length of Time Prior to Housing - based on Date Housing Status Changed (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	0	0	0	0	0
8 to 14 days	0	0	0	0	0
15 to 21 days	0	0	0	0	0
22 to 30 days	0	0	0	0	0
31 to 60 days	0	0	0	0	0
61 to 90 days	0	0	0	0	0
91 to 180 days	0	0	0	0	0
181 to 365 days	0	0	0	0	0
366-730 days (1-2 years)	0	0	0	0	0
731 days or more	0	0	0	0	0
Total (persons moved into housing)	0	0	0	0	0
Not yet moved into housing	0	0	0	0	0
Data not collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

27m. Education Status - Youth (View Sub Report in a New Window or in Excel)		
Current school and attendance	At Project Start	At Project Exit
Not currently enrolled in any school or education course	0	0
Currently enrolled but not attending regularly	0	0
Currently enrolled and attending regularly	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0
For those not enrolled - most recent education status		
K12: Graduated from high school	0	0
K12: Obtained GED	0	0
K12: Dropped out	0	0
K12: Suspended	0	0
K12: Expelled	0	0
Higher education: Pursuing a credential but not currently attending	0	0
Higher education: Dropped out	0	0
Higher education: Obtained a credential/degree	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0
For those currently enrolled - current status		
Pursuing a high school diploma or GED	0	0
Pursuing Associate Degree	0	0
Pursuing Bachelor Degree	0	0
Pursuing Graduate Degree	0	0
Pursuing other post-secondary credential	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers not to answer	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0
Total persons	0	0



HOUSING FOR HOMELESS, INC. Award Information

Menu Portfolio Award Information

Award: FL0147L4H132215 (SNAP) Special Needs Assistance

General Budget Vouchers

Contractual Organization	UEI Organization	Award Dates	HUD Funding
Tax ID: [REDACTED] ✓ 4087 US HIGHWAY 1 STE 3 ROCKLEDGE, FL 32955-5352 Payee Organization: - same as contractual- Region: 04 - SOUTH EAST Office: 29 - JACKSONVILLE AR. OFC	UEI: [REDACTED] Tax ID: [REDACTED] ✓ STE 3 ROCKLEDGE, FL 32955-5352	Award Dates: LOCCS Created: 05-18-2023 POP Start: 07-31-2024 POP End: 10-31-2024 Final Reports Due: 29-2024 Closeout: Other Dates/Elements: 08-01-2023 Operating Start: 2023 Term (months): 12	Obligated: 383,982.00 Contracted: 383,982.00 Authorized: 383,982.00 Disbursed: 383,982.00 In process: 0.00 Balance: 0.00



[Privacy Statement](#)

7. SAMPLE ELOCCS Print Out



[Menu](#)

[Portfolio](#)

[Award Information](#)

HOUSING FOR HOMELESS, INC. Award Information

[Menu](#) [Auth](#)
[Log Off](#) [Bottom](#)

Award: [REDACTED] (SNAP) Special Needs Assistance

[General](#) [Budget](#) [Vendors](#)

Status	Line Item	Name	Authorized	Disbursed	Payments in Process	Balance
	1030	Operating Costs	54,440.00	54,440.00	0.00	0.00
	1050	Supportive Services	75,124.00	75,124.00	0.00	0.00
	1060	Administrative	34,858.00	34,858.00	0.00	0.00
	1100	Leasing	219,560.00	219,560.00	0.00	0.00
	Totals		383,982.00	383,982.00	0.00	0.00



[Privacy Statement](#)



HOUSING FOR HOMELESS, INC.

Award Information

Menu Portfolio Award Information

Award: [REDACTED]

(SNAP) Special Needs Assistant

General Budget Vouchers

EE

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paid	<input type="checkbox"/> Canceled	Voucher No	Entered	Source	Amount	Schedule No	Est Deposit Date
1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		501-00867388	08-01-2023 12:15 PM EST	TANIA BAKER	33,457.00	LH2692	08-05-2024
2)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		501-00862884	07-11-2024 06:53 PM EST	TANIA BAKER	32,656.00	LH2624	07-15-2024
3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		501-00852667	05-23-2024 04:01 PM EST	TANIA BAKER	92,713.00	LH2467	05-28-2024
4)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		501-00849987	05-10-2024 04:01 PM EST	TANIA BAKER	86,978.00		
5)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		501-00827858	01-31-2024 02:09 PM EST	MARA LUCAS	16,705.00	LH2169	02-02-2024
6)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		501-00827261	01-29-2024 02:09 PM EST	MARA LUCAS	15,311.00	LH2138	01-31-2024
7)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		501-00822008	01-03-2024 02:30 PM EST	MARA LUCAS	18,205.00	LH2100	01-05-2024
8)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		501-00821800	01-02-2024 02:30 PM EST	MARA LUCAS	17,492.00	LH2096	01-04-2024
9)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		501-00815800	12-04-2023 12:28 PM EST	MARA LUCAS	24,326.00	LH2015	12-06-2023
10)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		501-00815197	11-30-2023 03:16 PM EST	MARA LUCAS	17,605.00	LH2007	12-04-2023
11)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		501-00809257	11-01-2023 03:16 PM EST	MARA LUCAS	22,405.00	LH1927	11-03-2023
12)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		501-00808521	10-27-2023 04:55 PM EST	MARA LUCAS	12,715.00	LH1891	10-31-2023
13)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		501-00803234	09-29-2023 03:16 PM EST	MARA LUCAS	16,005.00	LH1838	10-03-2023
14)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		501-00801565	09-26-2023 03:16 PM EST	MARA LUCAS	14,897.00	LH1800	09-28-2023
15)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		501-00798748	09-14-2023 03:10:07 PM EST	MARA LUCAS	1,550.00	LH1777	09-18-2023
16)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		501-00798743	09-14-2023 03:10:07 PM EST	MARA LUCAS	17,905.00		
17)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		501-00796079	08-30-2023 03:15 PM EST	MARA LUCAS	16,355.00	LH1746	09-01-2023
18)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		501-00795333	08-28-2023 03:07 PM EST	MARA LUCAS	31,585.00	LH1713	08-30-2023

SAMPLE



Question: 1E-5

Attachment Name: Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

- 1. Mass Email Notification to all Project Applicants**
- 2. Local Competition Results Document**
- 3. Individual Rejected-Reduced Email Notifications**
- 4. Renewal Project Scores Combined**
- 5. New Project Scores Combined**

FL-513 Brevard County CoC

Collaborative Applicant - Brevard Homeless Coalition

FY2024 HUD CoC Competition Attachment Cover Page


FY24 HUD CoC Competition - Project Priority Listing

From BHC grants <grants@brevardhomelesscoalition.org>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 7:50 PM

To BHC grants <grants@brevardhomelesscoalition.org>

Cc drew <drew@hopeofbrevard.com>; Tiffany_hfhsh <Tiffany@hfhsh.org>; Rob <Rob@hfhsh.org>; snewson <snewson@carrfour.org>; pam.bress <pam.bress@waysforlife.org>; Becky Lemstrom <blemstrom@womenscenter.net>

 1 attachments (132 KB)

FY2024 HUD CoC Program Local Competition Selection Results Priority Listing.pdf;

Good Evening,

Thank you for completing and submitting your new and renewal project application(s) for the HUD FY24 CoC Program Competition and FY24 Universal RFP.

As stated in the RFP and the HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO, the BHC will notify the applicant if project application(s) "will be accepted and ranked on the CoC Priority Listing, rejected or reduced" to all project applicants at least 15 days before the FY24 collaborative application deadline.

Therefore, the Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC), as the lead agency and collaborative applicant for the Brevard County CoC, hereby issues, on Tuesday, October 8, 2024, the attached notice:

FY24 HUD CoC Competition Project Inclusion/Exclusion List with Project Scores and Project Priority Listing Placement

This notice will also be posted on BHC's website at: <https://brevardhomelesscoalition.org/fy-2024-grant-opportunities/>

The BHC will send out a separate email to each agency with details for all projects.

In partnership,

Your BHC Team

**FY2024 HUD CoC Program
Local Competition Selection Results**

The Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC), as the lead agency and collaborative applicant for the Brevard County CoC, hereby issues, on **October 8, 2024 the Local Competition Selection Results**, this Notice to Project Applicants of inclusion / exclusion for the FY24 HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO and Priority Listing.

Inclusions (accepted and will be ranked): The projects listed below will be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application at the amounts indicated in the "Amount Requested" column. All the projects will be listed on the FY24 Applications Project Priority Listing in the order listed below based on the CoC's Project Ranking Determination process. Included with this notice is the Renewal Project Scorecard for each of the scored Renewal and New Projects.

Exclusions (Rejected): There were two (2) projects submitted that will not be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application. One (1) due to a fatal flaw in the application and one (1) due to program ineligibility.

Application Amounts - Requested vs. Included (reduced or increased): The Amounts listed in the "Amounts Requested from HUD" column either match the amount the project requested or reflect a reduction based on the reallocation process and available funding.

PROJECT RANKING DETERMINATION

1. Critical System Renewal Projects - HMIS and Coordinated Entry - Recognizing that some CoC-funded projects are a basic requirement for overall CoC system processes and functioning, HMIS and Coordinated Entry dedicated projects will be ranked on the Project Priority Listing as numbers 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

2. Project Renewals - Project Renewals - All other renewal Projects will be ranked in order of: 1) first-year renewal projects by project type with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first, Rapid Rehousing (RRH) and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest and 2) all other renewal projects with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first and Rapid Rehousing (RRH) projects and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

3. Consolidated Renewals Project (incl. Expansions) – Consolidated Renewals will be ranked closest to the highest-ranking project of the two individual projects being consolidated and given the same ranking number as that project. For example, the highest ranking of the two projects is 5 and the lowest ranking is a 7, the consolidated project will also be placed as a second ranking of 5. There were no consolidations in this competition.

4. New Projects - including CoC and DV Bonus will be placed at the bottom of the ranking, in the order of the scores received during the RFP process, unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

Project Name	Score	Status	New/Renewal	Rank	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds	Cumulative Total	Tier
BHC - HMIS Renewal FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	1	\$71,455	\$0	\$71,455	1
BHC - CoC Coordinated Entry FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	2	\$71,785	\$0	\$143,240	1
BHC - DV Bonus SSO FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	3	\$18,529	\$0	\$161,769	1
Hfh Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	4	\$50,000	\$0	\$211,769	1
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	5	\$50,541	\$0	\$262,310	1
Hfh Supportive Housing – PSH PH1 Project 2024-2025	89.63	Accepted	Renewal	6	\$429,038	\$0	\$691,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – PSH Heritage Park Renewal	83.00	Accepted	Renewal	7	\$20,000	\$0	\$711,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – Heritage Park Expansion - FY24	78.50	Accepted	Renewal	8	\$190,122	\$0	\$901,470	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$15,909	\$0	\$917,379	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$3,411	\$0	\$920,790	2
Womens Center - RRH DV Bonus	108.67	Accepted	Renewal	10	\$98,520	\$0	\$1,019,310	2
Womens Center TH-RRH DV BONUS	103.00	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-11	\$102,963	\$0	\$1,122,273	2
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing - Expansion	96.88	Accepted	New	12	\$139,998	\$0	\$1,262,271	2
BHC - DV Bonus SSO Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-13	\$108,350	\$0	\$1,370,621	2
BHC - HMIS Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New-Expansion	14	\$29,052	\$0	\$1,399,673	2
BHC - Planning Grant FY24	PLAN - N/A	Accepted	Planning	N/A	\$70,438	\$0	\$1,470,111	0
Hfh Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake Expansion	98.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	0.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Tier 1 Total = 90% of ARD	\$ 917,379							
Tier 2 Total = remaining 10% ARD plus CoC Bonus (\$169,050)	\$ 270,981							
Total Tier 1 + Tier 2	\$ 1,188,360							
DV Bonus	\$ 211,313							
Total of Ranked Projects	\$ 1,399,673							
Planning Grant (excluded from ranking)	\$ 70,438							
Total FY24-25 HUD CoC Program Application	\$ 1,470,110							
					Project Type			
					HMIS - Homeless Management Information System			
					SSO - Supportive Services Only			
					PH - Permanent Supportive Housing			
					RRH - Rapid Rehousing			
					TH-RRH - Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing			
					Renewal Project Possible = 123			
					New Project Possible = 121			


FY2024 Notice of Inclusion/Exclusion

From BHC grants <grants@brevardhomelesscoalition.org>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 8:00 PM

To Rob <Rob@hfhsh.org>; Tiffany_hfhsh <Tiffany@hfhsh.org>

Cc BHC grants <grants@brevardhomelesscoalition.org>

 3 attachments (772 KB)

FY2024 HUD CoC Program Local Competition Selection Results Priority Listing.pdf; FY 2024 Universal RFP - Renewals All.pdf; FY 2024 Universal RFP - New All.pdf;

Dear Rob,

I am writing to inform you about the decisions made by the Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC) Ranking and Review Committee and the CoC Advisory Council regarding your projects for the FY2024 Project Priority Listing:

Approved for inclusion:

1. HfH PSH PH1 2024-25 Renewal Project in Tier 1 in the amount of \$429,038
2. HfH PSH Orchid Lake - 1st Time Renewal Project in Tier 1 in the amount of \$50,000

Not selected for inclusion:

3. HfH PSH Orchid Lake – Expansion – New Project in the amount of \$120,000
Reason: This project includes an income requirement, which does not align with the Housing First model for Permanent Supportive Housing. As a result, the project cannot move forward in the funding competition.

The decisions were based on project scores, criteria and performance measures revealed through the scoring process.

Attached is the Notice of Inclusion/Exclusion with Project Scoring for all proposals.

The HUD FY2024 CoC NOFO Submission Deadline is Wednesday, October 30, 2024 @ 8:00 p.m. and we are planning to post our complete application to the BHC website at least 2 days prior to that submission, by Monday, October 28, 2024 @ 8:00 pm. We will be working on the Consolidated Application and may be reaching out to you for additional information that will help strengthen our application as well as any changes that need to be made to individual project applications, so stay tuned!

Please send questions regarding the reallocation decision made by the Ranking and Review Committee to Amber Carroll, amber@brevardhomelesscoalition.org.

Thank you for your participation in this year's CoC Competition.

In Partnership,
Your BHC Team

**FY2024 HUD CoC Program
Local Competition Selection Results**

The Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC), as the lead agency and collaborative applicant for the Brevard County CoC, hereby issues, on **October 8, 2024 the Local Competition Selection Results**, this Notice to Project Applicants of inclusion / exclusion for the FY24 HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO and Priority Listing.

Inclusions (accepted and will be ranked): The projects listed below will be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application at the amounts indicated in the "Amount Requested" column. All the projects will be listed on the FY24 Applications Project Priority Listing in the order listed below based on the CoC's Project Ranking Determination process. Included with this notice is the Renewal Project Scorecard for each of the scored Renewal and New Projects.

Exclusions (Rejected): There were two (2) projects submitted that will not be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application. One (1) due to a fatal flaw in the application and one (1) due to program ineligibility.

Application Amounts - Requested vs. Included (reduced or increased): The Amounts listed in the "Amounts Requested from HUD" column either match the amount the project requested or reflect a reduction based on the reallocation process and available funding.

PROJECT RANKING DETERMINATION

1. Critical System Renewal Projects - HMIS and Coordinated Entry - Recognizing that some CoC-funded projects are a basic requirement for overall CoC system processes and functioning, HMIS and Coordinated Entry dedicated projects will be ranked on the Project Priority Listing as numbers 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

2. Project Renewals - Project Renewals - All other renewal Projects will be ranked in order of: 1) first-year renewal projects by project type with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first, Rapid Rehousing (RRH) and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest and 2) all other renewal projects with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first and Rapid Rehousing (RRH) projects and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

3. Consolidated Renewals Project (incl. Expansions) – Consolidated Renewals will be ranked closest to the highest-ranking project of the two individual projects being consolidated and given the same ranking number as that project. For example, the highest ranking of the two projects is 5 and the lowest ranking is a 7, the consolidated project will also be placed as a second ranking of 5. There were no consolidations in this competition.

4. New Projects - including CoC and DV Bonus will be placed at the bottom of the ranking, in the order of the scores received during the RFP process, unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

Project Name	Score	Status	New/Renewal	Rank	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds	Cumulative Total	Tier
BHC - HMIS Renewal FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	1	\$71,455	\$0	\$71,455	1
BHC - CoC Coordinated Entry FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	2	\$71,785	\$0	\$143,240	1
BHC - DV Bonus SSO FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	3	\$18,529	\$0	\$161,769	1
Hfh Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	4	\$50,000	\$0	\$211,769	1
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	5	\$50,541	\$0	\$262,310	1
Hfh Supportive Housing – PSH PH1 Project 2024-2025	89.63	Accepted	Renewal	6	\$429,038	\$0	\$691,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – PSH Heritage Park Renewal	83.00	Accepted	Renewal	7	\$20,000	\$0	\$711,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – Heritage Park Expansion - FY24	78.50	Accepted	Renewal	8	\$190,122	\$0	\$901,470	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$15,909	\$0	\$917,379	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$3,411	\$0	\$920,790	2
Womens Center - RRH DV Bonus	108.67	Accepted	Renewal	10	\$98,520	\$0	\$1,019,310	2
Womens Center TH-RRH DV BONUS	103.00	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-11	\$102,963	\$0	\$1,122,273	2
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing - Expansion	96.88	Accepted	New	12	\$139,998	\$0	\$1,262,271	2
BHC - DV Bonus SSO Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-13	\$108,350	\$0	\$1,370,621	2
BHC - HMIS Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New-Expansion	14	\$29,052	\$0	\$1,399,673	2
BHC - Planning Grant FY24	PLAN - N/A	Accepted	Planning	N/A	\$70,438	\$0	\$1,470,111	0
Hfh Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake Expansion	98.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	0.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Tier 1 Total = 90% of ARD	\$ 917,379							
Tier 2 Total = remaining 10% ARD plus CoC Bonus (\$169,050)	\$ 270,981							
Total Tier 1 + Tier 2	\$ 1,188,360							
DV Bonus	\$ 211,313							
Total of Ranked Projects	\$ 1,399,673							
Planning Grant (excluded from ranking)	\$ 70,438							
Total FY24-25 HUD CoC Program Application	\$ 1,470,110							
Project Type								
HMIS - Homeless Management Information System SSO - Supportive Services Only PH - Permanent Supportive Housing RRH - Rapid Rehousing TH-RRH - Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing								
Renewal Project Possible = 123								
New Project Possible = 121								

Carrefour		HH Supportive Housing	Community of Hope	South Brevard Women's Center
Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
Score	Score	Score	Score	Score

Renewal Projects

Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Grant Management

11%

Maximum Pts	Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
a	1	1	2	1	2
b	2	1	2	2	2
c	0	0	0	1	2
d	1	1	1	1	1
e	5	5	4.75	4.125	5
Section 1. Total Score: 13					
9 8 9.75 9.125 12					

Section 2. Equity & Inclusion

11%

Maximum Pts	Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
a	2	2	2	2	2
b	3	0	0	3	2
c	3	3	3	2	3
d	3	3	3	3	3
e	3	3	3	1.375	0
Section 2. Total Score: 14					
14 11 9.375 10 12.667					

Section 3. CoC Participation

3%

Maximum Pts	Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
a	1	1	1	1	1
b	1	1	1	1	0

Renewal Projects				Maximum Pts	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	
c	Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?	HUD has stated that all homeless assistance organizations should be involved in the coordinated entry process by helping households access the system and receive referrals.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries	>10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2	1	1	0	1	0
Section 3. Total Score:					4	3	3	2	3	1

Section 4. Coordinated Entry

3%

a	Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT and Rubric are the tools our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches for Agency" to determine points <i>*Project Based Vouchers (PBV) assume highest points due to referral process with Housing Authority.</i>	14%+ = 2 pts 9% - 13% = 1 pts 5% - 8% = 0.5 pt up to 4% = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
b	Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT and Rubric are the tools our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points	>10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1.0 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2	1	1	2	2	2
Section 4. Total Score:					4	3	3	4	4	4

Section 5. Project Performance (Outcomes (HMIS DATA))

51%

a	OVERALL PROJECT PERFORMANCE - Project Application describes how the project will help improve the performance of the community's overall system, fills a gap/need within our system and move the community forward in achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals to make homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring in our CoC. Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	Comprehensive project applications should address these components.	Source 8. Calc: Application printout from Enaps. Using all application content, the project describes the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance	Clearly describes = 5 pts Somewhat describes = 3 pts Does not or vaguely describes = 0 pts	5	5	5	3.5	3	5
b	Did the project application prove through official partner agreements, how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	In accordance with HUD's Policy Priorities, CoC's should work closely with public and private healthcare organizations, PHAs, and local workforce development centers to maximize the use of resources available to end homelessness.	Source: Coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding/Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.	Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 2 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 1 pt No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers = 0 pts	4	3	3	4	0	6
c	Housing First/Low Barrier: To what extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier?	HUD has expressly stated that programs need to follow a housing first/low barrier philosophy.	Source and Calc: Completed Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire – Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)	Maximum of 24 pts	24	24	24	24	24	21
d	Housing Stability: % of persons who remained in the PH project as of the end of the operating year or exited to a positive housing destination.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	"Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Add the total "Number of Stayers" from table 5a, line 9, to the Subtotal from the Permanent Situations Total column on table 23c and divide by line 2 of table 5a"	90%+ = 5 pts 80% - 89% = 3 pts 75% - 79% = 1 pt <75% = 0 pts	5	5	5	5	3	5
e	Length of Time to Housing: Average number of days between Project Enrollment Date and Housing Move-In Date	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the "Average length of time to housing" number of days from the "Total" column found on table 22c	<30 days = 3 pts 31-60 days = 2 pts 61-90 days = 1 pt 91+ days = 0 pts	3	3	3	3	1	3
f	Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who increased their total income (from all sources) from project start to latest status.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a1.	50%+ = 5 pts 40% - 49% = 4 pts 30% - 39% = 3 pts 20% - 29% = 2 pts 10% - 19% = 1 pt <9% = 0 pts	5	0	0	0	0	5
g	Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who increased their total income (from all sources) from project start to project exit.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a2.	50%+ = 5 pts 40% - 49% = 4 pts 30% - 39% = 3 pts 20% - 29% = 2 pts 10% - 19% = 1 pt <9% = 0 pts	5	0	0	0	0	5
h	Entered From: % of Participants admitted directly from the street or other locations not meant for human habitation, Safe Haven or Emergency Shelters.	HUD emphasizes the Housing First philosophy and requires both PSH and RRH to assist persons directly from the street, emergency shelter, or Safe Haven as a best practice to reducing a person's length of time spent homeless.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 15. From the "Homeless Situations" row, add the numbers from the following columns: "Emergency shelter", "Place not meant for human habitation", and "Safe Haven." Divide total figure by "Number of Adults (age 18 or over)" from table 5a, line 2.	75%+ = 3 pts 65% - 74% = 2 pts 50% - 64% = 1 pt <49% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	2	3

Renewal Projects				Maximum Pts	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score
i Exits to Homelessness: Less than 5% of program exits will be to another homeless destination	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 23c, take the Subtotal from the Homeless Situations Total column and divide by line 2 of table 5a	5% or less = 3 pts 6% - 10% = 2 pts 11% - 24% = 1 pt >25% = 0 pts	3	3	3	3	3	3
j Non-cash Benefits - Annual: % of households with non-cash benefits at annual assessment.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in Row "1+ Sources" and Column "Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers" from table 20b. Divide number by Line 15 from Section 5a.	85% + = 3 pts 50% - 84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	1	3
k Non-cash Benefits - Exit: % of households with non-cash benefits at program exit.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in table 20b in row "1+ Sources" and column "Benefit at Exit for Leavers". Divide number by Line 8 from Section 5a.	85% + = 3 pts 50% - 84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	1	3
Section 5. Total Score:				63	43	43	45.5	38	62

Section 6. Project Populations (HMIS DATA)

7%

a % of Chronically Homeless Persons Served	Ending Chronic homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 12 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	ESH Projects 100% = 1 pt 99% - 50% = 0.5 pts <49% = 0 pts BRH Projects >50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0.5	0	0	0	0
b % of Veterans Served	Ending Veteran homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 11 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
c % of Youth (Under the Age of 25) Served	Effectively ending unaccompanied youth (UAY) is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 13 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
d % Parenting Youth Under the Age of 25 with Children Served	Parenting youth is a sub-population of unaccompanied youth (UAY) as well as families with children	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 14 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
e % Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	Persons fleeing domestic violence are a population of concern in HUD and local goals	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 14b Calc: Divide Total in section 14b by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	1
f Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by no income at entry.	Participants with no income at entry are considered harder to serve than those with income at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 18 Calc: Take the number of "Adults with no Income" from the "Number of Adults at Start" Column and divide it by Line 2 of Section 5a.	50% + = 2 pts <50% = 0 pts	2	0	0	0	0	0
g Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by 2 or more physical/mental health conditions at entry.	Participants with multiple physical/mental health conditions at entry are considered harder to serve than those with no or 1 condition at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - 13a2: Calc: In the "Total Persons" column on table 13a2, add the number in "2 conditions" and "3+ Conditions". Divide this number by the "Total Persons" number shown in Section 13a2.	50% + = 2 pts <50% = 0 pts	2	0	0	0	0	0
Section 6. Total Score:				9	0.5	0	0	0	1

Section 7. HMIS Data Quality

13%

a Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Project Entry Date	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Project Start Date" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0	0	2	2	2
b Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Relationship to Head of Household	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Relationship to Head of Household" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
c Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Disabling Condition	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Disabling Condition" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0.5	0.5	2	2	2
d Project's Data Quality: Destination	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Destination" from 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
e Project's Data Quality: Income at Entry	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Start" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0	0	2	2	2
f Project's Data Quality: Income at Annual Assessment	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Annual Assessment" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	5	2	2
g Project's Data Quality: Income at Exit	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Exit" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
h Project's Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6d Calc: Enter "% of records unable to calculate" from the "Total" row from table 6d.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
Section 7. Total Score:				16	10.5	10.5	19	16	16
TOTAL SCORE (Sections 1-7):				123	83	78.5	89.625	80.125	108.667

Agency Name: _____

HH
Supportive
Housing

WAYS for
LIFE, Inc.

South
Brevard
Women's
Center

Project Type: _____

HH's PSH -
Orchid
Lake Exp

WAYS for
Life New
TH-RRH

SBWC DV-
TH-RRH

New Projects	Maximum Pts	Agency Name		
		Score	Score	Score

Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Experience

20%

<p>Organization's Capacity and Experience: Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>Describe your organization's, and any partner organization's (e.g., developers, key contractors, etc.) experience with successfully utilizing federal funds in other projects. Provide examples that illustrate experience such as:</p> <p>a working with and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive service needs; developing and implementing relevant program systems, services, and/or residential property construction and rehabilitation; identifying and securing matching funds from a variety of sources, and; managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems</p> <p>Source: Application - Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative • Attachments - Organizational Budget and Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990</p>	<p>Extensive experience with government grants with high staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 6 pts.</p> <p>Some experience with government grants with some staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 3 pts.</p> <p>Minimum or no experience with government grants and staff has minimum/no experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 0 pts.</p>	6	4.67	5.75	6.00	
<p>Experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds. Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>b Include experience with leveraging all federal, state, local and private sector funds. If your organization has no experience leveraging other funds, include the phrase "No experience leveraging other federal, state, local, or private sector funds".</p> <p>Source: Application</p>	<p>Extensive Experience with Leveraging Funds = 6 pts</p> <p>Some experience = 3 pts</p> <p>No Experience = 0 pts</p>	6	4.67	5.25	5.25	
<p>Financial Management Structure Include how your organization has a functioning accounting system that is operated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or has designated a fiscal agent that will maintain a functioning accounting system for your organization in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Include the fiscal control and accounting procedures to assure proper dispersal of and accounting for federal funds in accordance with the requirements of 2 CFR part 200.</p> <p>Agency Compliance • Past compliance findings or concern for other funding sources, • Compliance findings/concerns from other monitoring agencies • Any Prior Audit Findings and Questioned Costs indicated in the agency's audited financial reports within the past 3 years or that are older but unresolved. • Status of any of the compliance findings/concerns reported, such as resolved, unresolved</p> <p>Source: Application - Financial Management Structure and Using the provided Financial Audit including Supplementary Information and Other Reports and The Management Letter</p>	<p>Structure in Place and full Compliance = 6 pts</p> <p>Structure in Place with partial compliance = 4 pts</p> <p>1 unresolved finding = 2 pts</p> <p>2+ unresolved findings = 0 pt</p>	6	5.33	5.50	6.00	
<p>d Overall Organizational Financial Health</p> <p>Source: Organizational Budget, Experience with Leveraging Funds, Financial Management Structure, Agency Compliance, supporting documents.</p>	<p>Operational Budget reflects financial stability with multiple streams of funding = 6 pts</p> <p>Operational Budget reflects stability = 3 pts</p> <p>Operational Budget reflects instability = 0 pts</p>	6	4.67	5.75	5.75	
Section 1 Totals			24	19.33	22.25	23.00

Section 2. Equity & Inclusion

9%

<p>a Agency Lived Experience Analysis</p> <p>Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details</p> <p>Calc: Count each staff member and board director who has lived experience with homelessness and the homeless response system to determine the point value</p>	<p>5+ = 3 pts</p> <p>3-4 = 2 pts</p> <p>1-2 = 1 pt</p> <p>0 = 0 pts</p>	3	5	3.00	6	3.00	2	3.00
<p>b Agency Racial Equity Analysis</p> <p>Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details</p> <p>Calc: Count each racial/ethnic category within the organization's staff and Board of Directors to determine the point value.</p>	<p>5+ = 3 pts</p> <p>3-4 = 2 pts</p> <p>1-2 = 1 pt</p> <p>0 = 0 pts</p>	3	5	3.00	5	3.00	5	3.00
<p>Organizational equity and inclusion</p> <p>Does the Project application address equity and inclusion in all areas of the project?</p> <p>1) Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ individuals 2) Racial Equity 3) Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience</p> <p>Lived Experience specific activities (or similar) listed below, will be scored</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Representation on the organization's Board of Director's or other decision-making board CoC Lived Experience Committee Emphasis on hiring Person with Lived Experience Use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback Satisfaction surveys / comment cards <p>Source: Application Question - has made equity and inclusion a priority.</p>	<p>Agency already demonstrates equity and inclusion with a plan to increase = 5 pts</p> <p>Clear plan for inclusion and equity = 3 pts</p> <p>No plan = 0 pts</p>	5		3.33		4.00		4.75
Section 2 Totals			11	9.33	10.00	10.75		

Section 3. CoC Participation

4%

<p>a Applicant is classified as an "Active" Member of the Continuum of Care (CoC) by attending at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings held in the past 12 months.</p> <p>Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Enter Yes if project applicant attended at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings</p>	<p>Yes = 2 pts</p> <p>No = 0 pts</p>	2		2.00		0.00		2.00
<p>b Applicant agency has a leadership role in the Brevard County CoC as evidence by at least 1 of the agency's paid staff serving as Chair or Co-Chair of a CoC Committee or holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council</p> <p>Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Enter Yes if applicant is listed as having 1+ paid staff who holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council and/or serves as Chair/Co-Chair on a CoC Committee</p>	<p>Applicant staff member Chair/Co-Chairs a Committee and/or holds a seat on the Council = 1 pt</p> <p>Applicant staff member does NOT Chair/Co-Chair a Committee or hold a Council seat = 0 pts</p>	1		1.00		1.00		0.00
<p>c Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?</p> <p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries</p>	<p>> 10% = 2 pts</p> <p>3%-10% = 1 pt</p> <p>0%-2% = 0 pts</p>	2	2%	0.00	1%	0.00	0%	0.00
Section 3 Totals			5	3.00	1.00	2.00		

Section 4. Coordinated Entry

4%

<p>a Coordinated Entry High Acuity Referrals/Matches: % of High Acuity Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients</p> <p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches Table: "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column</p> <p>Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches" to determine points</p>	<p>14% = 3 pts</p> <p>9% - 13% = 2 pts</p> <p>5% - 8% = 1 pt</p> <p>up to 4% = 0 pts</p>	3	63%	3.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
<p>b Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List</p> <p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches Table: "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column</p> <p>Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points</p>	<p>> 10% = 2 pts</p> <p>3%-10% = 1.0 pt</p> <p>0%-2% = 0 pts</p>	2	10%	2.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
Section 4 Totals			5	5.00	0.00	0.00		

Section 5. HMIS

2%

<p>a Applicant's HMIS participation</p> <p>Applicant agency actively enters data into HMIS as defined as having entered data within the past 90 days (inclusive of any/all of applicants projects using HMIS)</p> <p>DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points</p>	<p>Applicant actively enters data in HMIS = 1 pt.</p> <p>Applicant is an HMIS partner agency but is not actively entering data = 0.5 pts.</p> <p>Applicant is a non-DV provider and is not a current HMIS partner = 0 pts.</p>	1		1.00		1.00		1.00
---	--	---	--	------	--	------	--	------

<p>Experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds. Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>b Include experience with leveraging all federal, state, local and private sector funds. If your organization has no experience leveraging other funds, include the phrase "No experience leveraging other federal, state, local, or private sector funds".</p>	<p>Source: Application</p>	<p>Extensive Experience with Leveraging Funds = 6 pts Some experience = 3 pts No Experience = 0 pts</p>	6	4.67	5.25	5.25
<p>b Applicant's Overall HMIS Data Completeness (Quality)</p>	<p>All HMIS projects are required to maintain satisfactory completeness (quality). If the applicant has current HMIS projects, all current projects will be used to determine the Agency's overall data completeness (quality). DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points Calc- Review the applicant agency's APR inclusive of all projects. Review sections 6A, 6B, 6C, 6D - Data Elements Percent age Error Rate?</p>	<p>Number of Categories with greater than 10% missing data: 0 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 3+ = 0 pts Applicant is a non-DV provider and does not have a currently operating projects that are entering data into HMIS = 0 pts</p>	2	2.00	0.00	2.00
Section 5 Totals			3	3.00	1.00	3.00

Section 6. Project Proposal & Performance (Outcomes)

58%

<p>Project Description and Scope: Maximum 3500 characters</p> <p>Provide a detailed description of the scope of the project including the target population(s) to be served, project plan for addressing the identified housing and supportive service needs, anticipated project outcome(s), coordination with other organizations (e.g., federal, state, nonprofit), and how the CoC Program funding will be used.</p> <p>Additionally, if your project implements service participation requirements or beyond what is typically included in a lease agreement, describe those requirements and how they will be implemented.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Project Description Narrative. Using all application content, the project addresses the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance</p>	<p>Clearly describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 13 pts Somewhat describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 6 pts Does not or vaguely describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 0 pts</p>	13	12.00	12.50	11.50
<p>Project Health Services Partnerships: Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?</p>	<p>Source: Application - Project Description Narrative. Using all application content and attachments, determine if the application formally addressed this coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding / Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.</p>	<p>Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 6 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 2 pts No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers but the narrative describes a relationship = 1 pts No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers and the narrative does not describe a relationship = 0 pts</p>	6	6.00	4.00	6.00
<p>Housing First/Low Barrier: To What Extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier? The project adheres to a Housing First model.</p>	<p>Source and Calc: Application Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire - Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)</p>	<p>Maximum of 24 pts.</p>	24	20.00	18.00	21.00
<p>Project Client Demographics/Target Populations: Describes the project's proposed populations to be served, including identifying targets, and information demonstrating an understanding of the needs of the clients they propose to serve. The type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Client Demographics/Target Population</p>	<p>Clearly defines the target demographics of the individuals/households to be served with details that demonstrates an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 10 pts Adequately defines the target demographic, but lacks some detail to demonstrate a full understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 5 pts Vaguely defines the target demographic, does not demonstrate an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 0 pts</p>	10	7.33	9.50	9.25
<p>Project Performance Outcomes: Outcomes are the primary way for projects to demonstrate effectiveness and impact in effecting ending homelessness. Outcomes should be based on client accomplishments and not on the number of services/activities provided to an individual/household; demonstrate a positive impact on overall system performance Narrative should include the following Outcomes for all project types except SSO-CE. The standard being implemented for our CoC system is indicated in parenthesis. - Percent of participants/households that will exit to a permanent housing situation (80%) - Average Length of time from project enrollment to permanent housing placement (60 days or less) - Percent of adult participants that have increased Earned Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (10%) - Percent of adult participants that have increased Total Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (25%)</p>	<p>Source: Application - Performance Outcomes</p>	<p>Outcomes are concise, identified and measurable outcomes that can positively impact overall system performance and are not based on number of activities/services = 5 pts Outcomes are adequate, but not concise, included some that are based on number of activities/services, and adequately shows positive impact on overall system performance = 3 pts Outcomes are all based on number of services/activities provided, outcomes are vague, and/or do not demonstrate a positive impact on overall system performance = 0 pts</p>	5	2.67	4.50	4.38
<p>Project Budget and Financial Plan. The average cost per household served is reasonable, meaning that the f costs for housing and services provided by the project are consistent with the population the project plans to serve.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Detailed Financial Plan Narrative</p>	<p>Budget information is detailed, clear and complete; aligns with the project descriptions, presents a feasible project = 6 pts Budget information adequately provides necessary information for eligible costs, adequately aligns with the project descriptions, adequately presents a feasible project = 3 pts Budget information includes ineligible costs, vague details, missing key information to support project description and/or does not present a feasible project = 0 pts</p>	6	5.00	5.50	3.50
<p>Match Commitment</p>	<p>Source: Using Application - Match Narrative and Documentation of Commitment</p>	<p>Detailed, clear and complete match commitment documentation as required by HUD that indicates the applicant understands match and will be able to provide required project match = 6 pts Demonstrates a basic understanding of match, however the match commitment documentation does not meet HUD's requirements, and/or indicates the applicant may have difficulty providing necessary match = 3 pts Vague, missing required commitment documentation required by HUD and/or does not demonstrate an understanding of eligible match and/or the ability to provide required project match = 0 pts</p>	6	3.33	5.63	5.88
Section 6 Totals			70	56.33	59.63	61.50

Section 7. Overall Review

2%

<p>a Overall Proposal Presentation</p>	<p>The overall presentation of the proposal – content, flow of narratives, adherence to RFP instructions – demonstrates attention to detail and quality</p>	<p>Presented in a detailed, concise organized manner that was easy to understand and review = 3 pts Lacked attention to detail and overall organization of information making it difficult for the reviewer(s) to locate information needed to complete scoring = 0 pts</p>	3	2.00	3.00	2.75
Section 7 Totals			3	2.00	3.00	2.75
TOTAL SCORE (Sections 7): 121			121	98.00	96.88	103.00


FY2024 Notice of Inclusion/Exclusion

From BHC grants <grants@brevardhomelesscoalition.org>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 8:00 PM

To drew <drew@hopeofbrevard.com>

Cc BHC grants <grants@brevardhomelesscoalition.org>

 3 attachments (772 KB)

FY2024 HUD CoC Program Local Competition Selection Results Priority Listing.pdf; FY 2024 Universal RFP - Renewals All.pdf; FY 2024 Universal RFP - New All.pdf;

Dear Drew,

I am writing to inform you about the decisions made by the Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC) Ranking and Review Committee and the CoC Advisory Council regarding your projects for the FY2024 Project Priority Listing:

Approved for inclusion:

1. Path of Hope RRH Renewal Project in Tier 1 in the amount of \$15,909 straddling Tier 2 in the amount of \$3,411 for a total of \$19,320

Not selected for inclusion:

2. Path of Hope RRH – New Project in the amount of \$78,768
Reason: Fatal Flaw - Missing Application Section - Organizational Equity and Inclusion

The decisions were based on project scores, criteria and performance measures revealed through the scoring process.

Attached is the Notice of Inclusion/Exclusion with Project Scoring for all proposals.

The HUD FY2024 CoC NOFO Submission Deadline is Wednesday, October 30, 2024 @ 8:00 p.m. and we are planning to post our complete application to the BHC website at least 2 days prior to that submission, by Monday, October 28, 2024 @ 8:00 pm. We will be working on the Consolidated Application and may be reaching out to you for additional information that will help strengthen our application as well as any changes that need to be made to individual project applications, so stay tuned!

Please send questions regarding the reallocation decision made by the Ranking and Review Committee to Amber Carroll, amber@brevardhomelesscoalition.org.

Thank you for your participation in this year's CoC Competition.

In Partnership,
Your BHC Team

**FY2024 HUD CoC Program
Local Competition Selection Results**

The Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC), as the lead agency and collaborative applicant for the Brevard County CoC, hereby issues, on **October 8, 2024 the Local Competition Selection Results**, this Notice to Project Applicants of inclusion / exclusion for the FY24 HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO and Priority Listing.

Inclusions (accepted and will be ranked): The projects listed below will be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application at the amounts indicated in the "Amount Requested" column. All the projects will be listed on the FY24 Applications Project Priority Listing in the order listed below based on the CoC's Project Ranking Determination process. Included with this notice is the Renewal Project Scorecard for each of the scored Renewal and New Projects.

Exclusions (Rejected): There were two (2) projects submitted that will not be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application. One (1) due to a fatal flaw in the application and one (1) due to program ineligibility.

Application Amounts - Requested vs. Included (reduced or increased): The Amounts listed in the "Amounts Requested from HUD" column either match the amount the project requested or reflect a reduction based on the reallocation process and available funding.

PROJECT RANKING DETERMINATION

1. Critical System Renewal Projects - HMIS and Coordinated Entry - Recognizing that some CoC-funded projects are a basic requirement for overall CoC system processes and functioning, HMIS and Coordinated Entry dedicated projects will be ranked on the Project Priority Listing as numbers 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

2. Project Renewals - Project Renewals - All other renewal Projects will be ranked in order of: 1) first-year renewal projects by project type with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first, Rapid Rehousing (RRH) and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest and 2) all other renewal projects with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first and Rapid Rehousing (RRH) projects and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

3. Consolidated Renewals Project (incl. Expansions) – Consolidated Renewals will be ranked closest to the highest-ranking project of the two individual projects being consolidated and given the same ranking number as that project. For example, the highest ranking of the two projects is 5 and the lowest ranking is a 7, the consolidated project will also be placed as a second ranking of 5. There were no consolidations in this competition.

4. New Projects - including CoC and DV Bonus will be placed at the bottom of the ranking, in the order of the scores received during the RFP process, unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

Project Name	Score	Status	New/Renewal	Rank	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds	Cumulative Total	Tier
BHC - HMIS Renewal FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	1	\$71,455	\$0	\$71,455	1
BHC - CoC Coordinated Entry FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	2	\$71,785	\$0	\$143,240	1
BHC - DV Bonus SSO FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	3	\$18,529	\$0	\$161,769	1
Hfh Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	4	\$50,000	\$0	\$211,769	1
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	5	\$50,541	\$0	\$262,310	1
Hfh Supportive Housing – PSH PH1 Project 2024-2025	89.63	Accepted	Renewal	6	\$429,038	\$0	\$691,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – PSH Heritage Park Renewal	83.00	Accepted	Renewal	7	\$20,000	\$0	\$711,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – Heritage Park Expansion - FY24	78.50	Accepted	Renewal	8	\$190,122	\$0	\$901,470	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$15,909	\$0	\$917,379	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$3,411	\$0	\$920,790	2
Womens Center - RRH DV Bonus	108.67	Accepted	Renewal	10	\$98,520	\$0	\$1,019,310	2
Womens Center TH-RRH DV BONUS	103.00	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-11	\$102,963	\$0	\$1,122,273	2
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing - Expansion	96.88	Accepted	New	12	\$139,998	\$0	\$1,262,271	2
BHC - DV Bonus SSO Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-13	\$108,350	\$0	\$1,370,621	2
BHC - HMIS Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New-Expansion	14	\$29,052	\$0	\$1,399,673	2
BHC - Planning Grant FY24	PLAN - N/A	Accepted	Planning	N/A	\$70,438	\$0	\$1,470,111	0
Hfh Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake Expansion	98.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	0.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Tier 1 Total = 90% of ARD	\$ 917,379							
Tier 2 Total = remaining 10% ARD plus CoC Bonus (\$169,050)	\$ 270,981							
Total Tier 1 + Tier 2	\$ 1,188,360							
DV Bonus	\$ 211,313							
Total of Ranked Projects	\$ 1,399,673							
Planning Grant (excluded from ranking)	\$ 70,438							
Total FY24-25 HUD CoC Program Application	\$ 1,470,110							
					Project Type			
					HMIS - Homeless Management Information System			
					SSO - Supportive Services Only			
					PH - Permanent Supportive Housing			
					RRH - Rapid Rehousing			
					TH-RRH - Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing			
					Renewal Project Possible = 123			
					New Project Possible = 121			

Carrefour		HHH Supportive Housing	Community of Hope	South Brevard Women's Center
Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HHH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
Score	Score	Score	Score	Score

Renewal Projects

Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Grant Management

11%

Maximum Pts	Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HHH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
2	1	1	2	1	2
2	2	1	2	2	2
3	0	0	0	1	2
1	1	1	1	1	1
5	5	5	4.75	4.125	5
Section 1. Total Score:	13	9	8	9.75	12

Section 2. Equity & Inclusion

11%

Maximum Pts	Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HHH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
2	2	2	2	2	2
3	3	0	0	3	2
3	3	3	3	2	3
3	3	3	3	3	3
3	3	3	1.375	0	2.6667
Section 2. Total Score:	14	11	9.375	10	12.6667

Section 3. CoC Participation

3%

Maximum Pts	Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HHH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	0

Renewal Projects				Maximum Pts	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	
c	Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?	HUD has stated that all homeless assistance organizations should be involved in the coordinated entry process by helping households access the system and receive referrals.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries	>10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2	1	1	0	1	0
Section 3. Total Score:					4	3	3	2	3	1

Section 4. Coordinated Entry

3%

a	Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT and Rubric are the tools our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches for Agency" to determine points <i>*Project Based Vouchers (PBV) assume highest points due to referral process with Housing Authority.</i>	14%+ = 2 pts 9% - 13% = 1 pts 5% - 8% = 0.5 pt up to 4% = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
b	Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT and Rubric are the tools our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points	>10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1.0 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2	1	1	2	2	2
Section 4. Total Score:					4	3	3	4	4	4

Section 5. Project Performance (Outcomes (HMIS DATA))

51%

a	OVERALL PROJECT PERFORMANCE - Project Application describes how the project will help improve the performance of the community's overall system, fills a gap/need within our system and move the community forward in achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals to make homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring in our CoC. Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	Comprehensive project applications should address these components.	Source 8. Calc: Application printout from Enaps. Using all application content, the project describes the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance	Clearly describes = 5 pts Somewhat describes = 3 pts Does not or vaguely describes = 0 pts	5	5	5	3.5	3	5
b	Did the project application prove through official partner agreements, how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	In accordance with HUD's Policy Priorities, CoC's should work closely with public and private healthcare organizations, PHAs, and local workforce development centers to maximize the use of resources available to end homelessness.	Source: Coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding/Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.	Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 2 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 1 pt No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers = 0 pts	4	3	3	4	0	6
c	Housing First/Low Barrier: To what extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier?	HUD has expressly stated that programs need to follow a housing first/low barrier philosophy.	Source and Calc: Completed Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire – Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)	Maximum of 24 pts	24	24	24	24	24	21
d	Housing Stability: % of persons who remained in the PH project as of the end of the operating year or exited to a positive housing destination.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	"Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Add the total "Number of Stayers" from table 5a, line 9, to the Subtotal from the Permanent Situations Total column on table 23c and divide by line 2 of table 5a"	90%+ = 5 pts 80% - 89% = 3 pts 75% - 79% = 1 pt <75% = 0 pts	5	5	5	5	3	5
e	Length of Time to Housing: Average number of days between Project Enrollment Date and Housing Move-In Date	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the "Average length of time to housing" number of days from the "Total" column found on table 22c	<30 days = 3 pts 31-60 days = 2 pts 61-90 days = 1 pt 91+ days = 0 pts	3	3	3	3	1	3
f	Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who increased their total income (from all sources) from project start to latest status.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a1.	50%+ = 5 pts 40% - 49% = 4 pts 30% - 39% = 3 pts 20% - 29% = 2 pts 10% - 19% = 1 pt <9% = 0 pts	5	0	0	0	0	5
g	Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who increased their total income (from all sources) from project start to project exit.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a2.	50%+ = 5 pts 40% - 49% = 4 pts 30% - 39% = 3 pts 20% - 29% = 2 pts 10% - 19% = 1 pt <9% = 0 pts	5	0	0	0	0	5
h	Entered From: % of Participants admitted directly from the street or other locations not meant for human habitation, Safe Haven or Emergency Shelters.	HUD emphasizes the Housing First philosophy and requires both PSH and RRH to assist persons directly from the street, emergency shelter, or Safe Haven as a best practice to reducing a person's length of time spent homeless.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 15. From the "Homeless Situations" row, add the numbers from the following columns: "Emergency shelter", "Place not meant for human habitation", and "Safe Haven." Divide total figure by "Number of Adults (age 18 or over)" from table 5a, line 2.	75%+ = 3 pts 65% - 74% = 2 pts 50% - 64% = 1 pt <49% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	2	3

Renewal Projects				Maximum Pts	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score
i Exits to Homelessness: Less than 5% of program exits will be to another homeless destination	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 23c, take the Subtotal from the Homeless Situations Total column and divide by line 2 of table 5a	5% or less = 3 pts 6% - 10% = 2 pts 11% - 24% = 1 pt >25% = 0 pts	3	3	3	3	3	3
j Non-cash Benefits - Annual: % of households with non-cash benefits at annual assessment.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in Row "1+ Sources" and Column "Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers" from table 20b. Divide number by Line 15 from Section 5a.	85% + = 3 pts 50% - 84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	1	3
k Non-cash Benefits - Exit: % of households with non-cash benefits at program exit.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in table 20b in row "1+ Sources" and column "Benefit at Exit for Leavers". Divide number by Line 8 from Section 5a.	85% + = 3 pts 50% - 84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	1	3
Section 5. Total Score:				63	43	43	45.5	38	62

Section 6. Project Populations (HMIS DATA)

7%

a % of Chronically Homeless Persons Served	Ending Chronic homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 12 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	ESH Projects 100% = 1 pt 99% - 50% = 0.5 pts <49% = 0 pts BRH Projects >50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0.5	0	0	0	0
b % of Veterans Served	Ending Veteran homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 11 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
c % of Youth (Under the Age of 25) Served	Effectively ending unaccompanied youth (UAY) is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 13 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
d % Parenting Youth Under the Age of 25 with Children Served	Parenting youth is a sub-population of unaccompanied youth (UAY) as well as families with children	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 14 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
e % Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	Persons fleeing domestic violence are a population of concern in HUD and local goals	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 14b Calc: Divide Total in section 14b by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	1
f Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by no income at entry.	Participants with no income at entry are considered harder to serve than those with income at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 18 Calc: Take the number of "Adults with no Income" from the "Number of Adults at Start" Column and divide it by Line 2 of Section 5a.	50% + = 2 pts <50% = 0 pts	2	0	0	0	0	0
g Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by 2 or more physical/mental health conditions at entry.	Participants with multiple physical/mental health conditions at entry are considered harder to serve than those with no or 1 condition at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - 13a2: Calc: In the "Total Persons" column on table 13a2, add the number in "2 conditions" and "3+ Conditions". Divide this number by the "Total Persons" number shown in Section 13a2.	50% + = 2 pts <50% = 0 pts	2	0	0	0	0	0
Section 6. Total Score:				9	0.5	0	0	0	1

Section 7. HMIS Data Quality

13%

a Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Project Entry Date	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Project Start Date" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0	0	2	2	2
b Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Relationship to Head of Household	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Relationship to Head of Household" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
c Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Disabling Condition	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Disabling Condition" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0.5	0.5	2	2	2
d Project's Data Quality: Destination	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Destination" from 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
e Project's Data Quality: Income at Entry	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Start" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0	0	2	2	2
f Project's Data Quality: Income at Annual Assessment	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Annual Assessment" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	5	2	2
g Project's Data Quality: Income at Exit	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Exit" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
h Project's Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6d Calc: Enter "% of records unable to calculate" from the "Total" row from table 6d.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
Section 7. Total Score:				16	10.5	10.5	19	16	16
TOTAL SCORE (Sections 1-7):				123	83	78.5	89.625	80.125	108.667

Agency Name: _____

HH
Supportive
Housing

WAYS for
LIFE, inc.

South
Brevard
Women's
Center

Project Type: _____

HH's PSH -
Orchid
Lake Exp

WAYS for
Life New
TH-RRH

SBWC DV-
TH-RRH

New Projects

Maximum Pts

Score

Score

Score

Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Experience

20%

<p>Organization's Capacity and Experience: Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>Describe your organization's, and any partner organization's (e.g., developers, key contractors, etc.) experience with successfully utilizing federal funds in other projects. Provide examples that illustrate experience such as:</p> <p>a working with and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive service needs; developing and implementing relevant program systems, services, and/or residential property construction and rehabilitation; identifying and securing matching funds from a variety of sources, and; managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems</p> <p>Source: Application - Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative • Attachments - Organizational Budget and Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990</p>	<p>Extensive experience with government grants with high staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 6 pts.</p> <p>Some experience with government grants with some staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 3 pts.</p> <p>Minimum or no experience with government grants and staff has minimum/no experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 0 pts.</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>4.67</p>	<p>5.75</p>	<p>6.00</p>	
<p>Experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds. Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>b Include experience with leveraging all federal, state, local and private sector funds. If your organization has no experience leveraging other funds, include the phrase "No experience leveraging other federal, state, local, or private sector funds".</p> <p>Source: Application</p>	<p>Extensive Experience with Leveraging Funds = 6 pts</p> <p>Some experience = 3 pts</p> <p>No Experience = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>4.67</p>	<p>5.25</p>	<p>5.25</p>	
<p>Financial Management Structure Include how your organization has a functioning accounting system that is operated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or has designated a fiscal agent that will maintain a functioning accounting system for your organization in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Include the fiscal control and accounting procedures to assure proper dispersal of and accounting for federal funds in accordance with the requirements of 2 CFR part 200.</p> <p>Agency Compliance • Past compliance findings or concern for other funding sources, • Compliance findings/concerns from other monitoring agencies • Any Prior Audit Findings and Questioned Costs indicated in the agency's audited financial reports within the past 3 years or that are older but unresolved. • Status of any of the compliance findings/concerns reported, such as resolved, unresolved</p> <p>Source: Application - Financial Management Structure and Using the provided Financial Audit including Supplementary Information and Other Reports and The Management Letter</p>	<p>Structure in Place and full Compliance = 6 pts</p> <p>Structure in Place with partial compliance = 4 pts</p> <p>1 unresolved finding = 2 pts</p> <p>2+ unresolved findings = 0 pt</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>5.33</p>	<p>5.50</p>	<p>6.00</p>	
<p>d Overall Organizational Financial Health</p> <p>Source: Organizational Budget, Experience with Leveraging Funds, Financial Management Structure, Agency Compliance, supporting documents.</p>	<p>Operational Budget reflects financial stability with multiple streams of funding = 6 pts</p> <p>Operational Budget reflects stability = 3 pts</p> <p>Operational Budget reflects instability = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>4.67</p>	<p>5.75</p>	<p>5.75</p>	
<p>Section 1 Totals</p>			<p>24</p>	<p>19.33</p>	<p>22.25</p>	<p>23.00</p>

Section 2. Equity & Inclusion

9%

<p>a Agency Lived Experience Analysis</p> <p>Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details</p> <p>Calc: Count each staff member and board director who has lived experience with homelessness and the homeless response system to determine the point value</p>	<p>5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	
<p>b Agency Racial Equity Analysis</p> <p>Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details</p> <p>Calc: Count each racial/ethnic category within the organization's staff and Board of Directors to determine the point value.</p>	<p>5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	
<p>Organizational equity and inclusion</p> <p>Does the Project application address equity and inclusion in all areas of the project? 1) Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ individuals 2) Racial Equity 3) Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience</p> <p>Lived Experience specific activities (or similar) listed below, will be scored: • Representation on the organization's Board of Director's or other decision-making board • CoC Lived Experience Committee • Emphasis on hiring/Person with Lived Experience • Use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback • Satisfaction surveys / comment cards</p> <p>Source: Application Question - has made equity and inclusion a priority.</p>	<p>Agency already demonstrates equity and inclusion with a plan to increase = 5 pts</p> <p>Clear plan for inclusion and equity = 3 pts</p> <p>No plan = 0 pts</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>3.33</p>	<p>4.00</p>	<p>4.75</p>	
<p>Section 2 Totals</p>			<p>11</p>	<p>9.33</p>	<p>10.00</p>	<p>10.75</p>

Section 3. CoC Participation

4%

<p>a Applicant is classified as an "Active" Member of the Continuum of Care (CoC) by attending at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings held in the past 12 months.</p> <p>Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Enter Yes if project applicant attended at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings</p>	<p>Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>2.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>	<p>2.00</p>	
<p>b Applicant agency has a leadership role in the Brevard County CoC as evidence by at least 1 of the agency's paid staff serving as Chair or Co-Chair of a CoC Committee or holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council</p> <p>Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Enter Yes if applicant is listed as having 1+ paid staff who holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council and/or serves as Chair/Co-Chair on a CoC Committee</p>	<p>Applicant staff member Chair/Co-Chairs a Committee and/or holds a seat on the Council = 1 pt</p> <p>Applicant staff member does NOT Chair/Co-Chair a Committee or hold a Council seat = 0 pts</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>	
<p>c Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?</p> <p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries</p>	<p>> 10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>2%</p>	<p>0.00</p>	<p>1%</p>	<p>0.00</p>
<p>Section 3 Totals</p>			<p>5</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>2.00</p>

Section 4. Coordinated Entry

4%

<p>a Coordinated Entry High Acuity Referrals/Matches: % of High Acuity Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients</p> <p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches Table: "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column</p> <p>Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches" to determine points</p>	<p>14% = 3 pts 9% - 13% = 2 pts 5% - 8% = 1 pt up to 4% = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>63%</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0.00</p>
<p>b Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List</p> <p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches Table: "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column</p> <p>Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points</p>	<p>> 10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1.0 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>10%</p>	<p>2.00</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0.00</p>
<p>Section 4 Totals</p>			<p>5</p>	<p>5.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>

Section 5. HMIS

2%

<p>a Applicant's HMIS participation</p> <p>Applicant agency actively enters data into HMIS as defined as having entered data within the past 90 days (inclusive of any/all of applicants projects using HMIS)</p> <p>DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points</p>	<p>Applicant actively enters data in HMIS = 1 pt.</p> <p>Applicant is an HMIS partner agency but is not actively entering data = 0.5 pts.</p> <p>Applicant is a non-DV provider and is not a current HMIS partner = 0 pts.</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>1.00</p>
---	--	----------	-------------	-------------	-------------

<p>Experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds. Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>b Include experience with leveraging all federal, state, local and private sector funds. If your organization has no experience leveraging other funds, include the phrase "No experience leveraging other federal, state, local, or private sector funds".</p>	<p>Source: Application</p>	<p>Extensive Experience with Leveraging Funds = 6 pts Some experience = 3 pts No Experience = 0 pts</p>	6	4.67	5.25	5.25
<p>b Applicant's Overall HMIS Data Completeness (Quality)</p>	<p>All HMIS projects are required to maintain satisfactory completeness (quality). If the applicant has current HMIS projects, all current projects will be used to determine the Agency's overall data completeness (quality). DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points Calc- Review the applicant agency's APR inclusive of all projects. Review sections 6A, 6B, 6C, 6D - Data Elements Percent age Error Rate?</p>	<p>Number of Categories with greater than 10% missing data: 0 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 3+ = 0 pts</p> <p>Applicant is a non-DV provider and does not have a currently operating projects that are entering data into HMIS = 0 pts</p>	2	2.00	0.00	2.00
Section 5 Totals			3	3.00	1.00	3.00

Section 6. Project Proposal & Performance (Outcomes)

58%

<p>Project Description and Scope: Maximum 3500 characters</p> <p>Provide a detailed description of the scope of the project including the target population(s) to be served, project plan for addressing the identified housing and supportive service needs, anticipated project outcome(s), coordination with other organizations (e.g., federal, state, nonprofit), and how the CoC Program funding will be used.</p> <p>Additionally, if your project implements service participation requirements or beyond what is typically included in a lease agreement, describe those requirements and how they will be implemented.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Project Description Narrative. Using all application content, the project addresses the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance</p>	<p>Clearly describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 13 pts</p> <p>Somewhat describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 6 pts</p> <p>Does not or vaguely describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 0 pts</p>	13	12.00	12.50	11.50
<p>Project Health Services Partnerships: Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?</p>	<p>Source: Application - Project Description Narrative. Using all application content and attachments, determine if the application formally addressed this coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding / Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.</p>	<p>Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 6 pts</p> <p>Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts</p> <p>Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts</p> <p>Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 2 pt</p> <p>No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers but the narrative describes a relationship = 1 pts</p> <p>No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers and the narrative does not describe a relationship = 0 pts</p>	6	6.00	4.00	6.00
<p>Housing First/Low Barrier: To What Extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier? The project adheres to a Housing First model.</p>	<p>Source and Calc: Application Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire - Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)</p>	<p>Maximum of 24 pts.</p>	24	20.00	18.00	21.00
<p>Project Client Demographics/Target Populations: Describes the project's proposed populations to be served, including identifying targets, and information demonstrating an understanding of the needs of the clients they propose to serve.</p> <p>The type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Client Demographics/Target Population</p>	<p>Clearly defines the target demographics of the individuals/households to be served with details that demonstrates an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 10 pts</p> <p>Adequately defines the target demographic, but lacks some detail to demonstrate a full understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 5 pt.</p> <p>Vaguely defines the target demographic, does not demonstrate an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 0 pts</p>	10	7.33	9.50	9.25
<p>Project Performance Outcomes: Outcomes are the primary way for projects to demonstrate effectiveness and impact in effecting ending homelessness.</p> <p>Outcomes should be based on client accomplishments and not on the number of services/activities provided to an individual/household; demonstrate a positive impact on overall system performance</p> <p>Narrative should include the following Outcomes for all project types except SSO-CE. The standard being implemented for our CoC system is indicated in parenthesis.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Percent of participants/households that will exit to a permanent housing situation (80%) - Average Length of time from project enrollment to permanent housing placement (60 days or less) - Percent of adult participants that have increased Earned Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (10%) - Percent of adult participants that have increased Total Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (25%) 	<p>Source: Application - Performance Outcomes</p>	<p>Outcomes are concise, identified and measurable outcomes that can positively impact overall system performance and are not based on number of activities/services = 5 pts</p> <p>Outcomes are adequate, but not concise, included some that are based on number of activities/services, and adequately shows positive impact on overall system performance = 3 pts</p> <p>Outcomes are all based on number of services/activities provided, outcomes are vague, and/or do not demonstrate a positive impact on overall system performance = 0 pts</p>	5	2.67	4.50	4.38
<p>Project Budget and Financial Plan. The average cost per household served is reasonable, meaning that the f costs for housing and services provided by the project are consistent with the population the project plans to serve.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Detailed Financial Plan Narrative</p>	<p>Budget information is detailed, clear and complete; aligns with the project descriptions, presents a feasible project = 6 pts</p> <p>Budget information adequately provides necessary information for eligible costs, adequately aligns with the project descriptions, adequately presents a feasible project = 3 pts</p> <p>Budget information includes ineligible costs, vague details, missing key information to support project description and/or does not present a feasible project = 0 pts</p>	6	5.00	5.50	3.50
<p>Match Commitment</p>	<p>Source: Using Application - Match Narrative and Documentation of Commitment</p>	<p>Detailed, clear and complete match commitment documentation as required by HUD that indicates the applicant understands match and will be able to provide required project match = 6 pts</p> <p>Demonstrates a basic understanding of match, however the match commitment documentation does not meet HUD's requirements, and/or indicates the applicant may have difficulty providing necessary match = 3 pts</p> <p>Vague, missing required commitment documentation required by HUD and/or does not demonstrate an understanding of eligible match and/or the ability to provide required project match = 0 pts</p>	6	3.33	5.63	5.88
Section 6 Totals			70	56.33	59.63	61.50

Section 7. Overall Review

2%

<p>a Overall Proposal Presentation</p>	<p>The overall presentation of the proposal – content, flow of narratives, adherence to RFP instructions – demonstrates attention to detail and quality</p>	<p>Presented in a detailed, concise organized manner that was easy to understand and review = 3 pts</p> <p>Lacked attention to detail and overall organization of information making it difficult for the reviewer(s) to locate information needed to complete scoring = 0 pts</p>	3	2.00	3.00	2.75
Section 7 Totals			3	2.00	3.00	2.75
TOTAL SCORE (Sections 7): 121			121	98.00	96.88	103.00

Question: 1E-5a
Attachment Name: Notification of Projects Accepted

Notification of Projects Accepted

- 1. Notice to all Project Applicants**
- 2. Renewal Project Scores Combined**
- 3. New Project Scores Combined**
- 4. Group email to all Project Applicants**
- 5. Individual Accepted Email Notifications**


FY24 HUD CoC Competition - Project Priority Listing

From BHC grants <grants@brevardhomelesscoalition.org>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 7:50 PM

To BHC grants <grants@brevardhomelesscoalition.org>

Cc drew <drew@hopeofbrevard.com>; Tiffany_hfhsh <Tiffany@hfhsh.org>; Rob <Rob@hfhsh.org>; snewson <snewson@carrfour.org>; pam.bress <pam.bress@waysforlife.org>; Becky Lemstrom <blemstrom@womenscenter.net>

 1 attachments (132 KB)

FY2024 HUD CoC Program Local Competition Selection Results Priority Listing.pdf;

Good Evening,

Thank you for completing and submitting your new and renewal project application(s) for the HUD FY24 CoC Program Competition and FY24 Universal RFP.

As stated in the RFP and the HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO, the BHC will notify the applicant if project application(s) "will be accepted and ranked on the CoC Priority Listing, rejected or reduced" to all project applicants at least 15 days before the FY24 collaborative application deadline.

Therefore, the Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC), as the lead agency and collaborative applicant for the Brevard County CoC, hereby issues, on Tuesday, October 8, 2024, the attached notice:

FY24 HUD CoC Competition Project Inclusion/Exclusion List with Project Scores and Project Priority Listing Placement

This notice will also be posted on BHC's website at: <https://brevardhomelesscoalition.org/fy-2024-grant-opportunities/>

The BHC will send out a separate email to each agency with details for all projects.

In partnership,

Your BHC Team

**FY2024 HUD CoC Program
Local Competition Selection Results**

The Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC), as the lead agency and collaborative applicant for the Brevard County CoC, hereby issues, on **October 8, 2024 the Local Competition Selection Results**, this Notice to Project Applicants of inclusion / exclusion for the FY24 HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO and Priority Listing.

Inclusions (accepted and will be ranked): The projects listed below will be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application at the amounts indicated in the "Amount Requested" column. All the projects will be listed on the FY24 Applications Project Priority Listing in the order listed below based on the CoC's Project Ranking Determination process. Included with this notice is the Renewal Project Scorecard for each of the scored Renewal and New Projects.

Exclusions (Rejected): There were two (2) projects submitted that will not be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application. One (1) due to a fatal flaw in the application and one (1) due to program ineligibility.

Application Amounts - Requested vs. Included (reduced or increased): The Amounts listed in the "Amounts Requested from HUD" column either match the amount the project requested or reflect a reduction based on the reallocation process and available funding.

PROJECT RANKING DETERMINATION

1. Critical System Renewal Projects - HMIS and Coordinated Entry - Recognizing that some CoC-funded projects are a basic requirement for overall CoC system processes and functioning, HMIS and Coordinated Entry dedicated projects will be ranked on the Project Priority Listing as numbers 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

2. Project Renewals - Project Renewals - All other renewal Projects will be ranked in order of: 1) first-year renewal projects by project type with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first, Rapid Rehousing (RRH) and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest and 2) all other renewal projects with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first and Rapid Rehousing (RRH) projects and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

3. Consolidated Renewals Project (incl. Expansions) – Consolidated Renewals will be ranked closest to the highest-ranking project of the two individual projects being consolidated and given the same ranking number as that project. For example, the highest ranking of the two projects is 5 and the lowest ranking is a 7, the consolidated project will also be placed as a second ranking of 5. There were no consolidations in this competition.

4. New Projects - including CoC and DV Bonus will be placed at the bottom of the ranking, in the order of the scores received during the RFP process, unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

Project Name	Score	Status	New/Renewal	Rank	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds	Cumulative Total	Tier
BHC - HMIS Renewal FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	1	\$71,455	\$0	\$71,455	1
BHC - CoC Coordinated Entry FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	2	\$71,785	\$0	\$143,240	1
BHC - DV Bonus SSO FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	3	\$18,529	\$0	\$161,769	1
HfH Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	4	\$50,000	\$0	\$211,769	1
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	5	\$50,541	\$0	\$262,310	1
HfH Supportive Housing – PSH PH1 Project 2024-2025	89.63	Accepted	Renewal	6	\$429,038	\$0	\$691,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – PSH Heritage Park Renewal	83.00	Accepted	Renewal	7	\$20,000	\$0	\$711,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – Heritage Park Expansion - FY24	78.50	Accepted	Renewal	8	\$190,122	\$0	\$901,470	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$15,909	\$0	\$917,379	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$3,411	\$0	\$920,790	2
Womens Center - RRH DV Bonus	108.67	Accepted	Renewal	10	\$98,520	\$0	\$1,019,310	2
Womens Center TH-RRH DV BONUS	103.00	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-11	\$102,963	\$0	\$1,122,273	2
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing - Expansion	96.88	Accepted	New	12	\$139,998	\$0	\$1,262,271	2
BHC - DV Bonus SSO Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-13	\$108,350	\$0	\$1,370,621	2
BHC - HMIS Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New-Expansion	14	\$29,052	\$0	\$1,399,673	2
BHC - Planning Grant FY24	PLAN - N/A	Accepted	Planning	N/A	\$70,438	\$0	\$1,470,111	0
HfH Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake Expansion	98.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	0.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Tier 1 Total = 90% of ARD	\$ 917,379							
Tier 2 Total = remaining 10% ARD plus CoC Bonus (\$169,050)	\$ 270,981							
Total Tier 1 + Tier 2	\$ 1,188,360							
DV Bonus	\$ 211,313							
Total of Ranked Projects	\$ 1,399,673							
Planning Grant (excluded from ranking)	\$ 70,438							
Total FY24-25 HUD CoC Program Application	\$ 1,470,110							
Project Type								
HMIS - Homeless Management Information System SSO - Supportive Services Only PH - Permanent Supportive Housing RRH - Rapid Rehousing TH-RRH - Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing								
Renewal Project Possible = 123								
New Project Possible = 121								

FY2024 Notice of Inclusion

From BHC grants <grants@brevardhomelesscoalition.org>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 8:00 PM

To pam.bress <pam.bress@waysforlife.org>

Cc BHC grants <grants@brevardhomelesscoalition.org>

 3 attachments (772 KB)

FY2024 HUD CoC Program Local Competition Selection Results Priority Listing.pdf; FY 2024 Universal RFP - Renewals All.pdf; FY 2024 Universal RFP - New All.pdf;

Dear Pam,

I am pleased to inform you that the Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC) Ranking and Review Committee and the CoC Advisory Council have approved the following projects for funding and inclusion in the FY2024 Project Priority Listing:

1. WAYS for LIFE – Youth Transitional Housing – Rapid Rehousing (TH-RRH) – 1st Year Renewal Project in Tier 1 in the amount of \$50,541
2. WAYS for LIFE – Youth Transitional Housing – Rapid Rehousing (TH-RRH) – New CoC Bonus Project in Tier 2 in the amount of \$139,998

The decision was made based on the project score as well as the performance measures revealed through the scoring process.

Attached is the Notice of Inclusion/Exclusion with Project Scoring for all proposals.

The HUD FY2024 CoC NOFO Submission Deadline is Wednesday, October 30, 2024 @ 8:00 p.m. and we are planning to post our complete application to the BHC website at least 2 days prior to that submission, by Monday, October 28, 2024 @ 8:00 pm. We will be working on the Consolidated Application and may be reaching out to you for additional information that will help strengthen our application as well as any changes that need to be made to individual project applications, so stay tuned!

Please send questions regarding the reallocation decision made by the Ranking and Review Committee to Amber Carroll, amber@brevardhomelesscoalition.org.

Thank you for your participation in this year's CoC Competition.

In Partnership,
Your BHC Team

**FY2024 HUD CoC Program
Local Competition Selection Results**

The Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC), as the lead agency and collaborative applicant for the Brevard County CoC, hereby issues, on **October 8, 2024 the Local Competition Selection Results**, this Notice to Project Applicants of inclusion / exclusion for the FY24 HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO and Priority Listing.

Inclusions (accepted and will be ranked): The projects listed below will be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application at the amounts indicated in the "Amount Requested" column. All the projects will be listed on the FY24 Applications Project Priority Listing in the order listed below based on the CoC's Project Ranking Determination process. Included with this notice is the Renewal Project Scorecard for each of the scored Renewal and New Projects.

Exclusions (Rejected): There were two (2) projects submitted that will not be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application. One (1) due to a fatal flaw in the application and one (1) due to program ineligibility.

Application Amounts - Requested vs. Included (reduced or increased): The Amounts listed in the "Amounts Requested from HUD" column either match the amount the project requested or reflect a reduction based on the reallocation process and available funding.

PROJECT RANKING DETERMINATION

1. Critical System Renewal Projects - HMIS and Coordinated Entry - Recognizing that some CoC-funded projects are a basic requirement for overall CoC system processes and functioning, HMIS and Coordinated Entry dedicated projects will be ranked on the Project Priority Listing as numbers 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

2. Project Renewals - Project Renewals - All other renewal Projects will be ranked in order of: 1) first-year renewal projects by project type with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first, Rapid Rehousing (RRH) and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest and 2) all other renewal projects with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first and Rapid Rehousing (RRH) projects and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

3. Consolidated Renewals Project (incl. Expansions) – Consolidated Renewals will be ranked closest to the highest-ranking project of the two individual projects being consolidated and given the same ranking number as that project. For example, the highest ranking of the two projects is 5 and the lowest ranking is a 7, the consolidated project will also be placed as a second ranking of 5. There were no consolidations in this competition.

4. New Projects - including CoC and DV Bonus will be placed at the bottom of the ranking, in the order of the scores received during the RFP process, unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

Project Name	Score	Status	New/Renewal	Rank	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds	Cumulative Total	Tier
BHC - HMIS Renewal FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	1	\$71,455	\$0	\$71,455	1
BHC - CoC Coordinated Entry FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	2	\$71,785	\$0	\$143,240	1
BHC - DV Bonus SSO FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	3	\$18,529	\$0	\$161,769	1
HfH Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	4	\$50,000	\$0	\$211,769	1
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	5	\$50,541	\$0	\$262,310	1
HfH Supportive Housing – PSH PH1 Project 2024-2025	89.63	Accepted	Renewal	6	\$429,038	\$0	\$691,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – PSH Heritage Park Renewal	83.00	Accepted	Renewal	7	\$20,000	\$0	\$711,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – Heritage Park Expansion - FY24	78.50	Accepted	Renewal	8	\$190,122	\$0	\$901,470	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$15,909	\$0	\$917,379	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$3,411	\$0	\$920,790	2
Womens Center - RRH DV Bonus	108.67	Accepted	Renewal	10	\$98,520	\$0	\$1,019,310	2
Womens Center TH-RRH DV BONUS	103.00	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-11	\$102,963	\$0	\$1,122,273	2
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing - Expansion	96.88	Accepted	New	12	\$139,998	\$0	\$1,262,271	2
BHC - DV Bonus SSO Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-13	\$108,350	\$0	\$1,370,621	2
BHC - HMIS Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New-Expansion	14	\$29,052	\$0	\$1,399,673	2
BHC - Planning Grant FY24	PLAN - N/A	Accepted	Planning	N/A	\$70,438	\$0	\$1,470,111	0
HfH Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake Expansion	98.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	0.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Tier 1 Total = 90% of ARD	\$ 917,379							
Tier 2 Total = remaining 10% ARD plus CoC Bonus (\$169,050)	\$ 270,981							
Total Tier 1 + Tier 2	\$ 1,188,360							
DV Bonus	\$ 211,313							
Total of Ranked Projects	\$ 1,399,673							
Planning Grant (excluded from ranking)	\$ 70,438							
Total FY24-25 HUD CoC Program Application	\$ 1,470,110							
					Project Type			
					HMIS - Homeless Management Information System			
					SSO - Supportive Services Only			
					PH - Permanent Supportive Housing			
					RRH - Rapid Rehousing			
					TH-RRH - Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing			
					Renewal Project Possible = 123			
					New Project Possible = 121			

Carrefour		HHH Supportive Housing	Community of Hope	South Brevard Women's Center
Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HHH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
Score	Score	Score	Score	Score

Renewal Projects

Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Grant Management

11%

Maximum Pts	Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HHH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
a	1	1	2	1	2
b	2	1	2	2	2
c	0	0	0	1	2
d	1	1	1	1	1
e	5	5	4.75	4.125	5
Section 1. Total Score: 13					
	9	8	9.75	9.125	12

Section 2. Equity & Inclusion

11%

Maximum Pts	Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HHH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
a	2	2	2	2	2
b	3	3	0	3	2
c	3	3	3	2	3
d	3	3	3	3	3
e	3	3	3	1.375	0
Section 2. Total Score: 14					
	14	11	9.375	10	12.6667

Section 3. CoC Participation

3%

Maximum Pts	Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HHH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
a	1	1	1	1	1
b	1	1	1	1	0

Renewal Projects				Maximum Pts	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	
c	Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?	HUD has stated that all homeless assistance organizations should be involved in the coordinated entry process by helping households access the system and receive referrals.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries	>10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2	1	1	0	1	0
Section 3. Total Score:					4	3	3	2	3	1

Section 4. Coordinated Entry

3%

a	Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT and Rubric are the tools our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches for Agency" to determine points <i>*Project Based Vouchers (PBV) assume highest points due to referral process with Housing Authority.</i>	14%+ = 2 pts 9% - 13% = 1 pts 5% - 8% = 0.5 pt up to 4% = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
b	Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT and Rubric are the tools our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points	>10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1.0 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2	1	1	2	2	2
Section 4. Total Score:					4	3	3	4	4	4

Section 5. Project Performance (Outcomes (HMIS DATA))

51%

a	OVERALL PROJECT PERFORMANCE - Project Application describes how the project will help improve the performance of the community's overall system, fills a gap/need within our system and move the community forward in achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals to make homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring in our CoC. Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	Comprehensive project applications should address these components.	Source 8. Calc: Application printout from Enaps. Using all application content, the project describes the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance	Clearly describes = 5 pts Somewhat describes = 3 pts Does not or vaguely describes = 0 pts	5	5	5	3.5	3	5
b	Did the project application prove through official partner agreements, how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	In accordance with HUD's Policy Priorities, CoC's should work closely with public and private healthcare organizations, PHAs, and local workforce development centers to maximize the use of resources available to end homelessness.	Source: Coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding/Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.	Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 2 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 1 pt No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers = 0 pts	4	3	3	4	0	6
c	Housing First/Low Barrier: To what extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier?	HUD has expressly stated that programs need to follow a housing first/low barrier philosophy.	Source and Calc: Completed Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire – Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)	Maximum of 24 pts	24	24	24	24	24	21
d	Housing Stability: % of persons who remained in the PH project as of the end of the operating year or exited to a positive housing destination.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	"Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Add the total "Number of Stayers" from table 5a, line 9, to the Subtotal from the Permanent Situations Total column on table 23c and divide by line 2 of table 5a"	90%+ = 5 pts 80% - 89% = 3 pts 75% - 79% = 1 pt <75% = 0 pts	5	5	5	5	3	5
e	Length of Time to Housing: Average number of days between Project Enrollment Date and Housing Move-In Date	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the "Average length of time to housing" number of days from the "Total" column found on table 22c	<30 days = 3 pts 31-60 days = 2 pts 61-90 days = 1 pt 91+ days = 0 pts	3	3	3	3	1	3
f	Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who increased their total income (from all sources) from project start to latest status.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a1.	50%+ = 5 pts 40% - 49% = 4 pts 30% - 39% = 3 pts 20% - 29% = 2 pts 10% - 19% = 1 pt <9% = 0 pts	5	0	0	0	0	5
g	Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who increased their total income (from all sources) from project start to project exit.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a2.	50%+ = 5 pts 40% - 49% = 4 pts 30% - 39% = 3 pts 20% - 29% = 2 pts 10% - 19% = 1 pt <9% = 0 pts	5	0	0	0	0	5
h	Entered From: % of Participants admitted directly from the street or other locations not meant for human habitation, Safe Haven or Emergency Shelters.	HUD emphasizes the Housing First philosophy and requires both PSH and RRH to assist persons directly from the street, emergency shelter, or Safe Haven as a best practice to reducing a person's length of time spent homeless.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 15. From the "Homeless Situations" row, add the numbers from the following columns: "Emergency shelter", "Place not meant for human habitation", and "Safe Haven." Divide total figure by "Number of Adults (age 18 or over)" from table 5a, line 2.	75%+ = 3 pts 65% - 74% = 2 pts 50% - 64% = 1 pt <49% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	2	3

Renewal Projects				Maximum Pts	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score
i Exits to Homelessness: Less than 5% of program exits will be to another homeless destination	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 23c, take the Subtotal from the Homeless Situations Total column and divide by line 2 of table 5a	5% or less = 3 pts 6% - 10% = 2 pts 11% - 24% = 1 pt >25% = 0 pts	3	3	3	3	3	3
j Non-cash Benefits - Annual: % of households with non-cash benefits at annual assessment.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in Row "1+ Sources" and Column "Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers" from table 20b. Divide number by Line 15 from Section 5a.	85% + = 3 pts 50% - 84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	1	3
k Non-cash Benefits - Exit: % of households with non-cash benefits at program exit.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in table 20b in row "1+ Sources" and column "Benefit at Exit for Leavers". Divide number by Line 8 from Section 5a.	85% + = 3 pts 50% - 84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	1	3
Section 5. Total Score:				63	43	43	45.5	38	62

Section 6. Project Populations (HMIS DATA)

7%

a % of Chronically Homeless Persons Served	Ending Chronic homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 12 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	ESH Projects 100% = 1 pt 99% - 50% = 0.5 pts <49% = 0 pts BRH Projects >50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0.5	0	0	0	0
b % of Veterans Served	Ending Veteran homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 11 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
c % of Youth (Under the Age of 25) Served	Effectively ending unaccompanied youth (UAY) is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 13 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
d % Parenting Youth Under the Age of 25 with Children Served	Parenting youth is a sub-population of unaccompanied youth (UAY) as well as families with children	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 14 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
e % Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	Persons fleeing domestic violence are a population of concern in HUD and local goals	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 14b Calc: Divide Total in section 14b by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	1
f Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by no income at entry.	Participants with no income at entry are considered harder to serve than those with income at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 18 Calc: Take the number of "Adults with no Income" from the "Number of Adults at Start" Column and divide it by Line 2 of Section 5a.	50% + = 2 pts <50% = 0 pts	2	0	0	0	0	0
g Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by 2 or more physical/mental health conditions at entry.	Participants with multiple physical/mental health conditions at entry are considered harder to serve than those with no or 1 condition at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - 13a2: Calc: In the "Total Persons" column on table 13a2, add the number in "2 conditions" and "3+ Conditions". Divide this number by the "Total Persons" number shown in Section 13a2.	50% + = 2 pts <50% = 0 pts	2	0	0	0	0	0
Section 6. Total Score:				9	0.5	0	0	0	1

Section 7. HMIS Data Quality

13%

a Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Project Entry Date	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Project Start Date" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0	0	2	2	2
b Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Relationship to Head of Household	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Relationship to Head of Household" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
c Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Disabling Condition	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Disabling Condition" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0.5	0.5	2	2	2
d Project's Data Quality: Destination	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Destination" from 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
e Project's Data Quality: Income at Entry	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Start" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0	0	2	2	2
f Project's Data Quality: Income at Annual Assessment	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Annual Assessment" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	5	2	2
g Project's Data Quality: Income at Exit	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Exit" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
h Project's Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6d Calc: Enter "% of records unable to calculate" from the "Total" row from table 6d.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
Section 7. Total Score:				16	10.5	10.5	19	16	16
TOTAL SCORE (Sections 1-7):				123	83	78.5	89.625	80.125	108.667

Agency Name: _____

HH
Supportive
Housing

WAYS for
LIFE, inc.

South
Brevard
Women's
Center

Project Type: _____

HH's PSH -
Orchid
Lake Exp

WAYS for
Life New
TH-RRH

SBWC DV-
TH-RRH

New Projects

Maximum Pts

Score

Score

Score

Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Experience

20%

<p>Organization's Capacity and Experience: Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>Describe your organization's, and any partner organization's (e.g., developers, key contractors, etc.) experience with successfully utilizing federal funds in other projects. Provide examples that illustrate experience such as:</p> <p>a working with and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive service needs; developing and implementing relevant program systems, services, and/or residential property construction and rehabilitation; identifying and securing matching funds from a variety of sources, and; managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems</p>	<p>Source: Application - Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative - Attachments - Organizational Budget and Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990</p>	<p>Extensive experience with government grants with high staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 6 pts.</p> <p>Some experience with government grants with some staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 3 pts.</p> <p>Minimum or no experience with government grants and staff has minimum/no experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 0 pts.</p>	6	4.67	5.75	6.00	
<p>b Experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds. Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>Include experience with leveraging all federal, state, local and private sector funds. If your organization has no experience leveraging other funds, include the phrase "No experience leveraging other federal, state, local, or private sector funds".</p>	<p>Source: Application</p>	<p>Extensive Experience with Leveraging Funds = 6 pts</p> <p>Some experience = 3 pts</p> <p>No Experience = 0 pts</p>	6	4.67	5.25	5.25	
<p>Financial Management Structure Include how your organization has a functioning accounting system that is operated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or has designated a fiscal agent that will maintain a functioning accounting system for your organization in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Include the fiscal control and accounting procedures to assure proper dispersal of and accounting for federal funds in accordance with the requirements of 2 CFR part 200.</p> <p>Agency Compliance *Past compliance findings or concern for other funding sources, *Compliance findings/concerns from other monitoring agencies *Any Prior Audit Findings and Questioned Costs indicated in the agency's audited financial reports within the past 3 years or that are older but unresolved. *Status of any of the compliance findings/concerns reported, such as resolved, unresolved</p>	<p>Source: Application - Financial Management Structure and Using the provided Financial Audit including Supplementary Information and Other Reports and The Management Letter</p>	<p>Structure in Place and full Compliance = 6 pts</p> <p>Structure in Place with partial compliance = 4 pts</p> <p>1 unresolved finding = 2 pts</p> <p>2+ unresolved findings = 0 pt</p>	6	5.33	5.50	6.00	
<p>d Overall Organizational Financial Health</p>	<p>Source: Organizational Budget, Experience with Leveraging Funds, Financial Management Structure, Agency Compliance, supporting documents.</p>	<p>Operational Budget reflects financial stability with multiple streams of funding = 6 pts</p> <p>Operational Budget reflects stability = 3 pts</p> <p>Operational Budget reflects instability = 0 pts</p>	6	4.67	5.75	5.75	
Section 1 Totals				24	19.33	22.25	23.00

Section 2. Equity & Inclusion

9%

<p>a Agency Lived Experience Analysis</p>	<p>Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details</p> <p>Calc: Count each staff member and board director who has lived experience with homelessness and the homeless response system to determine the point value</p>	<p>5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts</p>	3	5	3.00	6	3.00	2	3.00
<p>b Agency Racial Equity Analysis</p>	<p>Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details</p> <p>Calc: Count each racial/ethnic category within the organization's staff and Board of Directors to determine the point value.</p>	<p>5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts</p>	3	5	3.00	5	3.00	5	3.00
<p>Organizational equity and inclusion</p> <p>Does the Project application address equity and inclusion in all areas of the project?</p> <p>1) Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ individuals 2) Racial Equity 3) Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience</p> <p>Lived Experience specific activities (or similar) listed below, will be scored</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> *Representation on the organization's Board of Director's or other decision-making board *CoC Lived Experience Committee *Emphasis on hiring/Person with Lived Experience *Use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback *Satisfaction surveys / comment cards 	<p>Source: Application Question - has made equity and inclusion a priority.</p>	<p>Agency already demonstrates equity and inclusion with a plan to increase = 5 pts</p> <p>Clear plan for inclusion and equity = 3 pts</p> <p>No plan = 0 pts</p>	5	3.33	4.00	4.75			
Section 2 Totals				11	9.33	10.00	10.75		

Section 3. CoC Participation

4%

<p>a Applicant is classified as an "Active" Member of the Continuum of Care (CoC) by attending at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings held in the past 12 months.</p>	<p>Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Enter Yes if project applicant attended at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings</p>	<p>Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts</p>	2		2.00	0.00	2.00		
<p>b Applicant agency has a leadership role in the Brevard County CoC as evidence by at least 1 of the agency's paid staff serving as Chair or Co-Chair of a CoC Committee or holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council</p>	<p>Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Enter Yes if applicant is listed as having 1+ paid staff who holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council and/or serves as Chair/Co-Chair on a CoC Committee</p>	<p>Applicant staff member Chair/Co-Chairs a Committee and/or holds a seat on the Council = 1 pt</p> <p>Applicant staff member does NOT Chair/Co-Chair a Committee or hold a Council seat = 0 pts</p>	1		1.00	1.00	0.00		
<p>c Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?</p>	<p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries</p>	<p>> 10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts</p>	2	2%	0.00	1%	0.00	0%	0.00
Section 3 Totals				5	3.00	1.00	2.00		

Section 4. Coordinated Entry

4%

<p>a Coordinated Entry High Acuity Referrals/Matches: % of High Acuity Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients</p>	<p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches Table: "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column</p> <p>Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches" to determine points</p>	<p>14% = 3 pts 9% - 13% = 2 pts 5% - 8% = 1 pt up to 4% = 0 pts</p>	3	63%	3.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
<p>b Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List</p>	<p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches Table: "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column</p> <p>Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points</p>	<p>> 10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1.0 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts</p>	2	10%	2.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
Section 4 Totals				5	5.00	0.00	0.00		

Section 5. HMIS

2%

<p>a Applicant's HMIS participation</p> <p>Applicant agency actively enters data into HMIS as defined as having entered data within the past 90 days (inclusive of any/all of applicants projects using HMIS)</p> <p>DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points</p>	<p>Applicant actively enters data into HMIS = 1 pt.</p> <p>Applicant is an HMIS partner agency but is not actively entering data = 0.5 pts.</p> <p>Applicant is a non-DV provider and is not a current HMIS partner = 0 pts.</p>	1	1.00	1.00	1.00				
--	--	---	------	------	------	--	--	--	--

<p>Experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds. Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>b Include experience with leveraging all federal, state, local and private sector funds. If your organization has no experience leveraging other funds, include the phrase "No experience leveraging other federal, state, local, or private sector funds".</p>	<p>Source: Application</p>	<p>Extensive Experience with Leveraging Funds = 6 pts Some experience = 3 pts No Experience = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>4.67</p>	<p>5.25</p>	<p>5.25</p>
<p>b Applicant's Overall HMIS Data Completeness (Quality)</p>	<p>All HMIS projects are required to maintain satisfactory completeness (quality). If the applicant has current HMIS projects, all current projects will be used to determine the Agency's overall data completeness (quality). DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points Calc- Review the applicant agency's APR inclusive of all projects. Review sections 6A, 6B, 6C, 6D - Data Elements Percent age Error Rate?</p>	<p>Number of Categories with greater than 10% missing data: 0 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 3+ = 0 pts Applicant is a non-DV provider and does not have a currently operating projects that are entering data into HMIS = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>2.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>	<p>2.00</p>
<p>Section 5 Totals</p>			<p>3</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>

Section 6. Project Proposal & Performance (Outcomes)

58%

<p>Project Description and Scope: Maximum 3500 characters</p> <p>Provide a detailed description of the scope of the project including the target population(s) to be served, project plan for addressing the identified housing and supportive service needs, anticipated project outcome(s), coordination with other organizations (e.g., federal, state, nonprofit), and how the CoC Program funding will be used.</p> <p>Additionally, if your project implements service participation requirements or beyond what is typically included in a lease agreement, describe those requirements and how they will be implemented.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Project Description Narrative. Using all application content, the project addresses the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance</p>	<p>Clearly describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 13 pts Somewhat describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 6 pts Does not or vaguely describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 0 pts</p>	<p>13</p>	<p>12.00</p>	<p>12.50</p>	<p>11.50</p>
<p>Project Health Services Partnerships: Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?</p>	<p>Source: Application - Project Description Narrative. Using all application content and attachments, determine if the application formally addressed this coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding / Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.</p>	<p>Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 6 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 2 pt No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers but the narrative describes a relationship = 1 pts No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers and the narrative does not describe a relationship = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>6.00</p>	<p>4.00</p>	<p>6.00</p>
<p>Housing First/Low Barrier: To What Extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier? The project adheres to a Housing First model.</p>	<p>Source and Calc: Application Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire - Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)</p>	<p>Maximum of 24 pts.</p>	<p>24</p>	<p>20.00</p>	<p>18.00</p>	<p>21.00</p>
<p>Project Client Demographics/Target Populations: Describes the project's proposed populations to be served, including identifying targets, and information demonstrating an understanding of the needs of the clients they propose to serve. The type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Client Demographics/Target Population</p>	<p>Clearly defines the target demographics of the individuals/households to be served with details that demonstrates an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 10 pts Adequately defines the target demographic, but lacks some detail to demonstrate a full understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 5 pt. Vaguely defines the target demographic, does not demonstrate an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 0 pts</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>7.33</p>	<p>9.50</p>	<p>9.25</p>
<p>Project Performance Outcomes: Outcomes are the primary way for projects to demonstrate effectiveness and impact in effecting ending homelessness. Outcomes should be based on client accomplishments and not on the number of services/activities provided to an individual/household; demonstrate a positive impact on overall system performance Narrative should include the following Outcomes for all project types except SSOCE. The standard being implemented for our CoC system is indicated in parenthesis. - Percent of participants/households that will exit to a permanent housing situation (80%) - Average Length of time from project enrollment to permanent housing placement (60 days or less) - Percent of adult participants that have increased Earned Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (10%) - Percent of adult participants that have increased Total Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (25%)</p>	<p>Source: Application - Performance Outcomes</p>	<p>Outcomes are concise, identified and measurable outcomes that can positively impact overall system performance and are not based on number of activities/services = 5 pts Outcomes are adequate, but not concise, included some that are based on number of activities/services, and adequately shows positive impact on overall system performance = 3 pts Outcomes are all based on number of services/activities provided, outcomes are vague, and/or do not demonstrate a positive impact on overall system performance = 0 pts</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>2.67</p>	<p>4.50</p>	<p>4.38</p>
<p>Project Budget and Financial Plan. The average cost per household served is reasonable, meaning that the f costs for housing and services provided by the project are consistent with the population the project plans to serve.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Detailed Financial Plan Narrative</p>	<p>Budget information is detailed, clear and complete; aligns with the project descriptions, presents a feasible project = 6 pts Budget information adequately provides necessary information for eligible costs, adequately aligns with the project descriptions, adequately presents a feasible project = 3 pts Budget information includes ineligible costs, vague details, missing key information to support project description and/or does not present a feasible project = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>5.00</p>	<p>5.50</p>	<p>3.50</p>
<p>Match Commitment</p>	<p>Source: Using Application - Match Narrative and Documentation of Commitment</p>	<p>Detailed, clear and complete match commitment documentation as required by HUD that indicates the applicant understands match and will be able to provide required project match = 6 pts Demonstrates a basic understanding of match, however the match commitment documentation does not meet HUD's requirements, and/or indicates the applicant may have difficulty providing necessary match = 3 pts Vague, missing required commitment documentation required by HUD and/or does not demonstrate an understanding of eligible match and/or the ability to provide required project match = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>3.33</p>	<p>5.63</p>	<p>5.88</p>
<p>Section 6 Totals</p>			<p>70</p>	<p>56.33</p>	<p>59.63</p>	<p>61.50</p>

Section 7. Overall Review

2%

<p>a Overall Proposal Presentation</p>	<p>The overall presentation of the proposal – content, flow of narratives, adherence to RFP instructions – demonstrates attention to detail and quality</p>	<p>Presented in a detailed, concise organized manner that was easy to understand and review = 3 pts Lacked attention to detail and overall organization of information making it difficult for the reviewer(s) to locate information needed to complete scoring = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>2.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>2.75</p>
<p>Section 7 Totals</p>			<p>3</p>	<p>2.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>2.75</p>
<p>TOTAL SCORE (Sections 7): 121</p>			<p>121</p>	<p>98.00</p>	<p>96.88</p>	<p>103.00</p>


FY2024 Notice of Inclusion

From BHC grants <grants@brevardhomelesscoalition.org>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 8:00 PM

To Becky Lemstrom <blemstrom@womenscenter.net>

Cc BHC grants <grants@brevardhomelesscoalition.org>

 3 attachments (772 KB)

FY2024 HUD CoC Program Local Competition Selection Results Priority Listing.pdf; FY 2024 Universal RFP - Renewals All.pdf; FY 2024 Universal RFP - New All.pdf;

Dear Becky,

I am pleased to inform you that the Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC) Ranking and Review Committee and the CoC Advisory Council have approved the following projects for funding and inclusion in the FY2024 Project Priority Listing:

1. South Brevard Women's Center – RRH DV Bonus - Renewal Project in Tier 2 in the amount of \$98,520
2. South Brevard Women's Center – Rapid Rehousing (TH-RRH) – New DV Bonus Project in Tier 2 in the amount of \$102,963

The decision was made based on the project score as well as the performance measures revealed through the scoring process.

Attached is the Notice of Inclusion/Exclusion with Project Scoring for all proposals.

The HUD FY2024 CoC NOFO Submission Deadline is Wednesday, October 30, 2024 @ 8:00 p.m. and we are planning to post our complete application to the BHC website at least 2 days prior to that submission, by Monday, October 28, 2024 @ 8:00 pm. We will be working on the Consolidated Application and may be reaching out to you for additional information that will help strengthen our application as well as any changes that need to be made to individual project applications, so stay tuned!

Please send questions regarding the reallocation decision made by the Ranking and Review Committee to Amber Carroll, amber@brevardhomelesscoalition.org.

Thank you for your participation in this year's CoC Competition.

In Partnership,
Your BHC Team

**FY2024 HUD CoC Program
Local Competition Selection Results**

The Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC), as the lead agency and collaborative applicant for the Brevard County CoC, hereby issues, on **October 8, 2024 the Local Competition Selection Results**, this Notice to Project Applicants of inclusion / exclusion for the FY24 HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO and Priority Listing.

Inclusions (accepted and will be ranked): The projects listed below will be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application at the amounts indicated in the "Amount Requested" column. All the projects will be listed on the FY24 Applications Project Priority Listing in the order listed below based on the CoC's Project Ranking Determination process. Included with this notice is the Renewal Project Scorecard for each of the scored Renewal and New Projects.

Exclusions (Rejected): There were two (2) projects submitted that will not be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application. One (1) due to a fatal flaw in the application and one (1) due to program ineligibility.

Application Amounts - Requested vs. Included (reduced or increased): The Amounts listed in the "Amounts Requested from HUD" column either match the amount the project requested or reflect a reduction based on the reallocation process and available funding.

PROJECT RANKING DETERMINATION

1. Critical System Renewal Projects - HMIS and Coordinated Entry - Recognizing that some CoC-funded projects are a basic requirement for overall CoC system processes and functioning, HMIS and Coordinated Entry dedicated projects will be ranked on the Project Priority Listing as numbers 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

2. Project Renewals - Project Renewals - All other renewal Projects will be ranked in order of: 1) first-year renewal projects by project type with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first, Rapid Rehousing (RRH) and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest and 2) all other renewal projects with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first and Rapid Rehousing (RRH) projects and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

3. Consolidated Renewals Project (incl. Expansions) – Consolidated Renewals will be ranked closest to the highest-ranking project of the two individual projects being consolidated and given the same ranking number as that project. For example, the highest ranking of the two projects is 5 and the lowest ranking is a 7, the consolidated project will also be placed as a second ranking of 5. There were no consolidations in this competition.

4. New Projects - including CoC and DV Bonus will be placed at the bottom of the ranking, in the order of the scores received during the RFP process, unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

Project Name	Score	Status	New/Renewal	Rank	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds	Cumulative Total	Tier
BHC - HMIS Renewal FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	1	\$71,455	\$0	\$71,455	1
BHC - CoC Coordinated Entry FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	2	\$71,785	\$0	\$143,240	1
BHC - DV Bonus SSO FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	3	\$18,529	\$0	\$161,769	1
HfH Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	4	\$50,000	\$0	\$211,769	1
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	5	\$50,541	\$0	\$262,310	1
HfH Supportive Housing – PSH PH1 Project 2024-2025	89.63	Accepted	Renewal	6	\$429,038	\$0	\$691,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – PSH Heritage Park Renewal	83.00	Accepted	Renewal	7	\$20,000	\$0	\$711,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – Heritage Park Expansion - FY24	78.50	Accepted	Renewal	8	\$190,122	\$0	\$901,470	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$15,909	\$0	\$917,379	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$3,411	\$0	\$920,790	2
Womens Center - RRH DV Bonus	108.67	Accepted	Renewal	10	\$98,520	\$0	\$1,019,310	2
Womens Center TH-RRH DV BONUS	103.00	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-11	\$102,963	\$0	\$1,122,273	2
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing - Expansion	96.88	Accepted	New	12	\$139,998	\$0	\$1,262,271	2
BHC - DV Bonus SSO Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-13	\$108,350	\$0	\$1,370,621	2
BHC - HMIS Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New-Expansion	14	\$29,052	\$0	\$1,399,673	2
BHC - Planning Grant FY24	PLAN - N/A	Accepted	Planning	N/A	\$70,438	\$0	\$1,470,111	0
HfH Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake Expansion	98.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	0.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Tier 1 Total = 90% of ARD	\$ 917,379							
Tier 2 Total = remaining 10% ARD plus CoC Bonus (\$169,050)	\$ 270,981							
Total Tier 1 + Tier 2	\$ 1,188,360							
DV Bonus	\$ 211,313							
Total of Ranked Projects	\$ 1,399,673							
Planning Grant (excluded from ranking)	\$ 70,438							
Total FY24-25 HUD CoC Program Application	\$ 1,470,110							
Project Type								
HMIS - Homeless Management Information System								
SSO - Supportive Services Only								
PH - Permanent Supportive Housing								
RRH - Rapid Rehousing								
TH-RRH - Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing								
Renewal Project Possible = 123								
New Project Possible = 121								

Carrefour		HHH Supportive Housing	Community of Hope	South Brevard Women's Center
Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HHH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
Score	Score	Score	Score	Score

Renewal Projects

Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Grant Management

11%

Maximum Pts	1	2	3	4	5					
a Did the project meet the Match requirements per HUD and CoC regulations (at least 25% or 0.25:1)?	Provision of Project Match is required by HUD and lack of required match is a compliance issue.	Source: Match Documentation Letters for most recently ended grant term Calc: Percent of Match documented against total funds expended NOTE: For projects with a leasing component, leasing funds do not require a Match. For these projects, the required Match of 25% applies to the total amount of expended funds minus the total amount of leasing funds. >25% = 2 pts 25% = 1 pt <25% = 0 pts	2	1	1	2	1	2		
b In the project's most recently ended grant year, what percentage of awarded funds were expended and drawn down from HUD?	Projects not fully using their award amount leaves resources unutilized that could have supported another community project.	Source: Project eLOCCS Printout - Budget Tab Calc: Divide the amount of funding expended by the total award amount 90%+ = 2 pts 85-89% = 1.5 pts 80-84% = 1 pt 75-79% = 0.5 pts 70-74% = 0.25 pts <70% = 0 pts	2	2	1	2	2	2		
c What percent of CoC project funding was used for housing vs other activities/services?	HUD looks to maximize HUD funds for housing and utilize Match from other sources to provide supportive services in order to maximize the amount of households served.	Source: Project eLOCCS Printout - Budget Tab Calc: Divide the amount of rental assistance or leasing expended by the total amount expended 80-100% = 3 pts 70-79% = 2 pts 60-69% = 1 pt <60% = 0 pts	3	0	0	0	1	2		
d Did the project draw down funds from eLOCCS at least quarterly during the most recently ended grant term?	Timeliness of drawdowns is specifically stated in the FY24 HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO.	Source: Project eLOCCS Printout - Voucher Tab listing each time the project drew down funds. Calc: Based on the project's grant term, was a draw down completed at least once every quarter?	1	1	1	1	1	1		
e OVERALL ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY - Does the agency have the staff to client ratio and organizational structure to perform the project and services proposed?	It is critical that an organization be capable and resourced to carry out the project and services proposed in their application.	Source: Project Application and Attachments - Organizational Budget, Most Recently submitted Federal Form 990, Most Recent Financial Audit including Supplementary Information and Other Reports and The Management Letter Calc: Answer Yes or No Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts	5	5	5	4.75	4.125	5		
Section 1. Total Score:					13	9	8	9.75	9.125	12

Section 2. Equity & Inclusion

11%

a Client Racial Equity Analysis: Data Quality	HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems. Analysis of CoC Data has shown that Black people are disproportionately affected by homelessness.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" from the "Race" line in Table 6a. 0% = 2 pts 1%-14% = 1 pt 15% or more = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2		
b Client Racial Equity Analysis	HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems. Analysis of CoC Data has shown that people of color are disproportionately affected by homelessness.	Source: HUD APR Report and Brevard CoC LSA Report Calc: Divide "Total" of "White" from table 12a by line 2, "Total Number of Persons Served", from table 5a to get the % of white served. Subtract that % from 100% to get the % of people of color served. Brevard CoC LSA Report shows White Population = 45% People of Color Population = 54% >54% = 3 pts 50% - 53% = 2 pts 47% - 49% = 1 pt 46% or less = 0 pts OR a score of 0 pts was received in the previous section, "Client Racial Equity Analysis: Data Quality" = 0 pts	3	3	0	0	3	2		
c Agency Lived Experience Analysis	HUD has stated an interest in including those with lived experience within homeless response systems.	Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details Calc: Count each staff member and board director who has lived experience with homelessness and the homeless response system to determine the point value 5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts	3	3	3	3	2	3		
d Agency Racial Ethnicity Equity Analysis	HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems.	Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details Calc: Count each racial/ethnic/gender category within the organization's staff and Board of Directors to determine the point value. 5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts	3	3	3	3	3	3		
e EQUITY & INCLUSION FROM APPLICATION Does the Project application address equity and inclusion in all areas of the project? 1) Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals Narrative 2) Agency Racial Equity Narrative 3) Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience Narrative Lived Experience specific activities (or similar) listed below, will be scored • Representation on the organization's Board of Director's or other decision-making board • CoC Lived Experience Committee • Emphasis on hiring Person with Lived Experience • Use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback • Satisfaction surveys / comment cards	HUD has stated an interest in including those with lived experience, addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems, and improving assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals is one of HUD's priorities in the FY2024 CoC NOFO HUD states CoCs and projects should address the needs of LGBTQ+, transgender, gender non-conforming, and non-binary individuals and families including privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation in projects.	Source: Using all application content, the project proposal has made equity and inclusion a priority. Agency already demonstrates equity and inclusion with a plan to increase = 3 pts Clear plan for inclusion and equity = 2 pts No plan = 0 pts	3	3	3	1.375	0	2.6667		
Section 2. Total Score:					14	14	11	9.375	10	12.6667

Section 3. CoC Participation

3%

a Applicant is classified as an "Active" Member of the Continuum of Care (CoC) by attending at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings held in the past 12 months.	HUD expects that all CoC-funded projects actively participate within the CoC.	Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024) Calc: Enter Yes if project applicant attended at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings Yes = 1 pts No = 0 pts	1	1	1	1	1	1
b Applicant agency has a leadership role in the Brevard County CoC as evidenced by at least 1 of the agency's paid staff serving as Chair or Co-Chair of a CoC Committee or holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council	HUD expects that all CoC-funded projects actively participate within the CoC.	Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024) Calc: Enter Yes if applicant is listed as having 1+ paid staff who holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council and/or serves as Chair/Co-Chair on a CoC Committee Applicant staff member Chairs/Co-Chairs a Committee and/or holds a seat on the Council = 1 pt Applicant staff member does NOT Chair/Co-Chair a Committee or hold a Council seat = 0 pts	1	1	1	1	1	0

Renewal Projects				Maximum Pts	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	
c	Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?	HUD has stated that all homeless assistance organizations should be involved in the coordinated entry process by helping households access the system and receive referrals.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries	>10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2	1	1	0	1	0
Section 3. Total Score:					4	3	3	2	3	1

Section 4. Coordinated Entry

3%

a	Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT and Rubric are the tools our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches for Agency" to determine points <i>*Project Based Vouchers (PBV) assume highest points due to referral process with Housing Authority.</i>	14%+ = 2 pts 9% - 13% = 1 pts 5% - 8% = 0.5 pt up to 4% = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
b	Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT and Rubric are the tools our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points	>10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1.0 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2	1	1	2	2	2
Section 4. Total Score:					4	3	3	4	4	4

Section 5. Project Performance (Outcomes (HMIS DATA))

51%

a	OVERALL PROJECT PERFORMANCE - Project Application describes how the project will help improve the performance of the community's overall system, fills a gap/need within our system and move the community forward in achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals to make homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring in our CoC. Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	Comprehensive project applications should address these components.	Source 8. Calc: Application printout from Enaps. Using all application content, the project describes the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance	Clearly describes = 5 pts Somewhat describes = 3 pts Does not or vaguely describes = 0 pts	5	5	5	3.5	3	5
b	Did the project application prove through official partner agreements, how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	In accordance with HUD's Policy Priorities, CoC's should work closely with public and private healthcare organizations, PHAs, and local workforce development centers to maximize the use of resources available to end homelessness.	Source: Coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding/Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.	Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 2 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 1 pt No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers = 0 pts	4	3	3	4	0	6
c	Housing First/Low Barrier: To what extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier?	HUD has expressly stated that programs need to follow a housing first/low barrier philosophy.	Source and Calc: Completed Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire – Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)	Maximum of 24 pts	24	24	24	24	24	21
d	Housing Stability: % of persons who remained in the PH project as of the end of the operating year or exited to a positive housing destination.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	"Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Add the total "Number of Stayers" from table 5a, line 9, to the Subtotal from the Permanent Situations Total column on table 23c and divide by line 2 of table 5a"	90%+ = 5 pts 80% - 89% = 3 pts 75% - 79% = 1 pt <75% = 0 pts	5	5	5	5	3	5
e	Length of Time to Housing: Average number of days between Project Enrollment Date and Housing Move-In Date	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the "Average length of time to housing" number of days from the "Total" column found on table 22c	<30 days = 3 pts 31-60 days = 2 pts 61-90 days = 1 pt 91+ days = 0 pts	3	3	3	3	1	3
f	Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who increased their total income (from all sources) from project start to latest status.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a1.	50%+ = 5 pts 40% - 49% = 4 pts 30% - 39% = 3 pts 20% - 29% = 2 pts 10% - 19% = 1 pt <9% = 0 pts	5	0	0	0	0	5
g	Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who increased their total income (from all sources) from project start to project exit.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a2.	50%+ = 5 pts 40% - 49% = 4 pts 30% - 39% = 3 pts 20% - 29% = 2 pts 10% - 19% = 1 pt <9% = 0 pts	5	0	0	0	0	5
h	Entered From: % of Participants admitted directly from the street or other locations not meant for human habitation, Safe Haven or Emergency Shelters.	HUD emphasizes the Housing First philosophy and requires both PSH and RRH to assist persons directly from the street, emergency shelter, or Safe Haven as a best practice to reducing a person's length of time spent homeless.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 15. From the "Homeless Situations" row, add the numbers from the following columns: "Emergency shelter", "Place not meant for human habitation", and "Safe Haven." Divide total figure by "Number of Adults (age 18 or over)" from table 5a, line 2.	75%+ = 3 pts 65% - 74% = 2 pts 50% - 64% = 1 pt <49% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	2	3

Renewal Projects				Maximum Pts	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score
i Exits to Homelessness: Less than 5% of program exits will be to another homeless destination	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 23c, take the Subtotal from the Homeless Situations Total column and divide by line 2 of table 5a	5% or less = 3 pts 6% - 10% = 2 pts 11% - 24% = 1 pt >25% = 0 pts	3	3	3	3	3	3
j Non-cash Benefits - Annual: % of households with non-cash benefits at annual assessment.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in Row "1+ Sources" and Column "Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers" from table 20b. Divide number by Line 15 from Section 5a.	85% + = 3 pts 50% - 84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	1	3
k Non-cash Benefits - Exit: % of households with non-cash benefits at program exit.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in table 20b in row "1+ Sources" and column "Benefit at Exit for Leavers". Divide number by Line 8 from Section 5a.	85% + = 3 pts 50% - 84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	1	3
Section 5. Total Score:				63	43	43	45.5	38	62

Section 6. Project Populations (HMIS DATA)

7%

a % of Chronically Homeless Persons Served	Ending Chronic homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 12 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	ESH Projects 100% = 1 pt 99% - 50% = 0.5 pts <49% = 0 pts BRH Projects >50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0.5	0	0	0	0
b % of Veterans Served	Ending Veteran homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 11 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
c % of Youth (Under the Age of 25) Served	Effectively ending unaccompanied youth (UAY) is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 13 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
d % Parenting Youth Under the Age of 25 with Children Served	Parenting youth is a sub-population of unaccompanied youth (UAY) as well as families with children	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 14 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
e % Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	Persons fleeing domestic violence are a population of concern in HUD and local goals	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 14b Calc: Divide Total in section 14b by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	1
f Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by no income at entry.	Participants with no income at entry are considered harder to serve than those with income at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 18 Calc: Take the number of "Adults with no Income" from the "Number of Adults at Start" Column and divide it by Line 2 of Section 5a.	50% + = 2 pts <50% = 0 pts	2	0	0	0	0	0
g Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by 2 or more physical/mental health conditions at entry.	Participants with multiple physical/mental health conditions at entry are considered harder to serve than those with no or 1 condition at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - 13a2: Calc: In the "Total Persons" column on table 13a2, add the number in "2 conditions" and "3+ Conditions". Divide this number by the "Total Persons" number shown in Section 13a2.	50% + = 2 pts <50% = 0 pts	2	0	0	0	0	0
Section 6. Total Score:				9	0.5	0	0	0	1

Section 7. HMIS Data Quality

13%

a Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Project Entry Date	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Project Start Date" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0	0	2	2	2
b Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Relationship to Head of Household	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Relationship to Head of Household" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
c Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Disabling Condition	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Disabling Condition" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0.5	0.5	2	2	2
d Project's Data Quality: Destination	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Destination" from 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
e Project's Data Quality: Income at Entry	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Start" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0	0	2	2	2
f Project's Data Quality: Income at Annual Assessment	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Annual Assessment" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	5	2	2
g Project's Data Quality: Income at Exit	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Exit" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
h Project's Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6d Calc: Enter "% of records unable to calculate" from the "Total" row from table 6d.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
Section 7. Total Score:				16	10.5	10.5	19	16	16
TOTAL SCORE (Sections 1-7):				123	83	78.5	89.625	80.125	108.667

Agency Name: _____

HH
Supportive
Housing

WAYS for
LIFE, Inc.

South
Brevard
Women's
Center

Project Type: _____

HH's PSH -
Orchid
Lake Exp

WAYS for
Life New
TH-RRH

SBWC DV-
TH-RRH

New Projects

Maximum Pts

Score

Score

Score

Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Experience

20%

<p>Organization's Capacity and Experience: Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>Describe your organization's, and any partner organization's (e.g., developers, key contractors, etc.) experience with successfully utilizing federal funds in other projects. Provide examples that illustrate experience such as:</p> <p>a working with and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive service needs; developing and implementing relevant program systems, services, and/or residential property construction and rehabilitation; identifying and securing matching funds from a variety of sources, and; managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems</p> <p>Source: Application - Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative - Attachments - Organizational Budget and Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990</p>	<p>Extensive experience with government grants with high staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 6 pts.</p> <p>Some experience with government grants with some staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 3 pts.</p> <p>Minimum or no experience with government grants and staff has minimum/no experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 0 pts.</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>4.67</p>	<p>5.75</p>	<p>6.00</p>	
<p>Experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds. Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>b Include experience with leveraging all federal, state, local and private sector funds. If your organization has no experience leveraging other funds, include the phrase "No experience leveraging other federal, state, local, or private sector funds".</p> <p>Source: Application</p>	<p>Extensive Experience with Leveraging Funds = 6 pts</p> <p>Some experience = 3 pts</p> <p>No Experience = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>4.67</p>	<p>5.25</p>	<p>5.25</p>	
<p>Financial Management Structure Include how your organization has a functioning accounting system that is operated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or has designated a fiscal agent that will maintain a functioning accounting system for your organization in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Include the fiscal control and accounting procedures to assure proper dispersal of and accounting for federal funds in accordance with the requirements of 2 CFR part 200.</p> <p>Agency Compliance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Past compliance findings or concern for other funding sources, • Compliance findings/concerns from other monitoring agencies • Any Prior Audit Findings and Questioned Costs indicated in the agency's audited financial reports within the past 3 years or that are older but unresolved. • Status of any of the compliance findings/concerns reported, such as resolved, unresolved <p>Source: Application - Financial Management Structure and Using the provided Financial Audit including Supplementary Information and Other Reports and The Management Letter</p>	<p>Structure in Place and full Compliance = 6 pts</p> <p>Structure in Place with partial compliance = 4 pts</p> <p>1 unresolved finding = 2 pts</p> <p>2+ unresolved findings = 0 pt</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>5.33</p>	<p>5.50</p>	<p>6.00</p>	
<p>d Overall Organizational Financial Health</p> <p>Source: Organizational Budget, Experience with Leveraging Funds, Financial Management Structure, Agency Compliance, supporting documents.</p>	<p>Operational Budget reflects financial stability with multiple streams of funding = 6 pts</p> <p>Operational Budget reflects stability = 3 pts</p> <p>Operational Budget reflects instability = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>4.67</p>	<p>5.75</p>	<p>5.75</p>	
<p>Section 1 Totals</p>			<p>24</p>	<p>19.33</p>	<p>22.25</p>	<p>23.00</p>

Section 2. Equity & Inclusion

9%

<p>a Agency Lived Experience Analysis</p> <p>Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details</p> <p>Calc: Count each staff member and board director who has lived experience with homelessness and the homeless response system to determine the point value</p>	<p>5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	
<p>b Agency Racial Equity Analysis</p> <p>Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details</p> <p>Calc: Count each racial/ethnic category within the organization's staff and Board of Directors to determine the point value.</p>	<p>5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	
<p>Organizational equity and inclusion</p> <p>Does the Project application address equity and inclusion in all areas of the project?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ individuals 2) Racial Equity 3) Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience <p>Lived Experience specific activities (or similar) listed below, will be scored</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representation on the organization's Board of Director's or other decision-making board • CoC Lived Experience Committee • Emphasis on hiring Person with Lived Experience • Use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback • Satisfaction surveys / comment cards <p>Source: Application Question - has made equity and inclusion a priority.</p>	<p>Agency already demonstrates equity and inclusion with a plan to increase = 5 pts</p> <p>Clear plan for inclusion and equity = 3 pts</p> <p>No plan = 0 pts</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>3.33</p>	<p>4.00</p>	<p>4.75</p>	
<p>Section 2 Totals</p>			<p>11</p>	<p>9.33</p>	<p>10.00</p>	<p>10.75</p>

Section 3. CoC Participation

4%

<p>a Applicant is classified as an "Active" Member of the Continuum of Care (CoC) by attending at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings held in the past 12 months.</p> <p>Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Enter Yes if project applicant attended at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings</p>	<p>Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>2.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>	<p>2.00</p>	
<p>b Applicant agency has a leadership role in the Brevard County CoC as evidence by at least 1 of the agency's paid staff serving as Chair or Co-Chair of a CoC Committee or holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council</p> <p>Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Enter Yes if applicant is listed as having 1+ paid staff who holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council and/or serves as Chair/Co-Chair on a CoC Committee</p>	<p>Applicant staff member Chair/Co-Chairs a Committee and/or holds a seat on the Council = 1 pt</p> <p>Applicant staff member does NOT Chair/Co-Chair a Committee or hold a Council seat = 0 pts</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>	
<p>c Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?</p> <p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries</p>	<p>> 10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>2%</p>	<p>0.00</p>	<p>1%</p>	<p>0.00</p>
<p>Section 3 Totals</p>			<p>5</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>2.00</p>

Section 4. Coordinated Entry

4%

<p>a Coordinated Entry High Acuity Referrals/Matches: % of High Acuity Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients</p> <p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches Table: "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column</p> <p>Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches" to determine points</p>	<p>14% = 3 pts 9% - 13% = 2 pts 5% - 8% = 1 pt up to 4% = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>63%</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0.00</p>
<p>b Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List</p> <p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches Table: "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column</p> <p>Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points</p>	<p>> 10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1.0 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>10%</p>	<p>2.00</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0.00</p>
<p>Section 4 Totals</p>			<p>5</p>	<p>5.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>

Section 5. HMIS

2%

<p>a Applicant's HMIS participation</p> <p>Applicant agency actively enters data into HMIS as defined as having entered data within the past 90 days (inclusive of any/all of applicants projects using HMIS)</p> <p>DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points</p>	<p>Applicant actively enters data in HMIS = 1 pt.</p> <p>Applicant is an HMIS partner agency but is not actively entering data = 0.5 pts.</p> <p>Applicant is a non-DV provider and is not a current HMIS partner = 0 pts.</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>1.00</p>
---	--	----------	-------------	-------------	-------------

<p>Experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds. Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>b Include experience with leveraging all federal, state, local and private sector funds. If your organization has no experience leveraging other funds, include the phrase "No experience leveraging other federal, state, local, or private sector funds".</p>	<p>Source: Application</p>	<p>Extensive Experience with Leveraging Funds = 6 pts Some experience = 3 pts No Experience = 0 pts</p>	6	4.67	5.25	5.25
<p>b Applicant's Overall HMIS Data Completeness (Quality)</p>	<p>All HMIS projects are required to maintain satisfactory completeness (quality). If the applicant has current HMIS projects, all current projects will be used to determine the Agency's overall data completeness (quality). DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points Calc- Review the applicant agency's APR inclusive of all projects. Review sections 6A, 6B, 6C, 6D - Data Elements Percent age Error Rate?</p>	<p>Number of Categories with greater than 10% missing data: 0 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 3+ = 0 pts</p> <p>Applicant is a non-DV provider and does not have a currently operating projects that are entering data into HMIS = 0 pts</p>	2	2.00	0.00	2.00
Section 5 Totals			3	3.00	1.00	3.00

Section 6. Project Proposal & Performance (Outcomes)

58%

<p>Project Description and Scope: Maximum 3500 characters</p> <p>Provide a detailed description of the scope of the project including the target population(s) to be served, project plan for addressing the identified housing and supportive service needs, anticipated project outcome(s), coordination with other organizations (e.g., federal, state, nonprofit), and how the CoC Program funding will be used.</p> <p>Additionally, if your project implements service participation requirements or beyond what is typically included in a lease agreement, describe those requirements and how they will be implemented.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Project Description Narrative. Using all application content, the project addresses the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance</p>	<p>Clearly describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 13 pts</p> <p>Somewhat describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 6 pts</p> <p>Does not or vaguely describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 0 pts</p>	13	12.00	12.50	11.50
<p>Project Health Services Partnerships: Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?</p>	<p>Source: Application - Project Description Narrative. Using all application content and attachments, determine if the application formally addressed this coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding / Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.</p>	<p>Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 6 pts</p> <p>Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts</p> <p>Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts</p> <p>Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 2 pt</p> <p>No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers but the narrative describes a relationship = 1 pts</p> <p>No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers and the narrative does not describe a relationship = 0 pts</p>	6	6.00	4.00	6.00
<p>Housing First/Low Barrier: To What Extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier? The project adheres to a Housing First model.</p>	<p>Source and Calc: Application Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire - Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)</p>	<p>Maximum of 24 pts.</p>	24	20.00	18.00	21.00
<p>Project Client Demographics/Target Populations: Describes the project's proposed populations to be served, including identifying targets, and information demonstrating an understanding of the needs of the clients they propose to serve.</p> <p>The type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Client Demographics/Target Population</p>	<p>Clearly defines the target demographics of the individuals/households to be served with details that demonstrates an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 10 pts</p> <p>Adequately defines the target demographic, but lacks some detail to demonstrate a full understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 5 pt.</p> <p>Vaguely defines the target demographic, does not demonstrate an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 0 pts</p>	10	7.33	9.50	9.25
<p>Project Performance Outcomes: Outcomes are the primary way for projects to demonstrate effectiveness and impact in effecting ending homelessness.</p> <p>Outcomes should be based on client accomplishments and not on the number of services/activities provided to an individual/household; demonstrate a positive impact on overall system performance</p> <p>Narrative should include the following Outcomes for all project types except SSO-CE. The standard being implemented for our CoC system is indicated in parenthesis.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Percent of participants/households that will exit to a permanent housing situation (80%) - Average Length of time from project enrollment to permanent housing placement (60 days or less) - Percent of adult participants that have increased Earned Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (10%) - Percent of adult participants that have increased Total Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (25%) 	<p>Source: Application - Performance Outcomes</p>	<p>Outcomes are concise, identified and measurable outcomes that can positively impact overall system performance and are not based on number of activities/services = 5 pts</p> <p>Outcomes are adequate, but not concise, included some that are based on number of activities/services, and adequately shows positive impact on overall system performance = 3 pts</p> <p>Outcomes are all based on number of services/activities provided, outcomes are vague, and/or do not demonstrate a positive impact on overall system performance = 0 pts</p>	5	2.67	4.50	4.38
<p>Project Budget and Financial Plan. The average cost per household served is reasonable, meaning that the f costs for housing and services provided by the project are consistent with the population the project plans to serve.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Detailed Financial Plan Narrative</p>	<p>Budget information is detailed, clear and complete; aligns with the project descriptions, presents a feasible project = 6 pts</p> <p>Budget information adequately provides necessary information for eligible costs, adequately aligns with the project descriptions, adequately presents a feasible project = 3 pts</p> <p>Budget information includes ineligible costs, vague details, missing key information to support project description and/or does not present a feasible project = 0 pts</p>	6	5.00	5.50	3.50
<p>Match Commitment</p>	<p>Source: Using Application - Match Narrative and Documentation of Commitment</p>	<p>Detailed, clear and complete match commitment documentation as required by HUD that indicates the applicant understands match and will be able to provide required project match = 6 pts</p> <p>Demonstrates a basic understanding of match, however the match commitment documentation does not meet HUD's requirements, and/or indicates the applicant may have difficulty providing necessary match = 3 pts</p> <p>Vague, missing required commitment documentation required by HUD and/or does not demonstrate an understanding of eligible match and/or the ability to provide required project match = 0 pts</p>	6	3.33	5.63	5.88
Section 6 Totals			70	56.33	59.63	61.50

Section 7. Overall Review

2%

<p>a Overall Proposal Presentation</p>	<p>The overall presentation of the proposal – content, flow of narratives, adherence to RFP instructions – demonstrates attention to detail and quality</p>	<p>Presented in a detailed, concise organized manner that was easy to understand and review = 3 pts</p> <p>Lacked attention to detail and overall organization of information making it difficult for the reviewer(s) to locate information needed to complete scoring = 0 pts</p>	3	2.00	3.00	2.75
Section 7 Totals			3	2.00	3.00	2.75
TOTAL SCORE (Sections 7): 121			121	98.00	96.88	103.00


FY2024 Notice of Inclusion/Exclusion

From BHC grants <grants@brevardhomelesscoalition.org>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 8:00 PM

To Rob <Rob@hfhsh.org>; Tiffany_hfhsh <Tiffany@hfhsh.org>

Cc BHC grants <grants@brevardhomelesscoalition.org>

 3 attachments (772 KB)

FY2024 HUD CoC Program Local Competition Selection Results Priority Listing.pdf; FY 2024 Universal RFP - Renewals All.pdf; FY 2024 Universal RFP - New All.pdf;

Dear Rob,

I am writing to inform you about the decisions made by the Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC) Ranking and Review Committee and the CoC Advisory Council regarding your projects for the FY2024 Project Priority Listing:

Approved for inclusion:

1. HfH PSH PH1 2024-25 Renewal Project in Tier 1 in the amount of \$429,038
2. HfH PSH Orchid Lake - 1st Time Renewal Project in Tier 1 in the amount of \$50,000

Not selected for inclusion:

3. HfH PSH Orchid Lake – Expansion – New Project in the amount of \$120,000
Reason: This project includes an income requirement, which does not align with the Housing First model for Permanent Supportive Housing. As a result, the project cannot move forward in the funding competition.

The decisions were based on project scores, criteria and performance measures revealed through the scoring process.

Attached is the Notice of Inclusion/Exclusion with Project Scoring for all proposals.

The HUD FY2024 CoC NOFO Submission Deadline is Wednesday, October 30, 2024 @ 8:00 p.m. and we are planning to post our complete application to the BHC website at least 2 days prior to that submission, by Monday, October 28, 2024 @ 8:00 pm. We will be working on the Consolidated Application and may be reaching out to you for additional information that will help strengthen our application as well as any changes that need to be made to individual project applications, so stay tuned!

Please send questions regarding the reallocation decision made by the Ranking and Review Committee to Amber Carroll, amber@brevardhomelesscoalition.org.

Thank you for your participation in this year's CoC Competition.

In Partnership,
Your BHC Team

**FY2024 HUD CoC Program
Local Competition Selection Results**

The Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC), as the lead agency and collaborative applicant for the Brevard County CoC, hereby issues, on **October 8, 2024 the Local Competition Selection Results**, this Notice to Project Applicants of inclusion / exclusion for the FY24 HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO and Priority Listing.

Inclusions (accepted and will be ranked): The projects listed below will be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application at the amounts indicated in the "Amount Requested" column. All the projects will be listed on the FY24 Applications Project Priority Listing in the order listed below based on the CoC's Project Ranking Determination process. Included with this notice is the Renewal Project Scorecard for each of the scored Renewal and New Projects.

Exclusions (Rejected): There were two (2) projects submitted that will not be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application. One (1) due to a fatal flaw in the application and one (1) due to program ineligibility.

Application Amounts - Requested vs. Included (reduced or increased): The Amounts listed in the "Amounts Requested from HUD" column either match the amount the project requested or reflect a reduction based on the reallocation process and available funding.

PROJECT RANKING DETERMINATION

1. Critical System Renewal Projects - HMIS and Coordinated Entry - Recognizing that some CoC-funded projects are a basic requirement for overall CoC system processes and functioning, HMIS and Coordinated Entry dedicated projects will be ranked on the Project Priority Listing as numbers 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

2. Project Renewals - Project Renewals - All other renewal Projects will be ranked in order of: 1) first-year renewal projects by project type with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first, Rapid Rehousing (RRH) and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest and 2) all other renewal projects with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first and Rapid Rehousing (RRH) projects and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

3. Consolidated Renewals Project (incl. Expansions) – Consolidated Renewals will be ranked closest to the highest-ranking project of the two individual projects being consolidated and given the same ranking number as that project. For example, the highest ranking of the two projects is 5 and the lowest ranking is a 7, the consolidated project will also be placed as a second ranking of 5. There were no consolidations in this competition.

4. New Projects - including CoC and DV Bonus will be placed at the bottom of the ranking, in the order of the scores received during the RFP process, unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

Project Name	Score	Status	New/Renewal	Rank	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds	Cumulative Total	Tier
BHC - HMIS Renewal FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	1	\$71,455	\$0	\$71,455	1
BHC - CoC Coordinated Entry FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	2	\$71,785	\$0	\$143,240	1
BHC - DV Bonus SSO FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	3	\$18,529	\$0	\$161,769	1
HfH Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	4	\$50,000	\$0	\$211,769	1
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	5	\$50,541	\$0	\$262,310	1
HfH Supportive Housing – PSH PH1 Project 2024-2025	89.63	Accepted	Renewal	6	\$429,038	\$0	\$691,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – PSH Heritage Park Renewal	83.00	Accepted	Renewal	7	\$20,000	\$0	\$711,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – Heritage Park Expansion - FY24	78.50	Accepted	Renewal	8	\$190,122	\$0	\$901,470	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$15,909	\$0	\$917,379	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$3,411	\$0	\$920,790	2
Womens Center - RRH DV Bonus	108.67	Accepted	Renewal	10	\$98,520	\$0	\$1,019,310	2
Womens Center TH-RRH DV BONUS	103.00	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-11	\$102,963	\$0	\$1,122,273	2
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing - Expansion	96.88	Accepted	New	12	\$139,998	\$0	\$1,262,271	2
BHC - DV Bonus SSO Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-13	\$108,350	\$0	\$1,370,621	2
BHC - HMIS Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New-Expansion	14	\$29,052	\$0	\$1,399,673	2
BHC - Planning Grant FY24	PLAN - N/A	Accepted	Planning	N/A	\$70,438	\$0	\$1,470,111	0
HfH Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake Expansion	98.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	0.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Tier 1 Total = 90% of ARD	\$ 917,379							
Tier 2 Total = remaining 10% ARD plus CoC Bonus (\$169,050)	\$ 270,981							
Total Tier 1 + Tier 2	\$ 1,188,360							
DV Bonus	\$ 211,313							
Total of Ranked Projects	\$ 1,399,673							
Planning Grant (excluded from ranking)	\$ 70,438							
Total FY24-25 HUD CoC Program Application	\$ 1,470,110							
					Project Type			
					HMIS - Homeless Management Information System			
					SSO - Supportive Services Only			
					PH - Permanent Supportive Housing			
					RRH - Rapid Rehousing			
					TH-RRH - Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing			
					Renewal Project Possible = 123			
					New Project Possible = 121			

Carrefour		HH Supportive Housing	Community of Hope	South Brevard Women's Center
Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
Score	Score	Score	Score	Score

Renewal Projects

Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Grant Management

11%

Maximum Pts	Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HH PH1	COH RH	DV RH						
	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score						
a	Did the project meet the Match requirements per HUD and CoC regulations (at least 25% or 0.25:1)?	Provision of Project Match is required by HUD and lack of required match is a compliance issue.	Source: Match Documentation Letters for most recently ended grant term Calc: Percent of Match documented against total funds expended NOTE: For projects with a leasing component, leasing funds do not require a Match. For these projects, the required Match of 25% applies to the total amount of expended funds minus the total amount of leasing funds. >25% = 2 pts 25% = 1 pt <25% = 0 pts	2	1	1	2	1	2		
b	In the project's most recently ended grant year, what percentage of awarded funds were expended and drawn down from HUD?	Projects not fully using their award amount leaves resources unutilized that could have supported another community project.	Source: Project eLOCCS Printout - Budget Tab Calc: Divide the amount of funding expended by the total award amount 90%+ = 2 pts 85-89% = 1.5 pts 80-84% = 1 pt 75-79% = 0.5 pts 70-74% = 0.25 pts <70% = 0 pts	2	2	1	2	2	2		
c	What percent of CoC project funding was used for housing vs other activities/services?	HUD looks to maximize HUD funds for housing and utilize Match from other sources to provide supportive services in order to maximize the amount of households served.	Source: Project eLOCCS Printout - Budget Tab Calc: Divide the amount of rental assistance or leasing expended by the total amount expended 80-100% = 3 pts 70-79% = 2 pts 60-69% = 1 pt <60% = 0 pts	3	0	0	0	1	2		
d	Did the project draw down funds from eLOCCS at least quarterly during the most recently ended grant term?	Timeliness of drawdowns is specifically stated in the FY24 HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO. Calc: Based on the project's grant term, was a draw down completed at least once every quarter?	Source: Project eLOCCS Printout - Voucher Tab listing each time the project drew down funds. Yes = 1 pt No = 0 pts	1	1	1	1	1	1		
e	OVERALL ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY - Does the agency have the staff to client ratio and organizational structure to perform the project and services proposed?	It is critical that an organization be capable and resourced to carry out the project and services proposed in their application. Source: Project Application and Attachments - Organizational Budget, Most Recently submitted Federal Form 990, Most Recent Financial Audit including Supplementary Information and Other Reports and The Management Letter Calc: Answer Yes or No Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts	5	5	5	4.75	4.125	5			
Section 1. Total Score:						13	9	8	9.75	9.125	12

Section 2. Equity & Inclusion

11%

a	Client Racial Equity Analysis: Data Quality	HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems. Analysis of CoC Data has shown that Black people are disproportionately affected by homelessness.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" from the "Race" line in Table 6a. 0% = 2 pts 1%-14% = 1 pt 15% or more = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2		
b	Client Racial Equity Analysis	HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems. Analysis of CoC Data has shown that people of color are disproportionately affected by homelessness. Brevard CoC LSA Report shows White Population = 45% People of Color Population = 54%	Source: HUD APR Report and Brevard CoC LSA Report Calc: Divide "Total" of "White" from table 12a by line 2, "Total Number of Persons Served", from table 5a to get the % of white served. Subtract that % from 100% to get the % of people of color served. OR a score of 0 pts was received in the previous section, "Client Racial Equity Analysis: Data Quality" = 0 pts	3	3	0	0	3	2		
c	Agency Lived Experience Analysis	HUD has stated an interest in including those with lived experience within homeless response systems.	Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details Calc: Count each staff member and board director who has lived experience with homelessness and the homeless response system to determine the point value 5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts	3	3	3	3	2	3		
d	Agency Racial Ethnicity Equity Analysis	HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems.	Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details Calc: Count each racial/ethnic/gender category within the organization's staff and Board of Directors to determine the point value. 5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts	3	3	3	3	3	3		
e	EQUITY & INCLUSION FROM APPLICATION Does the Project application address equity and inclusion in all areas of the project? 1) Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals Narrative 2) Agency Racial Equity Narrative 3) Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience Narrative Lived Experience specific activities (or similar) listed below, will be scored • Representation on the organization's Board of Director's or other decision-making board • CoC Lived Experience Committee • Emphasis on hiring Person with Lived Experience • Use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback • Satisfaction surveys / comment cards	HUD has stated an interest in including those with lived experience, addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems, and improving assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals is one of HUD's priorities in the FY2024 CoC NOFO HUD states CoCs and projects should address the needs of LGBTQ+, transgender, gender non-conforming, and non-binary individuals and families including privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation in projects.	Source: Using all application content, the project proposal has made equity and inclusion a priority. Agency already demonstrates equity and inclusion with a plan to increase = 3 pts Clear plan for inclusion and equity = 2 pts No plan = 0 pts	3	3	3	1.375	0	2.6667		
Section 2. Total Score:						14	14	11	9.375	10	12.6667

Section 3. CoC Participation

3%

a	Applicant is classified as an "Active" Member of the Continuum of Care (CoC) by attending at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings held in the past 12 months.	HUD expects that all CoC-funded projects actively participate within the CoC.	Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024) Calc: Enter Yes if project applicant attended at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings Yes = 1 pts No = 0 pts	1	1	1	1	1	1
b	Applicant agency has a leadership role in the Brevard County CoC as evidenced by at least 1 of the agency's paid staff serving as Chair or Co-Chair of a CoC Committee or holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council	HUD expects that all CoC-funded projects actively participate within the CoC.	Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024) Calc: Enter Yes if applicant is listed as having 1+ paid staff who holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council and/or serves as Chair/Co-Chair on a CoC Committee Applicant staff member Chairs/Co-Chairs a Committee and/or holds a seat on the Council = 1 pt Applicant staff member does NOT Chair/Co-Chair a Committee or hold a Council seat = 0 pts	1	1	1	1	1	0

Renewal Projects				Maximum Pts	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	
c	Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?	HUD has stated that all homeless assistance organizations should be involved in the coordinated entry process by helping households access the system and receive referrals.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries	>10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2	1	1	0	1	0
Section 3. Total Score:					4	3	3	2	3	1

Section 4. Coordinated Entry

3%

a	Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT and Rubric are the tools our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches for Agency" to determine points <i>*Project Based Vouchers (PBV) assume highest points due to referral process with Housing Authority.</i>	14%+ = 2 pts 9% - 13% = 1 pts 5% - 8% = 0.5 pt up to 4% = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
b	Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT and Rubric are the tools our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points	>10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1.0 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2	1	1	2	2	2
Section 4. Total Score:					4	3	3	4	4	4

Section 5. Project Performance (Outcomes (HMIS DATA))

51%

OVERALL PROJECT PERFORMANCE- Project Application describes how the project will help improve the performance of the community's overall system, fills a gap/need within our system and move the community forward in achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals to make homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring in our CoC.				Maximum Pts	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	
a	Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	Comprehensive project applications should address these components.	Source 8. Calc: Application printout from Enaps. Using all application content, the project describes the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance	Clearly describes = 5 pts Somewhat describes = 3 pts Does not or vaguely describes = 0 pts	5	5	5	3.5	3	5
b	Did the project application prove through official partner agreements, how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	In accordance with HUD's Policy Priorities, CoC's should work closely with public and private healthcare organizations, PHAs, and local workforce development centers to maximize the use of resources available to end homelessness.	Source: Coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding/Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.	Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 2 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 1 pt No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers = 0 pts	4	3	3	4	0	6
c	Housing First/Low Barrier: To what extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier?	HUD has expressly stated that programs need to follow a housing first/low barrier philosophy.	Source and Calc: Completed Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire – Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)	Maximum of 24 pts	24	24	24	24	24	21
d	Housing Stability: % of persons who remained in the PH project as of the end of the operating year or exited to a positive housing destination.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	"Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Add the total "Number of Stayers" from table 5a, line 9, to the Subtotal from the Permanent Situations Total column on table 23c and divide by line 2 of table 5a"	90%+ = 5 pts 80% - 89% = 3 pts 75% - 79% = 1 pt <75% = 0 pts	5	5	5	5	3	5
e	Length of Time to Housing: Average number of days between Project Enrollment Date and Housing Move-In Date	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the "Average length of time to housing" number of days from the "Total" column found on table 22c	<30 days = 3 pts 31-60 days = 2 pts 61-90 days = 1 pt 91+ days = 0 pts	3	3	3	3	1	3
f	Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who increased their total income (from all sources) from project start to latest status.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a1.	50%+ = 5 pts 40% - 49% = 4 pts 30% - 39% = 3 pts 20% - 29% = 2 pts 10% - 19% = 1 pt <9% = 0 pts	5	0	0	0	0	5
g	Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who increased their total income (from all sources) from project start to project exit.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a2.	50%+ = 5 pts 40% - 49% = 4 pts 30% - 39% = 3 pts 20% - 29% = 2 pts 10% - 19% = 1 pt <9% = 0 pts	5	0	0	0	0	5
h	Entered From: % of Participants admitted directly from the street or other locations not meant for human habitation, Safe Haven or Emergency Shelters.	HUD emphasizes the Housing First philosophy and requires both PSH and RRH to assist persons directly from the street, emergency shelter, or Safe Haven as a best practice to reducing a person's length of time spent homeless.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 15. From the "Homeless Situations" row, add the numbers from the following columns: "Emergency shelter", "Place not meant for human habitation", and "Safe Haven." Divide total figure by "Number of Adults (age 18 or over)" from table 5a, line 2.	75%+ = 3 pts 65% - 74% = 2 pts 50% - 64% = 1 pt <49% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	2	3

Renewal Projects				Maximum Pts	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score
i Exits to Homelessness: Less than 5% of program exits will be to another homeless destination	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 23c, take the Subtotal from the Homeless Situations Total column and divide by line 2 of table 5a	5% or less = 3 pts 6% - 10% = 2 pts 11% - 24% = 1 pt >25% = 0 pts	3	3	3	3	3	3
j Non-cash Benefits - Annual: % of households with non-cash benefits at annual assessment.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in Row "1+ Sources" and Column "Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers" from table 20b. Divide number by Line 15 from Section 5a.	85% + = 3 pts 50% - 84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	1	3
k Non-cash Benefits - Exit: % of households with non-cash benefits at program exit.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in table 20b in row "1+ Sources" and column "Benefit at Exit for Leavers". Divide number by Line 8 from Section 5a.	85% + = 3 pts 50% - 84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	1	3
Section 5. Total Score:				63	43	43	45.5	38	62

Section 6. Project Populations (HMIS DATA)

7%

a % of Chronically Homeless Persons Served	Ending Chronic homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 12 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	ESH Projects 100% = 1 pt 99% - 50% = 0.5 pts <49% = 0 pts BRH Projects >50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0.5	0	0	0	0
b % of Veterans Served	Ending Veteran homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 11 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
c % of Youth (Under the Age of 25) Served	Effectively ending unaccompanied youth (UAY) is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 13 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
d % Parenting Youth Under the Age of 25 with Children Served	Parenting youth is a sub-population of unaccompanied youth (UAY) as well as families with children	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 14 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
e % Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	Persons fleeing domestic violence are a population of concern in HUD and local goals	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 14b Calc: Divide Total in section 14b by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	1
f Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by no income at entry.	Participants with no income at entry are considered harder to serve than those with income at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 18 Calc: Take the number of "Adults with no Income" from the "Number of Adults at Start" Column and divide it by Line 2 of Section 5a.	50% + = 2 pts <50% = 0 pts	2	0	0	0	0	0
g Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by 2 or more physical/mental health conditions at entry.	Participants with multiple physical/mental health conditions at entry are considered harder to serve than those with no or 1 condition at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - 13a2: Calc: In the "Total Persons" column on table 13a2, add the number in "2 conditions" and "3+ Conditions". Divide this number by the "Total Persons" number shown in Section 13a2.	50% + = 2 pts <50% = 0 pts	2	0	0	0	0	0
Section 6. Total Score:				9	0.5	0	0	0	1

Section 7. HMIS Data Quality

13%

a Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Project Entry Date	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Project Start Date" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0	0	2	2	2
b Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Relationship to Head of Household	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Relationship to Head of Household" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
c Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Disabling Condition	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Disabling Condition" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0.5	0.5	2	2	2
d Project's Data Quality: Destination	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Destination" from 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
e Project's Data Quality: Income at Entry	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Start" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0	0	2	2	2
f Project's Data Quality: Income at Annual Assessment	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Annual Assessment" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	5	2	2
g Project's Data Quality: Income at Exit	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Exit" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
h Project's Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6d Calc: Enter "% of records unable to calculate" from the "Total" row from table 6d.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
Section 7. Total Score:				16	10.5	10.5	19	16	16
TOTAL SCORE (Sections 1-7):				123	83	78.5	89.625	80.125	108.667

Agency Name: _____

HH
Supportive
Housing

WAYS for
LIFE, inc.

South
Brevard
Women's
Center

Project Type: _____

HH+ PSH -
Orchid
Lake Exp

WAYS for
Life New
TH-RRH

SBWC DV-
TH-RRH

New Projects

Maximum Pts

Score

Score

Score

Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Experience

20%

<p>Organization's Capacity and Experience: Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>Describe your organization's, and any partner organization's (e.g., developers, key contractors, etc.) experience with successfully utilizing federal funds in other projects. Provide examples that illustrate experience such as:</p> <p>a working with and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive service needs; developing and implementing relevant program systems, services, and/or residential property construction and rehabilitation; identifying and securing matching funds from a variety of sources, and; managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems</p>	<p>Source: Application - Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative - Attachments - Organizational Budget and Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990</p>	<p>Extensive experience with government grants with high staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 6 pts.</p> <p>Some experience with government grants with some staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 3 pts.</p> <p>Minimum or no experience with government grants and staff has minimum/no experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 0 pts.</p>	6	4.67	5.75	6.00	
<p>b Experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds. Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>Include experience with leveraging all federal, state, local and private sector funds. If your organization has no experience leveraging other funds, include the phrase "No experience leveraging other federal, state, local, or private sector funds".</p>	<p>Source: Application</p>	<p>Extensive Experience with Leveraging Funds = 6 pts</p> <p>Some experience = 3 pts</p> <p>No Experience = 0 pts</p>	6	4.67	5.25	5.25	
<p>Financial Management Structure Include how your organization has a functioning accounting system that is operated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or has designated a fiscal agent that will maintain a functioning accounting system for your organization in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Include the fiscal control and accounting procedures to assure proper dispersal of and accounting for federal funds in accordance with the requirements of 2 CFR part 200.</p> <p>Agency Compliance • Past compliance findings or concern for other funding sources, • Compliance findings/concerns from other monitoring agencies • Any Prior Audit Findings and Questioned Costs indicated in the agency's audited financial reports within the past 3 years or that are older but unresolved. • Status of any of the compliance findings/concerns reported, such as resolved, unresolved</p>	<p>Source: Application - Financial Management Structure and Using the provided Financial Audit including Supplementary Information and Other Reports and The Management Letter</p>	<p>Structure in Place and full Compliance = 6 pts</p> <p>Structure in Place with partial compliance = 4 pts</p> <p>1 unresolved finding = 2 pts</p> <p>2+ unresolved findings = 0 pt</p>	6	5.33	5.50	6.00	
<p>d Overall Organizational Financial Health</p>	<p>Source: Organizational Budget, Experience with Leveraging Funds, Financial Management Structure, Agency Compliance, supporting documents.</p>	<p>Operational Budget reflects financial stability with multiple streams of funding = 6 pts</p> <p>Operational Budget reflects stability = 3 pts</p> <p>Operational Budget reflects instability = 0 pts</p>	6	4.67	5.75	5.75	
Section 1 Totals				24	19.33	22.25	23.00

Section 2. Equity & Inclusion

9%

<p>a Agency Lived Experience Analysis</p>	<p>Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details</p> <p>Calc: Count each staff member and board director who has lived experience with homelessness and the homeless response system to determine the point value</p>	<p>5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts</p>	3	5	3.00	6	3.00	2	3.00
<p>b Agency Racial Equity Analysis</p>	<p>Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details</p> <p>Calc: Count each racial/ethnic category within the organization's staff and Board of Directors to determine the point value.</p>	<p>5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts</p>	3	5	3.00	5	3.00	5	3.00
<p>Organizational equity and inclusion</p> <p>Does the Project application address equity and inclusion in all areas of the project?</p> <p>1) Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ individuals 2) Racial Equity 3) Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience</p> <p>Lived Experience specific activities (or similar) listed below, will be scored</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representation on the organization's Board of Director's or other decision-making board • CoC Lived Experience Committee • Emphasis on hiring Person with Lived Experience • Use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback • Satisfaction surveys / comment cards 	<p>Source: Application Question - has made equity and inclusion a priority.</p>	<p>Agency already demonstrates equity and inclusion with a plan to increase = 5 pts</p> <p>Clear plan for inclusion and equity = 3 pts</p> <p>No plan = 0 pts</p>	5	3.33	4.00	4.75			
Section 2 Totals				11	9.33	10.00	10.75		

Section 3. CoC Participation

4%

<p>a Applicant is classified as an "Active" Member of the Continuum of Care (CoC) by attending at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings held in the past 12 months.</p>	<p>Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Enter Yes if project applicant attended at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings</p>	<p>Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts</p>	2	2.00	0.00	2.00			
<p>b Applicant agency has a leadership role in the Brevard County CoC as evidence by at least 1 of the agency's paid staff serving as Chair or Co-Chair of a CoC Committee or holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council</p>	<p>Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Enter Yes if applicant is listed as having 1+ paid staff who holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council and/or serves as Chair/Co-Chair on a CoC Committee</p>	<p>Applicant staff member Chair/Co-Chairs a Committee and/or holds a seat on the Council = 1 pt</p> <p>Applicant staff member does NOT Chair/Co-Chair a Committee or hold a Council seat = 0 pts</p>	1	1.00	1.00	0.00			
<p>c Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?</p>	<p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries</p>	<p>> 10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts</p>	2	2%	0.00	1%	0.00	0%	0.00
Section 3 Totals				5	3.00	1.00	2.00		

Section 4. Coordinated Entry

4%

<p>a Coordinated Entry High Acuity Referrals/Matches: % of High Acuity Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients</p>	<p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches Table: "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column</p> <p>Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches" to determine points</p>	<p>14% = 3 pts 9% - 13% = 2 pts 5% - 8% = 1 pt up to 4% = 0 pts</p>	3	63%	3.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
<p>b Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List</p>	<p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches Table: "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column</p> <p>Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points</p>	<p>> 10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1.0 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts</p>	2	10%	2.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
Section 4 Totals				5	5.00	0.00	0.00		

Section 5. HMIS

2%

<p>a Applicant's HMIS participation</p> <p>Applicant agency actively enters data into HMIS as defined as having entered data within the past 90 days (inclusive of any/all of applicants projects using HMIS)</p> <p>DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points</p>	<p>Applicant actively enters data in HMIS = 1 pt.</p> <p>Applicant is an HMIS partner agency but is not actively entering data = 0.5 pts.</p> <p>Applicant is a non-DV provider and is not a current HMIS partner = 0 pts.</p>	1	1.00	1.00	1.00			
--	--	---	------	------	------	--	--	--

<p>Experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds. Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>b Include experience with leveraging all federal, state, local and private sector funds. If your organization has no experience leveraging other funds, include the phrase "No experience leveraging other federal, state, local, or private sector funds".</p>	<p>Source: Application</p>	<p>Extensive Experience with Leveraging Funds = 6 pts Some experience = 3 pts No Experience = 0 pts</p>	6	4.67	5.25	5.25
<p>b Applicant's Overall HMIS Data Completeness (Quality)</p>	<p>All HMIS projects are required to maintain satisfactory completeness (quality). If the applicant has current HMIS projects, all current projects will be used to determine the Agency's overall data completeness (quality). DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points Calc- Review the applicant agency's APR inclusive of all projects. Review sections 6A, 6B, 6C, 6D - Data Elements Percent age Error Rate?</p>	<p>Number of Categories with greater than 10% missing data: 0 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 3+ = 0 pts</p> <p>Applicant is a non-DV provider and does not have a currently operating projects that are entering data into HMIS = 0 pts</p>	2	2.00	0.00	2.00
Section 5 Totals			3	3.00	1.00	3.00

Section 6. Project Proposal & Performance (Outcomes)

58%

<p>Project Description and Scope: Maximum 3500 characters</p> <p>Provide a detailed description of the scope of the project including the target population(s) to be served, project plan for addressing the identified housing and supportive service needs, anticipated project outcome(s), coordination with other organizations (e.g., federal, state, nonprofit), and how the CoC Program funding will be used.</p> <p>Additionally, if your project implements service participation requirements or beyond what is typically included in a lease agreement, describe those requirements and how they will be implemented.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Project Description Narrative. Using all application content, the project addresses the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance</p>	<p>Clearly describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 13 pts</p> <p>Somewhat describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 6 pts</p> <p>Does not or vaguely describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 0 pts</p>	13	12.00	12.50	11.50
<p>Project Health Services Partnerships: Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?</p>	<p>Source: Application - Project Description Narrative. Using all application content and attachments, determine if the application formally addressed this coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding / Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.</p>	<p>Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 6 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 2 pts No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers but the narrative describes a relationship = 1 pts No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers and the narrative does not describe a relationship = 0 pts</p>	6	6.00	4.00	6.00
<p>Housing First/Low Barrier: To What Extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier? The project adheres to a Housing First model.</p>	<p>Source and Calc: Application Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire - Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)</p>	<p>Maximum of 24 pts.</p>	24	20.00	18.00	21.00
<p>Project Client Demographics/Target Populations: Describes the project's proposed populations to be served, including identifying targets, and information demonstrating an understanding of the needs of the clients they propose to serve. The type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Client Demographics/Target Population</p>	<p>Clearly defines the target demographics of the individuals/households to be served with details that demonstrates an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 10 pts Adequately defines the target demographic, but lacks some detail to demonstrate a full understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 5 pts Vaguely defines the target demographic, does not demonstrate an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 0 pts</p>	10	7.33	9.50	9.25
<p>Project Performance Outcomes: Outcomes are the primary way for projects to demonstrate effectiveness and impact in effecting ending homelessness. Outcomes should be based on client accomplishments and not on the number of services/activities provided to an individual/household; demonstrate a positive impact on overall system performance Narrative should include the following Outcomes for all project types except SSO-CE. The standard being implemented for our CoC system is indicated in parenthesis. - Percent of participants/households that will exit to a permanent housing situation (80%) - Average Length of time from project enrollment to permanent housing placement (60 days or less) - Percent of adult participants that have increased Earned Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (10%) - Percent of adult participants that have increased Total Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (25%)</p>	<p>Source: Application - Performance Outcomes</p>	<p>Outcomes are concise, identified and measurable outcomes that can positively impact overall system performance and are not based on number of activities/services = 5 pts Outcomes are adequate, but not concise, included some that are based on number of activities/services, and adequately shows positive impact on overall system performance = 3 pts Outcomes are all based on number of services/activities provided, outcomes are vague, and/or do not demonstrate a positive impact on overall system performance = 0 pts</p>	5	2.67	4.50	4.38
<p>Project Budget and Financial Plan. The average cost per household served is reasonable, meaning that the costs for housing and services provided by the project are consistent with the population the project plans to serve.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Detailed Financial Plan Narrative</p>	<p>Budget information is detailed, clear and complete; aligns with the project descriptions, presents a feasible project = 6 pts Budget information adequately provides necessary information for eligible costs, adequately aligns with the project descriptions, adequately presents a feasible project = 3 pts Budget information includes ineligible costs, vague details, missing key information to support project description and/or does not present a feasible project = 0 pts</p>	6	5.00	5.50	3.50
<p>Match Commitment</p>	<p>Source: Using Application - Match Narrative and Documentation of Commitment</p>	<p>Detailed, clear and complete match commitment documentation as required by HUD that indicates the applicant understands match and will be able to provide required project match = 6 pts Demonstrates a basic understanding of match, however the match commitment documentation does not meet HUD's requirements, and/or indicates the applicant may have difficulty providing necessary match = 3 pts Vague, missing required commitment documentation required by HUD and/or does not demonstrate an understanding of eligible match and/or the ability to provide required project match = 0 pts</p>	6	3.33	5.63	5.88
Section 6 Totals			70	56.33	59.63	61.50

Section 7. Overall Review

2%

<p>a Overall Proposal Presentation</p>	<p>The overall presentation of the proposal - content, flow of narratives, adherence to RFP instructions - demonstrates attention to detail and quality</p>	<p>Presented in a detailed, concise organized manner that was easy to understand and review = 3 pts Lacked attention to detail and overall organization of information making it difficult for the reviewer(s) to locate information needed to complete scoring = 0 pts</p>	3	2.00	3.00	2.75
Section 7 Totals			3	2.00	3.00	2.75
TOTAL SCORE (Sections 7): 121			121	98.00	96.88	103.00


FY2024 Notice of Inclusion/Exclusion

From BHC grants <grants@brevardhomelesscoalition.org>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 8:00 PM

To drew <drew@hopeofbrevard.com>

Cc BHC grants <grants@brevardhomelesscoalition.org>

 3 attachments (772 KB)

FY2024 HUD CoC Program Local Competition Selection Results Priority Listing.pdf; FY 2024 Universal RFP - Renewals All.pdf; FY 2024 Universal RFP - New All.pdf;

Dear Drew,

I am writing to inform you about the decisions made by the Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC) Ranking and Review Committee and the CoC Advisory Council regarding your projects for the FY2024 Project Priority Listing:

Approved for inclusion:

1. Path of Hope RRH Renewal Project in Tier 1 in the amount of \$15,909 straddling Tier 2 in the amount of \$3,411 for a total of \$19,320

Not selected for inclusion:

2. Path of Hope RRH – New Project in the amount of \$78,768
Reason: Fatal Flaw - Missing Application Section - Organizational Equity and Inclusion

The decisions were based on project scores, criteria and performance measures revealed through the scoring process.

Attached is the Notice of Inclusion/Exclusion with Project Scoring for all proposals.

The HUD FY2024 CoC NOFO Submission Deadline is Wednesday, October 30, 2024 @ 8:00 p.m. and we are planning to post our complete application to the BHC website at least 2 days prior to that submission, by Monday, October 28, 2024 @ 8:00 pm. We will be working on the Consolidated Application and may be reaching out to you for additional information that will help strengthen our application as well as any changes that need to be made to individual project applications, so stay tuned!

Please send questions regarding the reallocation decision made by the Ranking and Review Committee to Amber Carroll, amber@brevardhomelesscoalition.org.

Thank you for your participation in this year's CoC Competition.

In Partnership,
Your BHC Team

**FY2024 HUD CoC Program
Local Competition Selection Results**

The Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC), as the lead agency and collaborative applicant for the Brevard County CoC, hereby issues, on **October 8, 2024 the Local Competition Selection Results**, this Notice to Project Applicants of inclusion / exclusion for the FY24 HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO and Priority Listing.

Inclusions (accepted and will be ranked): The projects listed below will be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application at the amounts indicated in the "Amount Requested" column. All the projects will be listed on the FY24 Applications Project Priority Listing in the order listed below based on the CoC's Project Ranking Determination process. Included with this notice is the Renewal Project Scorecard for each of the scored Renewal and New Projects.

Exclusions (Rejected): There were two (2) projects submitted that will not be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application. One (1) due to a fatal flaw in the application and one (1) due to program ineligibility.

Application Amounts - Requested vs. Included (reduced or increased): The Amounts listed in the "Amounts Requested from HUD" column either match the amount the project requested or reflect a reduction based on the reallocation process and available funding.

PROJECT RANKING DETERMINATION

1. Critical System Renewal Projects - HMIS and Coordinated Entry - Recognizing that some CoC-funded projects are a basic requirement for overall CoC system processes and functioning, HMIS and Coordinated Entry dedicated projects will be ranked on the Project Priority Listing as numbers 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

2. Project Renewals - Project Renewals - All other renewal Projects will be ranked in order of: 1) first-year renewal projects by project type with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first, Rapid Rehousing (RRH) and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest and 2) all other renewal projects with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first and Rapid Rehousing (RRH) projects and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

3. Consolidated Renewals Project (incl. Expansions) – Consolidated Renewals will be ranked closest to the highest-ranking project of the two individual projects being consolidated and given the same ranking number as that project. For example, the highest ranking of the two projects is 5 and the lowest ranking is a 7, the consolidated project will also be placed as a second ranking of 5. There were no consolidations in this competition.

4. New Projects - including CoC and DV Bonus will be placed at the bottom of the ranking, in the order of the scores received during the RFP process, unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

Project Name	Score	Status	New/Renewal	Rank	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds	Cumulative Total	Tier
BHC - HMIS Renewal FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	1	\$71,455	\$0	\$71,455	1
BHC - CoC Coordinated Entry FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	2	\$71,785	\$0	\$143,240	1
BHC - DV Bonus SSO FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	3	\$18,529	\$0	\$161,769	1
Hfh Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	4	\$50,000	\$0	\$211,769	1
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	5	\$50,541	\$0	\$262,310	1
Hfh Supportive Housing – PSH PH1 Project 2024-2025	89.63	Accepted	Renewal	6	\$429,038	\$0	\$691,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – PSH Heritage Park Renewal	83.00	Accepted	Renewal	7	\$20,000	\$0	\$711,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – Heritage Park Expansion - FY24	78.50	Accepted	Renewal	8	\$190,122	\$0	\$901,470	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$15,909	\$0	\$917,379	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$3,411	\$0	\$920,790	2
Womens Center - RRH DV Bonus	108.67	Accepted	Renewal	10	\$98,520	\$0	\$1,019,310	2
Womens Center TH-RRH DV BONUS	103.00	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-11	\$102,963	\$0	\$1,122,273	2
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing - Expansion	96.88	Accepted	New	12	\$139,998	\$0	\$1,262,271	2
BHC - DV Bonus SSO Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-13	\$108,350	\$0	\$1,370,621	2
BHC - HMIS Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New-Expansion	14	\$29,052	\$0	\$1,399,673	2
BHC - Planning Grant FY24	PLAN - N/A	Accepted	Planning	N/A	\$70,438	\$0	\$1,470,111	0
Hfh Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake Expansion	98.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	0.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Tier 1 Total = 90% of ARD	\$ 917,379							
Tier 2 Total = remaining 10% ARD plus CoC Bonus (\$169,050)	\$ 270,981							
Total Tier 1 + Tier 2	\$ 1,188,360							
DV Bonus	\$ 211,313							
Total of Ranked Projects	\$ 1,399,673							
Planning Grant (excluded from ranking)	\$ 70,438							
Total FY24-25 HUD CoC Program Application	\$ 1,470,110							
Project Type								
HMIS - Homeless Management Information System								
SSO - Supportive Services Only								
PH - Permanent Supportive Housing								
RRH - Rapid Rehousing								
TH-RRH - Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing								
Renewal Project Possible = 123								
New Project Possible = 121								

Carrefour		HH Supportive Housing	Community of Hope	South Brevard Women's Center
Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
Score	Score	Score	Score	Score

Renewal Projects

Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Grant Management

11%

Maximum Pts	Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
2	1	1	2	1	2
2	2	1	2	2	2
3	0	0	0	1	2
1	1	1	1	1	1
5	5	5	4.75	4.125	5
Section 1. Total Score:	13	9	8	9.75	12

Section 2. Equity & Inclusion

11%

Maximum Pts	Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
2	2	2	2	2	2
3	3	0	0	3	2
3	3	3	3	2	3
3	3	3	3	3	3
3	3	3	1.375	0	2.6667
Section 2. Total Score:	14	11	9.375	10	12.6667

Section 3. CoC Participation

3%

Maximum Pts	Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	0

Renewal Projects				Maximum Pts	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	
c	Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?	HUD has stated that all homeless assistance organizations should be involved in the coordinated entry process by helping households access the system and receive referrals.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries	>10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2	1	1	0	1	0
Section 3. Total Score:					4	3	3	2	3	1

Section 4. Coordinated Entry

3%

a	Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT and Rubric are the tools our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches for Agency" to determine points <i>*Project Based Vouchers (PBV) assume highest points due to referral process with Housing Authority.</i>	14%+ = 2 pts 9% - 13% = 1 pts 5% - 8% = 0.5 pt up to 4% = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
b	Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT and Rubric are the tools our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points	>10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1.0 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2	1	1	2	2	2
Section 4. Total Score:					4	3	3	4	4	4

Section 5. Project Performance (Outcomes (HMIS DATA))

51%

a	OVERALL PROJECT PERFORMANCE - Project Application describes how the project will help improve the performance of the community's overall system, fill a gap/need within our system and move the community forward in achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals to make homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring in our CoC. Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	Comprehensive project applications should address these components.	Source 8. Calc: Application printout from Enaps. Using all application content, the project describes the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance	Clearly describes = 5 pts Somewhat describes = 3 pts Does not or vaguely describes = 0 pts	5	5	5	3.5	3	5
b	Did the project application prove through official partner agreements, how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	In accordance with HUD's Policy Priorities, CoC's should work closely with public and private healthcare organizations, PHAs, and local workforce development centers to maximize the use of resources available to end homelessness.	Source: Coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding/Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.	Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 2 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 1 pt No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers = 0 pts	4	3	3	4	0	6
c	Housing First/Low Barrier: To what extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier?	HUD has expressly stated that programs need to follow a housing first/low barrier philosophy.	Source and Calc: Completed Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire – Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)	Maximum of 24 pts	24	24	24	24	24	21
d	Housing Stability: % of persons who remained in the PH project as of the end of the operating year or exited to a positive housing destination.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	"Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Add the total "Number of Stayers" from table 5a, line 9, to the Subtotal from the Permanent Situations Total column on table 23c and divide by line 2 of table 5a"	90%+ = 5 pts 80% - 89% = 3 pts 75% - 79% = 1 pt <75% = 0 pts	5	5	5	5	3	5
e	Length of Time to Housing: Average number of days between Project Enrollment Date and Housing Move-In Date	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the "Average length of time to housing" number of days from the "Total" column found on table 22c	<30 days = 3 pts 31-60 days = 2 pts 61-90 days = 1 pt 91+ days = 0 pts	3	3	3	3	1	3
f	Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who increased their total income (from all sources) from project start to latest status.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a1.	50%+ = 5 pts 40% - 49% = 4 pts 30% - 39% = 3 pts 20% - 29% = 2 pts 10% - 19% = 1 pt <9% = 0 pts	5	0	0	0	0	5
g	Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who increased their total income (from all sources) from project start to project exit.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a2.	50%+ = 5 pts 40% - 49% = 4 pts 30% - 39% = 3 pts 20% - 29% = 2 pts 10% - 19% = 1 pt <9% = 0 pts	5	0	0	0	0	5
h	Entered From: % of Participants admitted directly from the street or other locations not meant for human habitation, Safe Haven or Emergency Shelters.	HUD emphasizes the Housing First philosophy and requires both PSH and RRH to assist persons directly from the street, emergency shelter, or Safe Haven as a best practice to reducing a person's length of time spent homeless.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 15. From the "Homeless Situations" row, add the numbers from the following columns: "Emergency shelter", "Place not meant for human habitation", and "Safe Haven." Divide total figure by "Number of Adults (age 18 or over)" from table 5a, line 2.	75%+ = 3 pts 65% - 74% = 2 pts 50% - 64% = 1 pt <49% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	2	3

Renewal Projects				Maximum Pts	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score
i Exits to Homelessness: Less than 5% of program exits will be to another homeless destination	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 23c, take the Subtotal from the Homeless Situations Total column and divide by line 2 of table 5a	5% or less = 3 pts 6% - 10% = 2 pts 11% - 24% = 1 pt >25% = 0 pts	3	3	3	3	3	3
j Non-cash Benefits - Annual: % of households with non-cash benefits at annual assessment.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in Row "1+ Sources" and Column "Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers" from table 20b. Divide number by Line 15 from Section 5a.	85% + = 3 pts 50% - 84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	1	3
k Non-cash Benefits - Exit: % of households with non-cash benefits at program exit.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in table 20b in row "1+ Sources" and column "Benefit at Exit for Leavers". Divide number by Line 8 from Section 5a.	85% + = 3 pts 50% - 84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	1	3
Section 5. Total Score:				63	43	43	45.5	38	62

Section 6. Project Populations (HMIS DATA)

7%

a % of Chronically Homeless Persons Served	Ending Chronic homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 12 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	ESH Projects 100% = 1 pt 99% - 50% = 0.5 pts <49% = 0 pts BRH Projects >50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0.5	0	0	0	0
b % of Veterans Served	Ending Veteran homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 11 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
c % of Youth (Under the Age of 25) Served	Effectively ending unaccompanied youth (UAY) is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 13 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
d % Parenting Youth Under the Age of 25 with Children Served	Parenting youth is a sub-population of unaccompanied youth (UAY) as well as families with children	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 14 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
e % Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	Persons fleeing domestic violence are a population of concern in HUD and local goals	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 14b Calc: Divide Total in section 14b by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	1
f Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by no income at entry.	Participants with no income at entry are considered harder to serve than those with income at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 18 Calc: Take the number of "Adults with no Income" from the "Number of Adults at Start" Column and divide it by Line 2 of Section 5a.	50% + = 2 pts <50% = 0 pts	2	0	0	0	0	0
g Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by 2 or more physical/mental health conditions at entry.	Participants with multiple physical/mental health conditions at entry are considered harder to serve than those with no or 1 condition at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - 13a2: Calc: In the "Total Persons" column on table 13a2, add the number in "2 conditions" and "3+ Conditions". Divide this number by the "Total Persons" number shown in Section 13a2.	50% + = 2 pts <50% = 0 pts	2	0	0	0	0	0
Section 6. Total Score:				9	0.5	0	0	0	1

Section 7. HMIS Data Quality

13%

a Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Project Entry Date	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Project Start Date" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0	0	2	2	2
b Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Relationship to Head of Household	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Relationship to Head of Household" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
c Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Disabling Condition	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Disabling Condition" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0.5	0.5	2	2	2
d Project's Data Quality: Destination	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Destination" from 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
e Project's Data Quality: Income at Entry	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Start" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0	0	2	2	2
f Project's Data Quality: Income at Annual Assessment	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Annual Assessment" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	5	2	2
g Project's Data Quality: Income at Exit	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Exit" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
h Project's Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6d Calc: Enter "% of records unable to calculate" from the "Total" row from table 6d.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
Section 7. Total Score:				16	10.5	10.5	19	16	16
TOTAL SCORE (Sections 1-7):				123	83	78.5	89.625	80.125	108.667

Agency Name: _____

HH
Supportive
Housing

WAYS for
LIFE, inc.

South
Brevard
Women's
Center

Project Type: _____

HH's PSH -
Orchid
Lake Exp

WAYS for
Life New
TH-RRH

SBWC DV-
TH-RRH

New Projects

Maximum Pts

Score

Score

Score

Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Experience

20%

<p>Organization's Capacity and Experience: Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>Describe your organization's, and any partner organization's (e.g., developers, key contractors, etc.) experience with successfully utilizing federal funds in other projects. Provide examples that illustrate experience such as:</p> <p>a working with and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive service needs; developing and implementing relevant program systems, services, and/or residential property construction and rehabilitation; identifying and securing matching funds from a variety of sources, and; managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems</p> <p>Source: Application - Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative - Attachments - Organizational Budget and Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990</p>	<p>Extensive experience with government grants with high staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 6 pts.</p> <p>Some experience with government grants with some staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 3 pts.</p> <p>Minimum or no experience with government grants and staff has minimum/no experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 0 pts.</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>4.67</p>	<p>5.75</p>	<p>6.00</p>	
<p>Experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds. Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>b Include experience with leveraging all federal, state, local and private sector funds. If your organization has no experience leveraging other funds, include the phrase "No experience leveraging other federal, state, local, or private sector funds".</p> <p>Source: Application</p>	<p>Extensive Experience with Leveraging Funds = 6 pts</p> <p>Some experience = 3 pts</p> <p>No Experience = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>4.67</p>	<p>5.25</p>	<p>5.25</p>	
<p>Financial Management Structure Include how your organization has a functioning accounting system that is operated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or has designated a fiscal agent that will maintain a functioning accounting system for your organization in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Include the fiscal control and accounting procedures to assure proper dispersal of and accounting for federal funds in accordance with the requirements of 2 CFR part 200.</p> <p>Agency Compliance *Past compliance findings or concern for other funding sources, *Compliance findings/concerns from other monitoring agencies *Any Prior Audit Findings and Questioned Costs indicated in the agency's audited financial reports within the past 3 years or that are older but unresolved. *Status of any of the compliance findings/concerns reported, such as resolved, unresolved</p> <p>Source: Application - Financial Management Structure and Using the provided Financial Audit including Supplementary Information and Other Reports and The Management Letter</p>	<p>Structure in Place and full Compliance = 6 pts</p> <p>Structure in Place with partial compliance = 4 pts</p> <p>1 unresolved finding = 2 pts</p> <p>2+ unresolved findings = 0 pt</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>5.33</p>	<p>5.50</p>	<p>6.00</p>	
<p>d Overall Organizational Financial Health</p> <p>Source: Organizational Budget, Experience with Leveraging Funds, Financial Management Structure, Agency Compliance, supporting documents.</p>	<p>Operational Budget reflects financial stability with multiple streams of funding = 6 pts</p> <p>Operational Budget reflects stability = 3 pts</p> <p>Operational Budget reflects instability = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>4.67</p>	<p>5.75</p>	<p>5.75</p>	
<p>Section 1 Totals</p>			<p>24</p>	<p>19.33</p>	<p>22.25</p>	<p>23.00</p>

Section 2. Equity & Inclusion

9%

<p>a Agency Lived Experience Analysis</p> <p>Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details</p> <p>Calc: Count each staff member and board director who has lived experience with homelessness and the homeless response system to determine the point value</p>	<p>5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	
<p>b Agency Racial Equity Analysis</p> <p>Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details</p> <p>Calc: Count each racial/ethnic category within the organization's staff and Board of Directors to determine the point value.</p>	<p>5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	
<p>Organizational equity and inclusion</p> <p>Does the Project application address equity and inclusion in all areas of the project? 1) Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ individuals 2) Racial Equity 3) Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience</p> <p>Lived Experience specific activities (or similar) listed below, will be scored * Representation on the organization's Board of Director's or other decision-making board * CoC Lived Experience Committee * Emphasis on hiring Person with Lived Experience * Use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback * Satisfaction surveys / comment cards</p> <p>Source: Application Question - has made equity and inclusion a priority.</p>	<p>Agency already demonstrates equity and inclusion with a plan to increase = 5 pts Clear plan for inclusion and equity = 3 pts No plan = 0 pts</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>3.33</p>	<p>4.00</p>	<p>4.75</p>	
<p>Section 2 Totals</p>			<p>11</p>	<p>9.33</p>	<p>10.00</p>	<p>10.75</p>

Section 3. CoC Participation

4%

<p>a Applicant is classified as an "Active" Member of the Continuum of Care (CoC) by attending at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings held in the past 12 months.</p> <p>Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Enter Yes if project applicant attended at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings</p>	<p>Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>2.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>	<p>2.00</p>	
<p>b Applicant agency has a leadership role in the Brevard County CoC as evidence by at least 1 of the agency's paid staff serving as Chair or Co-Chair of a CoC Committee or holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council</p> <p>Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Enter Yes if applicant is listed as having 1+ paid staff who holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council and/or serves as Chair/Co-Chair on a CoC Committee</p>	<p>Applicant staff member Chair/Co-Chairs a Committee and/or holds a seat on the Council = 1 pt</p> <p>Applicant staff member does NOT Chair/Co-Chair a Committee or hold a Council seat = 0 pts</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>	
<p>c Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?</p> <p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries</p>	<p>> 10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>2%</p>	<p>0%</p>	<p>0.00</p>	
<p>Section 3 Totals</p>			<p>5</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>2.00</p>

Section 4. Coordinated Entry

4%

<p>a Coordinated Entry High Acuity Referrals/Matches: % of High Acuity Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients</p> <p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches Table: "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column</p> <p>Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches" to determine points</p>	<p>14% = 3 pts 9% - 13% = 2 pts 5% - 8% = 1 pt up to 4% = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>63%</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0.00</p>
<p>b Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List</p> <p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches Table: "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column</p> <p>Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points</p>	<p>> 10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1.0 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>10%</p>	<p>2.00</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0.00</p>
<p>Section 4 Totals</p>			<p>5</p>	<p>5.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>

Section 5. HMIS

2%

<p>a Applicant's HMIS participation</p> <p>Applicant agency actively enters data into HMIS as defined as having entered data within the past 90 days (inclusive of any/all of applicants projects using HMIS)</p> <p>DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points</p>	<p>Applicant actively enters data in HMIS = 1 pt.</p> <p>Applicant is an HMIS partner agency but is not actively entering data = 0.5 pts.</p> <p>Applicant is a non-DV provider and is not a current HMIS partner = 0 pts.</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>1.00</p>
---	--	----------	-------------	-------------	-------------

<p>Experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds. Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>b Include experience with leveraging all federal, state, local and private sector funds. If your organization has no experience leveraging other funds, include the phrase "No experience leveraging other federal, state, local, or private sector funds".</p>	<p>Source: Application</p>	<p>Extensive Experience with Leveraging Funds = 6 pts Some experience = 3 pts No Experience = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>4.67</p>	<p>5.25</p>	<p>5.25</p>
<p>b Applicant's Overall HMIS Data Completeness (Quality)</p>	<p>All HMIS projects are required to maintain satisfactory completeness (quality). If the applicant has current HMIS projects, all current projects will be used to determine the Agency's overall data completeness (quality). DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points Calc- Review the applicant agency's APR inclusive of all projects. Review sections 6A, 6B, 6C, 6D - Data Elements Percent age Error Rate?</p>	<p>Number of Categories with greater than 10% missing data: 0 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 3+ = 0 pts Applicant is a non-DV provider and does not have a currently operating projects that are entering data into HMIS = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>2.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>	<p>2.00</p>
<p>Section 5 Totals</p>			<p>3</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>

Section 6. Project Proposal & Performance (Outcomes)

58%

<p>Project Description and Scope: Maximum 3500 characters</p> <p>Provide a detailed description of the scope of the project including the target population(s) to be served, project plan for addressing the identified housing and supportive service needs, anticipated project outcome(s), coordination with other organizations (e.g., federal, state, nonprofit), and how the CoC Program funding will be used.</p> <p>Additionally, if your project implements service participation requirements or beyond what is typically included in a lease agreement, describe those requirements and how they will be implemented.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Project Description Narrative. Using all application content, the project addresses the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance</p>	<p>Clearly describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 13 pts Somewhat describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 6 pts Does not or vaguely describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 0 pts</p>	<p>13</p>	<p>12.00</p>	<p>12.50</p>	<p>11.50</p>
<p>Project Health Services Partnerships: Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?</p>	<p>Source: Application - Project Description Narrative. Using all application content and attachments, determine if the application formally addressed this coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding / Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.</p>	<p>Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 6 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 2 pt No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers but the narrative describes a relationship = 1 pts No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers and the narrative does not describe a relationship = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>6.00</p>	<p>4.00</p>	<p>6.00</p>
<p>Housing First/Low Barrier: To What Extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier? The project adheres to a Housing First model.</p>	<p>Source and Calc: Application Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire - Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)</p>	<p>Maximum of 24 pts.</p>	<p>24</p>	<p>20.00</p>	<p>18.00</p>	<p>21.00</p>
<p>Project Client Demographics/Target Populations: Describes the project's proposed populations to be served, including identifying targets, and information demonstrating an understanding of the needs of the clients they propose to serve. The type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Client Demographics/Target Population</p>	<p>Clearly defines the target demographics of the individuals/households to be served with details that demonstrates an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 10 pts Adequately defines the target demographic, but lacks some detail to demonstrate a full understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 5 pt. Vaguely defines the target demographic, does not demonstrate an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 0 pts</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>7.33</p>	<p>9.50</p>	<p>9.25</p>
<p>Project Performance Outcomes: Outcomes are the primary way for projects to demonstrate effectiveness and impact in effecting ending homelessness. Outcomes should be based on client accomplishments and not on the number of services/activities provided to an individual/household; demonstrate a positive impact on overall system performance Narrative should include the following Outcomes for all project types except SSOCE. The standard being implemented for our CoC system is indicated in parenthesis. - Percent of participants/households that will exit to a permanent housing situation (80%) - Average Length of time from project enrollment to permanent housing placement (60 days or less) - Percent of adult participants that have increased Earned Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (10%) - Percent of adult participants that have increased Total Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (25%)</p>	<p>Source: Application - Performance Outcomes</p>	<p>Outcomes are concise, identified and measurable outcomes that can positively impact overall system performance and are not based on number of activities/services = 5 pts Outcomes are adequate, but not concise, included some that are based on number of activities/services, and adequately shows positive impact on overall system performance = 3 pts Outcomes are all based on number of services/activities provided, outcomes are vague, and/or do not demonstrate a positive impact on overall system performance = 0 pts</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>2.67</p>	<p>4.50</p>	<p>4.38</p>
<p>Project Budget and Financial Plan. The average cost per household served is reasonable, meaning that the f costs for housing and services provided by the project are consistent with the population the project plans to serve.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Detailed Financial Plan Narrative</p>	<p>Budget information is detailed, clear and complete; aligns with the project descriptions, presents a feasible project = 6 pts Budget information adequately provides necessary information for eligible costs, adequately aligns with the project descriptions, adequately presents a feasible project = 3 pts Budget information includes ineligible costs, vague details, missing key information to support project description and/or does not present a feasible project = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>5.00</p>	<p>5.50</p>	<p>3.50</p>
<p>Match Commitment</p>	<p>Source: Using Application - Match Narrative and Documentation of Commitment</p>	<p>Detailed, clear and complete match commitment documentation as required by HUD that indicates the applicant understands match and will be able to provide required project match = 6 pts Demonstrates a basic understanding of match, however the match commitment documentation does not meet HUD's requirements, and/or indicates the applicant may have difficulty providing necessary match = 3 pts Vague, missing required commitment documentation required by HUD and/or does not demonstrate an understanding of eligible match and/or the ability to provide required project match = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>3.33</p>	<p>5.63</p>	<p>5.88</p>
<p>Section 6 Totals</p>			<p>70</p>	<p>56.33</p>	<p>59.63</p>	<p>61.50</p>

Section 7. Overall Review

2%

<p>a Overall Proposal Presentation</p>	<p>The overall presentation of the proposal – content, flow of narratives, adherence to RFP instructions – demonstrates attention to detail and quality</p>	<p>Presented in a detailed, concise organized manner that was easy to understand and review = 3 pts Lacked attention to detail and overall organization of information making it difficult for the reviewer(s) to locate information needed to complete scoring = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>2.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>2.75</p>
<p>Section 7 Totals</p>			<p>3</p>	<p>2.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>2.75</p>
<p>TOTAL SCORE (Sections 7): 121</p>			<p>121</p>	<p>98.00</p>	<p>96.88</p>	<p>103.00</p>

FY2024 Notice of Inclusion

From BHC grants <grants@brevardhomelesscoalition.org>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 8:00 PM

To snewson <snewson@carrfour.org>

Cc BHC grants <grants@brevardhomelesscoalition.org>

 3 attachments (772 KB)

FY2024 HUD CoC Program Local Competition Selection Results Priority Listing.pdf; FY 2024 Universal RFP - Renewals All.pdf; FY 2024 Universal RFP - New All.pdf;

Dear Sandra,

I am pleased to inform you that the Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC) Ranking and Review Committee and the CoC Advisory Council have approved the following projects for funding and inclusion in the FY2024 Project Priority Listing:

1. Heritage Park - Renewal as a Tier 1 Project in the amount of \$20,000
2. Heritage Park Expansion – Renewal as a Tier 1 Project in the amount of \$190,122

The decision was made based on the project score as well as the performance measures revealed through the scoring process.

Attached is the Notice of Inclusion/Exclusion with Project Scoring for all proposals.

The HUD FY2024 CoC NOFO Submission Deadline is Wednesday, October 30, 2024 @ 8:00 p.m. and we are planning to post our complete application to the BHC website at least 2 days prior to that submission, by Monday, October 28, 2024 @ 8:00 pm. We will be working on the Consolidated Application and may be reaching out to you for additional information that will help strengthen our application as well as any changes that need to be made to individual project applications, so stay tuned!

Please send questions regarding the reallocation decision made by the Ranking and Review Committee to Amber Carroll, amber@brevardhomelesscoalition.org.

Thank you for your participation in this year's CoC Competition.
In Partnership,
Your BHC team

**FY2024 HUD CoC Program
Local Competition Selection Results**

The Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC), as the lead agency and collaborative applicant for the Brevard County CoC, hereby issues, on **October 8, 2024 the Local Competition Selection Results**, this Notice to Project Applicants of inclusion / exclusion for the FY24 HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO and Priority Listing.

Inclusions (accepted and will be ranked): The projects listed below will be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application at the amounts indicated in the "Amount Requested" column. All the projects will be listed on the FY24 Applications Project Priority Listing in the order listed below based on the CoC's Project Ranking Determination process. Included with this notice is the Renewal Project Scorecard for each of the scored Renewal and New Projects.

Exclusions (Rejected): There were two (2) projects submitted that will not be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application. One (1) due to a fatal flaw in the application and one (1) due to program ineligibility.

Application Amounts - Requested vs. Included (reduced or increased): The Amounts listed in the "Amounts Requested from HUD" column either match the amount the project requested or reflect a reduction based on the reallocation process and available funding.

PROJECT RANKING DETERMINATION

1. Critical System Renewal Projects - HMIS and Coordinated Entry - Recognizing that some CoC-funded projects are a basic requirement for overall CoC system processes and functioning, HMIS and Coordinated Entry dedicated projects will be ranked on the Project Priority Listing as numbers 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

2. Project Renewals - Project Renewals - All other renewal Projects will be ranked in order of: 1) first-year renewal projects by project type with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first, Rapid Rehousing (RRH) and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest and 2) all other renewal projects with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first and Rapid Rehousing (RRH) projects and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

3. Consolidated Renewals Project (incl. Expansions) – Consolidated Renewals will be ranked closest to the highest-ranking project of the two individual projects being consolidated and given the same ranking number as that project. For example, the highest ranking of the two projects is 5 and the lowest ranking is a 7, the consolidated project will also be placed as a second ranking of 5. There were no consolidations in this competition.

4. New Projects - including CoC and DV Bonus will be placed at the bottom of the ranking, in the order of the scores received during the RFP process, unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

Project Name	Score	Status	New/Renewal	Rank	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds	Cumulative Total	Tier
BHC - HMIS Renewal FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	1	\$71,455	\$0	\$71,455	1
BHC - CoC Coordinated Entry FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	2	\$71,785	\$0	\$143,240	1
BHC - DV Bonus SSO FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	3	\$18,529	\$0	\$161,769	1
Hfh Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	4	\$50,000	\$0	\$211,769	1
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	5	\$50,541	\$0	\$262,310	1
Hfh Supportive Housing – PSH PH1 Project 2024-2025	89.63	Accepted	Renewal	6	\$429,038	\$0	\$691,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – PSH Heritage Park Renewal	83.00	Accepted	Renewal	7	\$20,000	\$0	\$711,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – Heritage Park Expansion - FY24	78.50	Accepted	Renewal	8	\$190,122	\$0	\$901,470	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$15,909	\$0	\$917,379	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$3,411	\$0	\$920,790	2
Womens Center - RRH DV Bonus	108.67	Accepted	Renewal	10	\$98,520	\$0	\$1,019,310	2
Womens Center TH-RRH DV BONUS	103.00	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-11	\$102,963	\$0	\$1,122,273	2
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing - Expansion	96.88	Accepted	New	12	\$139,998	\$0	\$1,262,271	2
BHC - DV Bonus SSO Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-13	\$108,350	\$0	\$1,370,621	2
BHC - HMIS Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New-Expansion	14	\$29,052	\$0	\$1,399,673	2
BHC - Planning Grant FY24	PLAN - N/A	Accepted	Planning	N/A	\$70,438	\$0	\$1,470,111	0
Hfh Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake Expansion	98.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	0.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Tier 1 Total = 90% of ARD	\$ 917,379							
Tier 2 Total = remaining 10% ARD plus CoC Bonus (\$169,050)	\$ 270,981							
Total Tier 1 + Tier 2	\$ 1,188,360							
DV Bonus	\$ 211,313							
Total of Ranked Projects	\$ 1,399,673							
Planning Grant (excluded from ranking)	\$ 70,438							
Total FY24-25 HUD CoC Program Application	\$ 1,470,110							
Project Type								
HMIS - Homeless Management Information System SSO - Supportive Services Only PH - Permanent Supportive Housing RRH - Rapid Rehousing TH-RRH - Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing								
Renewal Project Possible = 123								
New Project Possible = 121								

Carrefour		HHH Supportive Housing	Community of Hope	South Brevard Women's Center
Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HHH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
Score	Score	Score	Score	Score

Renewal Projects

Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Grant Management

11%

Maximum Pts	Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HHH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
a	1	1	2	1	2
b	2	1	2	2	2
c	0	0	0	1	2
d	1	1	1	1	1
e	5	5	4.75	4.125	5
Section 1. Total Score: 13					
	9	8	9.75	9.125	12

Section 2. Equity & Inclusion

11%

Maximum Pts	Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HHH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
a	2	2	2	2	2
b	3	0	0	3	2
c	3	3	3	2	3
d	3	3	3	3	3
e	3	3	3	1.375	0
Section 2. Total Score: 14					
	14	11	9.375	10	12.667

Section 3. CoC Participation

3%

Maximum Pts	Carrefour HP	Carrefour Exp 1	HHH PH1	COH RH	DV RH
a	1	1	1	1	1
b	1	1	1	1	0

Renewal Projects				Maximum Pts	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	
c	Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?	HUD has stated that all homeless assistance organizations should be involved in the coordinated entry process by helping households access the system and receive referrals.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries	>10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2	1	1	0	1	0
Section 3. Total Score:					4	3	3	2	3	1

Section 4. Coordinated Entry

3%

a	Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT and Rubric are the tools our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches for Agency" to determine points <i>*Project Based Vouchers (PBV) assume highest points due to referral process with Housing Authority.</i>	14%+ = 2 pts 9% - 13% = 1 pts 5% - 8% = 0.5 pt up to 4% = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
b	Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT and Rubric are the tools our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points	>10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1.0 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts	2	1	1	2	2	2
Section 4. Total Score:					4	3	3	4	4	4

Section 5. Project Performance (Outcomes (HMIS DATA))

51%

a	OVERALL PROJECT PERFORMANCE - Project Application describes how the project will help improve the performance of the community's overall system, fills a gap/need within our system and move the community forward in achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals to make homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring in our CoC. Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	Comprehensive project applications should address these components.	Source 8. Calc: Application printout from Enaps. Using all application content, the project describes the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance	Clearly describes = 5 pts Somewhat describes = 3 pts Does not or vaguely describes = 0 pts	5	5	5	3.5	3	5
b	Did the project application prove through official partner agreements, how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	In accordance with HUD's Policy Priorities, CoC's should work closely with public and private healthcare organizations, PHAs, and local workforce development centers to maximize the use of resources available to end homelessness.	Source: Coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding/Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.	Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 2 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 1 pt No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers = 0 pts	4	3	3	4	0	6
c	Housing First/Low Barrier: To what extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier?	HUD has expressly stated that programs need to follow a housing first/low barrier philosophy.	Source and Calc: Completed Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire – Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)	Maximum of 24 pts	24	24	24	24	24	21
d	Housing Stability: % of persons who remained in the PH project as of the end of the operating year or exited to a positive housing destination.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	"Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Add the total "Number of Stayers" from table 5a, line 9, to the Subtotal from the Permanent Situations Total column on table 23c and divide by line 2 of table 5a"	90%+ = 5 pts 80% - 89% = 3 pts 75% - 79% = 1 pt <75% = 0 pts	5	5	5	5	3	5
e	Length of Time to Housing: Average number of days between Project Enrollment Date and Housing Move-In Date	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the "Average length of time to housing" number of days from the "Total" column found on table 22c	<30 days = 3 pts 31-60 days = 2 pts 61-90 days = 1 pt 91+ days = 0 pts	3	3	3	3	1	3
f	Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who increased their total income (from all sources) from project start to latest status.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a1.	50%+ = 5 pts 40% - 49% = 4 pts 30% - 39% = 3 pts 20% - 29% = 2 pts 10% - 19% = 1 pt <9% = 0 pts	5	0	0	0	0	5
g	Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who increased their total income (from all sources) from project start to project exit.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a2.	50%+ = 5 pts 40% - 49% = 4 pts 30% - 39% = 3 pts 20% - 29% = 2 pts 10% - 19% = 1 pt <9% = 0 pts	5	0	0	0	0	5
h	Entered From: % of Participants admitted directly from the street or other locations not meant for human habitation, Safe Haven or Emergency Shelters.	HUD emphasizes the Housing First philosophy and requires both PSH and RRH to assist persons directly from the street, emergency shelter, or Safe Haven as a best practice to reducing a person's length of time spent homeless.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 15. From the "Homeless Situations" row, add the numbers from the following columns: "Emergency shelter", "Place not meant for human habitation", and "Safe Haven." Divide total figure by "Number of Adults (age 18 or over)" from table 5a, line 2.	75%+ = 3 pts 65% - 74% = 2 pts 50% - 64% = 1 pt <49% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	2	3

Renewal Projects				Maximum Pts	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score
i Exits to Homelessness: Less than 5% of program exits will be to another homeless destination	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 23c, take the Subtotal from the Homeless Situations Total column and divide by line 2 of table 5a	5% or less = 3 pts 6% - 10% = 2 pts 11% - 24% = 1 pt >25% = 0 pts	3	3	3	3	3	3
j Non-cash Benefits - Annual: % of households with non-cash benefits at annual assessment.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in Row "1+ Sources" and Column "Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers" from table 20b. Divide number by Line 15 from Section 5a.	85% + = 3 pts 50% - 84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	1	3
k Non-cash Benefits - Exit: % of households with non-cash benefits at program exit.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in table 20b in row "1+ Sources" and column "Benefit at Exit for Leavers". Divide number by Line 8 from Section 5a.	85% + = 3 pts 50% - 84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts	3	0	0	1	1	3
Section 5. Total Score:				63	43	43	45.5	38	62

Section 6. Project Populations (HMIS DATA)

7%

a % of Chronically Homeless Persons Served	Ending Chronic homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 12 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	ESH Projects 100% = 1 pt 99% - 50% = 0.5 pts <49% = 0 pts BRH Projects >50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0.5	0	0	0	0
b % of Veterans Served	Ending Veteran homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 11 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
c % of Youth (Under the Age of 25) Served	Effectively ending unaccompanied youth (UAY) is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 13 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
d % Parenting Youth Under the Age of 25 with Children Served	Parenting youth is a sub-population of unaccompanied youth (UAY) as well as families with children	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 14 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	0
e % Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	Persons fleeing domestic violence are a population of concern in HUD and local goals	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 14b Calc: Divide Total in section 14b by Line 2 of Section 5a.	>50% = 1 pt 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts	1	0	0	0	0	1
f Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by no income at entry.	Participants with no income at entry are considered harder to serve than those with income at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 18 Calc: Take the number of "Adults with no Income" from the "Number of Adults at Start" Column and divide it by Line 2 of Section 5a.	50% + = 2 pts <50% = 0 pts	2	0	0	0	0	0
g Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by 2 or more physical/mental health conditions at entry.	Participants with multiple physical/mental health conditions at entry are considered harder to serve than those with no or 1 condition at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - 13a2: Calc: In the "Total Persons" column on table 13a2, add the number in "2 conditions" and "3+ Conditions". Divide this number by the "Total Persons" number shown in Section 13a2.	50% + = 2 pts <50% = 0 pts	2	0	0	0	0	0
Section 6. Total Score:				9	0.5	0	0	0	1

Section 7. HMIS Data Quality

13%

a Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Project Entry Date	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Project Start Date" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0	0	2	2	2
b Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Relationship to Head of Household	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Relationship to Head of Household" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
c Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Disabling Condition	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Disabling Condition" from table 6b.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0.5	0.5	2	2	2
d Project's Data Quality: Destination	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Destination" from 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
e Project's Data Quality: Income at Entry	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Start" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	0	0	2	2	2
f Project's Data Quality: Income at Annual Assessment	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Annual Assessment" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	5	2	2
g Project's Data Quality: Income at Exit	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Exit" from table 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
h Project's Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6d Calc: Enter "% of records unable to calculate" from the "Total" row from table 6d.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pt 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts	2	2	2	2	2	2
Section 7. Total Score:				16	10.5	10.5	19	16	16
TOTAL SCORE (Sections 1-7):				123	83	78.5	89.625	80.125	108.667

Agency Name: _____

HH
Supportive
Housing

WAYS for
LIFE, Inc.

South
Brevard
Women's
Center

Project Type: _____

HH's PSH -
Orchid
Lake Exp

WAYS for
Life New
TH-RRH

SBWC DV-
TH-RRH

New Projects

Maximum Pts

Score

Score

Score

Section 1. Organizational Capacity & Experience

20%

<p>Organization's Capacity and Experience: Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>Describe your organization's, and any partner organization's (e.g., developers, key contractors, etc.) experience with successfully utilizing federal funds in other projects. Provide examples that illustrate experience such as:</p> <p>a working with and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive service needs; developing and implementing relevant program systems, services, and/or residential property construction and rehabilitation; identifying and securing matching funds from a variety of sources, and; managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems</p> <p>Source: Application - Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative - Attachments - Organizational Budget and Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990</p>	<p>Extensive experience with government grants with high staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 6 pts.</p> <p>Some experience with government grants with some staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 3 pts.</p> <p>Minimum or no experience with government grants and staff has minimum/no experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 0 pts.</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>4.67</p>	<p>5.75</p>	<p>6.00</p>
<p>Experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds. Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>b Include experience with leveraging all federal, state, local and private sector funds. If your organization has no experience leveraging other funds, include the phrase "No experience leveraging other federal, state, local, or private sector funds".</p> <p>Source: Application</p>	<p>Extensive Experience with Leveraging Funds = 6 pts</p> <p>Some experience = 3 pts</p> <p>No Experience = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>4.67</p>	<p>5.25</p>	<p>5.25</p>
<p>Financial Management Structure Include how your organization has a functioning accounting system that is operated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or has designated a fiscal agent that will maintain a functioning accounting system for your organization in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Include the fiscal control and accounting procedures to assure proper dispersal of and accounting for federal funds in accordance with the requirements of 2 CFR part 200.</p> <p>Agency Compliance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Past compliance findings or concern for other funding sources, • Compliance findings/concerns from other monitoring agencies • Any Prior Audit Findings and Questioned Costs indicated in the agency's audited financial reports within the past 3 years or that are older but unresolved. • Status of any of the compliance findings/concerns reported, such as resolved, unresolved <p>Source: Application - Financial Management Structure and Using the provided Financial Audit including Supplementary Information and Other Reports and The Management Letter</p>	<p>Structure in Place and full Compliance = 6 pts</p> <p>Structure in Place with partial compliance = 4 pts</p> <p>1 unresolved finding = 2 pts</p> <p>2+ unresolved findings = 0 pt</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>5.33</p>	<p>5.50</p>	<p>6.00</p>
<p>d Overall Organizational Financial Health</p> <p>Source: Organizational Budget, Experience with Leveraging Funds, Financial Management Structure, Agency Compliance, supporting documents.</p>	<p>Operational Budget reflects financial stability with multiple streams of funding = 6 pts</p> <p>Operational Budget reflects stability = 3 pts</p> <p>Operational Budget reflects instability = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>4.67</p>	<p>5.75</p>	<p>5.75</p>
<p>Section 1 Totals</p>			<p>24</p>	<p>19.33</p>	<p>22.25</p>

Section 2. Equity & Inclusion

9%

<p>a Agency Lived Experience Analysis</p> <p>Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details</p> <p>Calc: Count each staff member and board director who has lived experience with homelessness and the homeless response system to determine the point value</p>	<p>5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>
<p>b Agency Racial Equity Analysis</p> <p>Source: Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details</p> <p>Calc: Count each racial/ethnic category within the organization's staff and Board of Directors to determine the point value.</p>	<p>5+ = 3 pts 3-4 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 0 = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>
<p>Organizational equity and inclusion</p> <p>Does the Project application address equity and inclusion in all areas of the project?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ individuals 2) Racial Equity 3) Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience <p>Lived Experience specific activities (or similar) listed below, will be scored</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representation on the organization's Board of Director's or other decision-making board • CoC Lived Experience Committee • Emphasis on hiring Person with Lived Experience • Use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback • Satisfaction surveys / comment cards <p>Source: Application Question - has made equity and inclusion a priority.</p>	<p>Agency already demonstrates equity and inclusion with a plan to increase = 5 pts</p> <p>Clear plan for inclusion and equity = 3 pts</p> <p>No plan = 0 pts</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>3.33</p>	<p>4.00</p>	<p>4.75</p>
<p>Section 2 Totals</p>			<p>11</p>	<p>9.33</p>	<p>10.00</p>

Section 3. CoC Participation

4%

<p>a Applicant is classified as an "Active" Member of the Continuum of Care (CoC) by attending at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings held in the past 12 months.</p> <p>Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Enter Yes if project applicant attended at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings</p>	<p>Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>2.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>	<p>2.00</p>
<p>b Applicant agency has a leadership role in the Brevard County CoC as evidence by at least 1 of the agency's paid staff serving as Chair or Co-Chair of a CoC Committee or holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council</p> <p>Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Enter Yes if applicant is listed as having 1+ paid staff who holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council and/or serves as Chair/Co-Chair on a CoC Committee</p>	<p>Applicant staff member Chair/Co-Chairs a Committee and/or holds a seat on the Council = 1 pt</p> <p>Applicant staff member does NOT Chair/Co-Chair a Committee or hold a Council seat = 0 pts</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>
<p>c Coordinated Entry - How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?</p> <p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table (August 2023 - July 2024)</p> <p>Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries</p>	<p>> 10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>2%</p>	<p>0%</p>	<p>0.00</p>
<p>Section 3 Totals</p>			<p>5</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>1.00</p>

Section 4. Coordinated Entry

4%

<p>a Coordinated Entry High Acuity Referrals/Matches: % of High Acuity Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients</p> <p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches Table: "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column</p> <p>Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches" to determine points</p>	<p>14% = 3 pts 9% - 13% = 2 pts 5% - 8% = 1 pt up to 4% = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>63%</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>
<p>b Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches: % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List</p> <p>Source: FY 2023 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches Table: "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column</p> <p>Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points</p>	<p>> 10% = 2 pts 3%-10% = 1.0 pt 0%-2% = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>10%</p>	<p>2.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>
<p>Section 4 Totals</p>			<p>5</p>	<p>5.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>

Section 5. HMIS

2%

<p>a Applicant's HMIS participation</p> <p>Applicant agency actively enters data into HMIS as defined as having entered data within the past 90 days (inclusive of any/all of applicants projects using HMIS)</p> <p>DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points</p>	<p>Applicant actively enters data in HMIS = 1 pt.</p> <p>Applicant is an HMIS partner agency but is not actively entering data = 0.5 pts.</p> <p>Applicant is a non-DV provider and is not a current HMIS partner = 0 pts.</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>1.00</p>	<p>1.00</p>
---	--	----------	-------------	-------------	-------------

<p>Experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds. Maximum 3,500 characters</p> <p>b Include experience with leveraging all federal, state, local and private sector funds. If your organization has no experience leveraging other funds, include the phrase "No experience leveraging other federal, state, local, or private sector funds".</p>	<p>Source: Application</p>	<p>Extensive Experience with Leveraging Funds = 6 pts Some experience = 3 pts No Experience = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>4.67</p>	<p>5.25</p>	<p>5.25</p>
<p>b Applicant's Overall HMIS Data Completeness (Quality)</p>	<p>All HMIS projects are required to maintain satisfactory completeness (quality). If the applicant has current HMIS projects, all current projects will be used to determine the Agency's overall data completeness (quality). DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points Calc- Review the applicant agency's APR inclusive of all projects. Review sections 6A, 6B, 6C, 6D - Data Elements Percent age Error Rate?</p>	<p>Number of Categories with greater than 10% missing data: 0 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 3+ = 0 pts Applicant is a non-DV provider and does not have a currently operating projects that are entering data into HMIS = 0 pts</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>2.00</p>	<p>0.00</p>	<p>2.00</p>
Section 5 Totals			3	3.00	1.00	3.00

Section 6. Project Proposal & Performance (Outcomes)

58%

<p>Project Description and Scope: Maximum 3500 characters</p> <p>Provide a detailed description of the scope of the project including the target population(s) to be served, project plan for addressing the identified housing and supportive service needs, anticipated project outcome(s), coordination with other organizations (e.g., federal, state, nonprofit), and how the CoC Program funding will be used.</p> <p>Additionally, if your project implements service participation requirements or beyond what is typically included in a lease agreement, describe those requirements and how they will be implemented.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Project Description Narrative. Using all application content, the project addresses the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance</p>	<p>Clearly describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 13 pts Somewhat describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 6 pts Does not or vaguely describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 0 pts</p>	<p>13</p>	<p>12.00</p>	<p>12.50</p>	<p>11.50</p>
<p>Project Health Services Partnerships: Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?</p>	<p>Source: Application - Project Description Narrative. Using all application content and attachments, determine if the application formally addressed this coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding / Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.</p>	<p>Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 6 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 2 pt No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers but the narrative describes a relationship = 1 pts No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers and the narrative does not describe a relationship = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>6.00</p>	<p>4.00</p>	<p>6.00</p>
<p>Housing First/Low Barrier: To What Extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier? The project adheres to a Housing First model.</p>	<p>Source and Calc: Application Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire - Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)</p>	<p>Maximum of 24 pts.</p>	<p>24</p>	<p>20.00</p>	<p>18.00</p>	<p>21.00</p>
<p>Project Client Demographics/Target Populations: Describes the project's proposed populations to be served, including identifying targets, and information demonstrating an understanding of the needs of the clients they propose to serve. The type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Client Demographics/Target Population</p>	<p>Clearly defines the target demographics of the individuals/households to be served with details that demonstrates an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 10 pts Adequately defines the target demographic, but lacks some detail to demonstrate a full understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 5 pt. Vaguely defines the target demographic, does not demonstrate an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 0 pts</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>7.33</p>	<p>9.50</p>	<p>9.25</p>
<p>Project Performance Outcomes: Outcomes are the primary way for projects to demonstrate effectiveness and impact in effecting ending homelessness. Outcomes should be based on client accomplishments and not on the number of services/activities provided to an individual/household; demonstrate a positive impact on overall system performance Narrative should include the following Outcomes for all project types except SSO-CE. The standard being implemented for our CoC system is indicated in parenthesis. - Percent of participants/households that will exit to a permanent housing situation (80%) - Average Length of time from project enrollment to permanent housing placement (60 days or less) - Percent of adult participants that have increased Earned Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (10%) - Percent of adult participants that have increased Total Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (25%)</p>	<p>Source: Application - Performance Outcomes</p>	<p>Outcomes are concise, identified and measurable outcomes that can positively impact overall system performance and are not based on number of activities/services = 5 pts Outcomes are adequate, but not concise, included some that are based on number of activities/services, and adequately shows positive impact on overall system performance = 3 pts Outcomes are all based on number of services/activities provided, outcomes are vague, and/or do not demonstrate a positive impact on overall system performance = 0 pts</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>2.67</p>	<p>4.50</p>	<p>4.38</p>
<p>Project Budget and Financial Plan. The average cost per household served is reasonable, meaning that the f costs for housing and services provided by the project are consistent with the population the project plans to serve.</p>	<p>Source: Application - Detailed Financial Plan Narrative</p>	<p>Budget information is detailed, clear and complete; aligns with the project descriptions, presents a feasible project = 6 pts Budget information adequately provides necessary information for eligible costs, adequately aligns with the project descriptions, adequately presents a feasible project = 3 pts Budget information includes ineligible costs, vague details, missing key information to support project description and/or does not present a feasible project = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>5.00</p>	<p>5.50</p>	<p>3.50</p>
<p>Match Commitment</p>	<p>Source: Using Application - Match Narrative and Documentation of Commitment</p>	<p>Detailed, clear and complete match commitment documentation as required by HUD that indicates the applicant understands match and will be able to provide required project match = 6 pts Demonstrates a basic understanding of match, however the match commitment documentation does not meet HUD's requirements, and/or indicates the applicant may have difficulty providing necessary match = 3 pts Vague, missing required commitment documentation required by HUD and/or does not demonstrate an understanding of eligible match and/or the ability to provide required project match = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>3.33</p>	<p>5.63</p>	<p>5.88</p>
Section 6 Totals			70	56.33	59.63	61.50

Section 7. Overall Review

2%

<p>a Overall Proposal Presentation</p>	<p>The overall presentation of the proposal – content, flow of narratives, adherence to RFP instructions – demonstrates attention to detail and quality</p>	<p>Presented in a detailed, concise organized manner that was easy to understand and review = 3 pts Lacked attention to detail and overall organization of information making it difficult for the reviewer(s) to locate information needed to complete scoring = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>2.00</p>	<p>3.00</p>	<p>2.75</p>
Section 7 Totals			3	2.00	3.00	2.75
TOTAL SCORE (Sections 7): 121			121	98.00	96.88	103.00

Question: 1E-5b
Attachment Name: Local Competition Selection Results

Local Competition Selection Results

FL-513 Brevard County CoC
Collaborative Applicant - Brevard Homeless Coalition
FY2024 HUD CoC Competition Attachment Cover Page

**FY2024 HUD CoC Program
Local Competition Selection Results**

The Brevard Homeless Coalition (BHC), as the lead agency and collaborative applicant for the Brevard County CoC, hereby issues, on **October 8, 2024 the Local Competition Selection Results**, this Notice to Project Applicants of inclusion / exclusion for the FY24 HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO and Priority Listing.

Inclusions (accepted and will be ranked): The projects listed below will be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application at the amounts indicated in the "Amount Requested" column. All the projects will be listed on the FY24 Applications Project Priority Listing in the order listed below based on the CoC's Project Ranking Determination process. Included with this notice is the Renewal Project Scorecard for each of the scored Renewal and New Projects.

Exclusions (Rejected): There were two (2) projects submitted that will not be included in the FL-513 FY24 HUD-CoC Program Competition Application. One (1) due to a fatal flaw in the application and one (1) due to program ineligibility.

Application Amounts - Requested vs. Included (reduced or increased): The Amounts listed in the "Amounts Requested from HUD" column either match the amount the project requested or reflect a reduction based on the reallocation process and available funding.

PROJECT RANKING DETERMINATION

1. Critical System Renewal Projects - HMIS and Coordinated Entry - Recognizing that some CoC-funded projects are a basic requirement for overall CoC system processes and functioning, HMIS and Coordinated Entry dedicated projects will be ranked on the Project Priority Listing as numbers 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

2. Project Renewals - Project Renewals - All other renewal Projects will be ranked in order of: 1) first-year renewal projects by project type with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first, Rapid Rehousing (RRH) and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest and 2) all other renewal projects with Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects first and Rapid Rehousing (RRH) projects and Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid rehousing (TH-RRH) projects second; then by the project's Total ScoreCard score, in order of highest to lowest unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

3. Consolidated Renewals Project (incl. Expansions) – Consolidated Renewals will be ranked closest to the highest-ranking project of the two individual projects being consolidated and given the same ranking number as that project. For example, the highest ranking of the two projects is 5 and the lowest ranking is a 7, the consolidated project will also be placed as a second ranking of 5. There were no consolidations in this competition.

4. New Projects - including CoC and DV Bonus will be placed at the bottom of the ranking, in the order of the scores received during the RFP process, unless otherwise determined by HUD Directives and/or the Ranking and Review Committee.

Project Name	Score	Status	New/Renewal	Rank	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds	Cumulative Total	Tier
BHC - HMIS Renewal FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	1	\$71,455	\$0	\$71,455	1
BHC - CoC Coordinated Entry FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	2	\$71,785	\$0	\$143,240	1
BHC - DV Bonus SSO FY2024	N/A	Accepted	Renewal	3	\$18,529	\$0	\$161,769	1
Hfh Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	4	\$50,000	\$0	\$211,769	1
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing	N/A	Accepted	1st Time Renewal	5	\$50,541	\$0	\$262,310	1
Hfh Supportive Housing – PSH PH1 Project 2024-2025	89.63	Accepted	Renewal	6	\$429,038	\$0	\$691,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – PSH Heritage Park Renewal	83.00	Accepted	Renewal	7	\$20,000	\$0	\$711,348	1
Carrfour Supportive Housing – Heritage Park Expansion - FY24	78.50	Accepted	Renewal	8	\$190,122	\$0	\$901,470	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$15,909	\$0	\$917,379	1
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	80.38	Accepted	Renewal	9	\$3,411	\$0	\$920,790	2
Womens Center - RRH DV Bonus	108.67	Accepted	Renewal	10	\$98,520	\$0	\$1,019,310	2
Womens Center TH-RRH DV BONUS	103.00	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-11	\$102,963	\$0	\$1,122,273	2
WAYS for Life – TH-RRH Youth Housing - Expansion	96.88	Accepted	New	12	\$139,998	\$0	\$1,262,271	2
BHC - DV Bonus SSO Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New DV Bonus	DV-13	\$108,350	\$0	\$1,370,621	2
BHC - HMIS Expansion FY2024	N/A	Accepted	New-Expansion	14	\$29,052	\$0	\$1,399,673	2
BHC - Planning Grant FY24	PLAN - N/A	Accepted	Planning	N/A	\$70,438	\$0	\$1,470,111	0

Hfh Supportive Housing – Orchid Lake Expansion	98.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
Community of Hope – RRH Path of Hope 2024-2025	0.00	Rejected	New Expansion	not ranked	\$0	\$0	\$0	0

Tier 1 Total = 90% of ARD	\$ 917,379							
Tier 2 Total = remaining 10% ARD plus CoC Bonus (\$169,050)	\$ 270,981							
Total Tier 1 + Tier 2	\$ 1,188,360							
DV Bonus	\$ 211,313							
Total of Ranked Projects	\$ 1,399,673							
Planning Grant (excluded from ranking)	\$ 70,438							
Total FY24-25 HUD CoC Program Application	\$ 1,470,110							

Project Type
 HMIS - Homeless Management Information System
 SSO - Supportive Services Only
 PH - Permanent Supportive Housing
 RRH - Rapid Rehousing
 TH-RRH - Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing

Renewal Project Possible = 123
New Project Possible = 121

Question: 2A-6

Attachment Name: HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report

HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report

FL-513 Brevard County CoC
Collaborative Applicant - Brevard Homeless Coalition
FY2024 HUD CoC Competition Attachment Cover Page

2024 HDX Competition Report

This workbook contains summary information about your CoC's data as it was entered into HDX 1.0 and HDX 2.0 for your use as part of the 2024 Competition.

To Print this Workbook:

This document has been configured as printable with preset print areas of relevant sections. To print it, go to "File", then "Print", then select "Print Entire Workbook" or "Print Active Sheets" depending on your needs.

To Save This Workbook as a PDF:

Click the "File" Tab, then click "Save As" or "Save a Copy", then click "Browse" or "More Options" then select "PDF", click "Options", select "Entire Workbook", press "OK", and click "Save". These instructions may change depending on your version of Microsoft Excel.

On Accessibility, Navigability, and Printability:

This workbook attempts to maximize accessibility, navigability, printability, and ease of use. Merged cells have been avoided. All tables and text boxes have been given names. Extraneous rows and columns outside printed ranges have been hidden. Formulas may include references to hidden rows and columns or data tables. For ease of use, these referenced sources have been hidden but can be unhidden by any user at any time. Raw data sources contained in this workbook are named according to the module and fiscal year from which they originate - e.g. "HIC_2024" is the Housing Inventory Count raw data from Fiscal Year 2024.

For Questions:

If you have questions, please reach out to HUD via the "Ask a Question" page, <https://www.hudexchange.info/program-support/my-question/> and choose "HDX" as the topic.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - Summary

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

HDX Data Submission Participation Information

Government FY and HDX Module Abbreviation	Met Module Deadline*	Data From	Data Collection Period in HDX 2.0
2023 LSA	Yes	Government FY 2023 (10/1/22 - 9/30/23).	November 2023 to January of 2024
2023 SPM	Yes	Government FY 2023 (10/1/22 - 9/30/23).**	February 2024 to March 2024
2024 HIC	Yes	Government FY 2024. Exact HIC and PIT dates will vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it will be last Wednesday in January of 2024.	March 2024 to May 2024
2024 PIT	Yes	Government FY 2024. Exact HIC and PIT dates will vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it will be last Wednesday in January of 2024.	March 2024 to May 2024

1) FY = Fiscal Year

2) *This considers all extensions where they were provided.

2) **"Met Deadline" in this context refers to FY23 SPM submissions. Resubmissions from FY 2022 (10/1/21 - 9/30/22) were also accepted during the data collection period, but these previous year's submissions are voluntarily and are not required.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - LSA Summary & Usability Status

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

LSA Usability Status 2023

Category	ESTAO	ESTAC	ESTCO	RRHAO	RRHAC	RRHCO	PSHAO	PSHAC	PSHCO
Fully Usable				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Partially Usable	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						
Not Usable									

EST

Category	2021	2022	2023
Total Sheltered Count	1,364	1,592	1,006
AO	653	809	559
AC	558	566	272
CO	166	230	170

RRH

Category	2021	2022	2023
Total Sheltered Count	1,233	1,391	733
AO	488	478	290
AC	751	917	443
CO	0	3	1

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - LSA Summary & Usability Status

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

PSH

Category	2021	2022	2023
Total Sheltered Count	79	75	90
AO	48	51	67
AC	31	24	24
CO	0	0	0

- 1) Glossary: EST = Emergency Shelter, Save Haven, & Transitional Housing; RRH = Rapid Re-housing; PSH = Permanent Supportive Housing; AO = Persons in Households without Children; AC = Persons in Households with at least one Adult and one Child; CO = Persons in Households with only Children
- 2) Because people have multiple stays in shelter over the course of a year and stay in different household configurations, a single person can be counted in more than one household type. Therefore, the sum of the number of people by household type may be greater than the unique count of people.
- 3) Total Sheltered count only includes those served in HMIS participating projects reported by your CoC.
- 4) For CoCs that experienced mergers during any of these reporting periods, historical data will include only the original CoCs.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 1: Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless

This measures the number of clients active in the report date range across ES, SH (Metric 1.1) and then ES, SH and TH (Metric 1.2) along with their average and median length of time homeless. This includes time homeless during the report date range as well as prior to the report start date, going back no further than the look back stop date or client's date of birth, whichever is later.

Metric 1.1: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES and SH projects.

Metric 1.2: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES, SH, and TH projects.

a. This measure is of the client's entry, exit, and bed night dates strictly as entered in the HMIS system.

Metric	Universe (Persons)	Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)	Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)
1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, and SH	498	61.6	30.0
1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and TH	977	179.0	60.0

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

b. This measure is based on data element 3.917

This measure includes data from each client's Living Situation (Data Standards element 3.917) response as well as time spent in permanent housing projects between Project Start and Housing Move-In. This information is added to the client's entry date, effectively extending the client's entry date backward in time. This "adjusted entry date" is then used in the calculations just as if it were the client's actual entry date.

Metric	Universe (Persons)	Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)	Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)
1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	941	140.6	67.0
1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	1,407	196.6	81.0

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness for Persons who Exit to Permanent Housing (PH) Destinations

This measures clients who exited SO, ES, TH, SH or PH to a permanent housing destination in the date range two years prior to the report date range. Of those clients, the measure reports on how many of them returned to homelessness as indicated in the HMIS for up to two years after their initial exit.

Metric	Total # of Persons Exited to a PH Destination (2 Yrs Prior)	Returns to Homelessness in Less than 6 Months (0 - 180 days)		Returns to Homelessness from 6 to 12 Months (181 - 365 days)		Returns to Homelessness from 13 to 24 Months (366 - 730 days)		Number of Returns in 2 Years	
	Count	Count	% of Returns	Count	% of Returns ⁴	Count	% of Returns ⁶	Count	% of Returns ⁸
Exit was from SO	71	2	2.8%	4	5.6%	8	11.3%	14	19.7%
Exit was from ES	252	50	19.8%	8	3.2%	26	10.3%	84	33.3%
Exit was from TH	191	20	10.5%	6	3.1%	7	3.7%	33	17.3%
Exit was from SH	18	5	27.8%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	6	33.3%
Exit was from PH	345	18	5.2%	24	7.0%	22	6.4%	64	18.6%
TOTAL Returns to Homelessness	877	95	10.8%	42	4.8%	64	7.3%	201	22.9%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons

Metric 3.1 – Change in PIT Counts

Please refer to PIT section for relevant data.

Metric 3.2 – Change in Annual Counts

This measures the change in annual counts of sheltered homeless persons in HMIS.

Metric	Value
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	1,122
Emergency Shelter Total	577
Safe Haven Total	59
Transitional Housing Total	504

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 4: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Program-funded Projects

This measure is divided into six tables capturing employment and non-employment income changes for system leavers and stayers. The project types reported in these metrics are the same for each metric, but the type of income and universe of clients differs. In addition, the projects reported within these tables are limited to CoC-funded projects.

Metric 4.1 – Change in earned income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	57
Number of adults with increased earned income	3
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	5.3%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 4.2 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	57
Number of adults with increased non-employment cash income	22
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	38.6%

Metric 4.3 – Change in total income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	57
Number of adults with increased total income	25
Percentage of adults who increased total income	43.9%

Metric 4.4 – Change in earned income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	143
Number of adults who exited with increased earned income	25
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	17.5%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 4.5 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	143
Number of adults who exited with increased non-employment cash income	13
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	9.1%

Metric 4.6 – Change in total income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	143
Number of adults who exited with increased total income	31
Percentage of adults who increased total income	21.7%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 5: Number of Persons who Become Homeless for the First Time

This measures the number of people entering the homeless system through ES, SH, or TH (Metric 5.1) or ES, SH, TH, or PH (Metric 5.2) and determines whether they have any prior enrollments in the HMIS over the past two years. Those with no prior enrollments are considered to be experiencing homelessness for the first time.

Metric 5.1 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, and TH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

Metric	Value
Universe: Person with entries into ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH or TH during the reporting period.	835
Of persons above, count those who were in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	144
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time)	691

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 5.2 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, TH, and PH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

Metric	Value
Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH, TH or PH during the reporting period.	1,335
Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	251
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.)	1,084

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 6: Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category 3 of HUD's Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects

Measure 6 is not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.

Measure 7: Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing

This measures positive movement out of the homeless system and is divided into three tables: movement off the streets from Street Outreach (Metric 7a.1); movement into permanent housing situations from ES, SH, TH, and RRH (Metric 7b.1); and retention or exits to permanent housing situations from PH (other than PH-RRH).

Metric 7a.1 – Change in SO exits to temp. destinations, some institutional destinations, and permanent housing destinations

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons who exit Street Outreach	670
Of persons above, those who exited to temporary & some institutional destinations	64
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	158
% Successful exits	33.1%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 7b.1 – Change in ES, SH, TH, and PH-RRH exits to permanent housing destinations

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH and PH-RRH who exited, plus persons in other PH projects who exited without moving into housing	940
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	608
% Successful exits	64.7%

Metric 7b.2 – Change in PH exits to permanent housing destinations or retention of permanent housing

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH who exited after moving into housing, or who moved into housing and remained in the PH project	141
Of persons above, those who remained in applicable PH projects and those who exited to permanent housing destinations	134
% Successful exits/retention	95.0%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

System Performance Measures Data Quality

Data coverage and quality will allow HUD to better interpret your SPM submissions.

Metric	All ES, SH	All TH	All PSH, OPH	All RRH	All Street Outreach
Unduplicated Persons Served (HMIS)	642	506	290	815	591
Total Leavers (HMIS)	535	305	43	502	405
Destination of Don't Know, Refused, or Missing (HMIS)	21	51	5	14	197
Destination Error Rate (Calculated)	3.9%	16.7%	11.6%	2.8%	48.6%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Notes

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Notes For Each SPM Measure

Note: Cells may need to be resized to accommodate notes with lots of text.

Measure	Notes
Measure 1	No notes.
Measure 2	No notes.
Measure 3	No notes.
Measure 4	No notes.
Measure 5	No notes.
Measure 6	No Notes. Measure 6 was not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.
Measure 7	No notes.
Data Quality	The exit destinations of Don't Know, Refused, or Missing for ES, SH, and TH majorly reflect clients that unexpectedly and suddenly left the program without staff knowledge, and without the ability to conduct an exit interview. For the PH-type projects, and SO, the majority of those with these exit destinations were still homeless, had not yet obtained housing, and ultimately lost contact and were unable to be found by program staff, also resulting in the inability to conduct the exit interview.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - HIC Summary

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2024

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current Beds in HMIS or Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds	Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV [†] Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster*	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds	Adjusted HMIS Bed Coverage Rate for Year-Round, Current Beds
ES	81	55	55	0	55	100.0%
SH	17	17	17	0	17	100.0%
TH	283	262	262	0	262	100.0%
RRH	385	364	364	0	364	100.0%
PSH	328	79	328	0	328	24.1%
OPH	514	208	514	158	356	58.4%
Total	1,608	985	1,540	158	1,382	71.3%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report -
 FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/E
 For HIC conducted in January/Fe

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds	Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV [†] Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster**	Adjusted Total Year-Round Current, VSP Beds	HMIS Comparable Bed Coverage Rate for VSP Beds
ES	81	26	26	0	26	100.00%
SH	17	0	0	0	0	NA
TH	283	21	21	0	21	100.00%
RRH	385	0	21	0	21	0.00%
PSH	328	0	0	0	0	NA
OPH	514	0	0	0	0	NA
Total	1,608	47	68	0	68	69.12%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report -
 FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/E
 For HIC conducted in January/Fe

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP and VSP Beds	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate
ES	81	81	81	100.00%
SH	17	17	17	100.00%
TH	283	283	283	100.00%
RRH	385	364	385	94.55%
PSH	328	79	328	24.09%
OPH	514	208	356	58.43%
Total	1,608	1,032	1,450	71.17%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - HIC Summary

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2024

Rapid Re-housing Beds Dedicated to All Persons

Metric	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
RRH beds available to serve all pops. on the HIC	273	566	920	125	385

1) † EHV = Emergency Housing Voucher

2) *This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds not associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.

3) **This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating or HMIS-comparable database participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, VSP, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.

4) Data included in these tables reflect what was entered into HDX 2.0.

5) In the HIC, "Year-Round Beds" is the sum of "Beds HH w/o Children", "Beds HH w/ Children", and "Beds HH w/ only Children". This does not include Overflow ("O/V Beds") or Seasonal Beds ("Total Seasonal Beds").

6) In the HIC, "Current" beds are beds with an "Inventory Type" of "C" and not beds that are Under Development ("Inventory Type" of "U").

7) For historical data: Aggregated data from CoCs that merged are not displayed if HIC data were created separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - PIT Summary

FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

For PIT conducted in January/February of 2024

Submission Information

Date of PIT Count	Received HUD Waiver
1/25/24	Not Applicable

Total Population PIT Count Data

Category	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
PIT Count Type	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered-Only Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count
Emergency Shelter Total	72	57	65	193	87	81
Safe Haven Total	0	20	18	18	19	10
Transitional Housing Total	346	289	349	262	281	246
Total Sheltered Count	418	366	432	473	387	337
Total Unsheltered Count	397	574	0	443	665	779
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count*	815	940	432	916	1,052	1,116

1) *Data included in this table reflect what was entered into HDX 1.0 and 2.0. This may differ from what was included in federal reports if the PIT count type was either sheltered only or partial unsheltered count.

2) Aggregated data from CoCs that merged is not displayed if PIT data were entered separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.

3) In 2021, for CoCs that conducted a "Sheltered and partial unsheltered count", only aggregate and not demographic data were collected.

Question: 3A-1a.

Attachment Name: Housing Leveraging Commitments

Housing Leveraging Commitments

FL-513 Brevard County CoC

Collaborative Applicant - Brevard Homeless Coalition

FY2024 HUD CoC Competition Attachment Cover Page



October 24, 2024

Ms. Pamela Bress
Executive Director
WAYS for Life
1401 Guava Ave. 2nd Floor
Melbourne, FL 32935

Dear Ms. Pamela Bress,

Wings of Grace, a non-profit 501(c)3 corporation whose mission is to inspire teenagers to improve and prosper their lives through the use of good decision making skills, is pleased to provide this letter of support for WAYS for Life's new project, the "**WAYS for Life Youth Housing Expansion Project 2025**," which is included in the Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) FY2024 and FY2025 Continuum of Care (CoC) Competition.

Wings of Grace is constructing 24 one-bedroom units in Melbourne, Florida, specifically for transition-aged youth. These units are being built using private, non-government funding, and we anticipate completion by the summer of 2025. As part of our ongoing collaboration, we are pleased to dedicate 20 of these units to the WAYS for Life project, which will assist 20 households with permanent housing as outlined in our active Memorandum of Understanding (MOU).

This partnership ensures that the youth enrolled in WAYS for Life will have access to stable housing, complemented by the supportive services provided by WAYS through the Rapid Rehousing component of their project. By working together, we are addressing the pressing housing needs of homeless youth in Brevard County, ensuring they have a secure foundation to rebuild their lives and achieve long-term success.

Wings of Grace is proud to continue this collaboration and looks forward to our joint efforts in serving the youth we both support. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "D. Bell", is positioned above the printed name of the sender.

Dwight W. Bell
President

444 Grove Lane, Suite 104, Melbourne, FL 32901

Question: 3A-2a.
Attachment Name: Healthcare Formal Agreements

Healthcare Formal Agreements

FL-513 Brevard County CoC
Collaborative Applicant - Brevard Homeless Coalition
FY2024 HUD CoC Competition Attachment Cover Page

BrevardHEALTH Alliance

September 11, 2024

Ms. Pamela Bress
1401 Guava Ave, 2nd Floor
Melbourne, FL 32935

Dear Ms. Bress,

Brevard Health Alliance ("BHA") is pleased to provide this letter of support for WAYS for Life's ***New Youth Housing Expansion FY2024*** Joint Transitional Housing (TH) and Rapid Rehousing (RRH) expansion project in the Department of Housing and Urban Developments (HUD) FY 2024 and FY 2025 Continuum of Care Competition. WAYS for Life, formerly known as Ready for Life Brevard, is a 501c3 nonprofit organization and drop-in center providing Wraparound Youth Services (WAYS) to help teens and young adults ages 15-25 avoid homelessness and crime. BHA is pleased to work in partnership with WAYS for Life to provide healthcare services to youth participants of this project between ***September 1, 2025 - August 31, 2026***. Please note that project eligibility for program participants in the new project will be based on CoC Program fair housing requirements and will not be restricted by the health care service provider.

The Brevard Health Alliance (BHA), Brevard County's Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC), has provided care to the underserved community of Brevard County since March 2005. Consistent with the Health Resources Services Administration Health Center Program's mission, our target population includes the uninsured, underinsured, Medicaid and Medicare populations, homeless, children, working poor, residents of public housing, and vulnerable populations. Our health center provides primary care services, preventive screenings, dental care, mental health care, women's health care, and pharmacy services to all.

The BHA shall provide comprehensive primary care to the project's participants and is confident that WAYS for Life will continue to provide much needed housing and supportive services for the vulnerable youth of our community. The value of our services is approximately **\$35,000** for residents on an annual basis. BHA looks forward to this collaboration with WAYS for Life. If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at 321-241-6868.

Sincerely,



Austin Helton, CEO

Advancing Community Health

4315 WOODLAND PARK DRIVE MELBOURNE, FLORIDA 32904
321.241.6868 | 321.241.6890 Fax
WWW.BREVARDHEALTH.ORG | @BREVARDHEALTHALLIANCE

BrevardHEALTHAlliance

September 11, 2024

Ms. Stephanie Husted
1565 Sarno Rd, Suite C
Melbourne, FL 32935

Dear Ms. Husted,

Brevard Health Alliance ("BHA") is pleased to provide this letter of support for South Brevard Women's Centers *New TH-RRH DV Bonus Project - FY24* Joint Transitional Housing (TH) and Rapid Rehousing (RRH) project in the Department of Housing and Urban Developments (HUD) FY 2024 and FY 2025 Continuum of Care Competition.

BHA is pleased to work in partnership with South Brevard Women's Center to provide healthcare services to participants of this project between **September 1, 2025 - August 31, 2026**. Please note that project eligibility for program participants in the new project will be based on CoC Program fair housing requirements and will not be restricted by the health care service provider.

The Brevard Health Alliance (BHA), Brevard County's Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC), has provided care to the underserved community of Brevard County since March 2005. Consistent with the Health Resources Services Administration Health Center Program's mission, our target population includes the uninsured, underinsured, Medicaid and Medicare populations, homeless, children, working poor, residents of public housing, and vulnerable populations. Our health center provides primary care services, preventive screenings, dental care, mental health care, women's health care, and pharmacy services to all.

The BHA is confident that South Brevard Women's Center will continue to provide much needed housing and supportive services for survivors of domestic and other forms of violence in our community. The BHA shall provide comprehensive primary care to the project's participants with a total value of services totaling at approximately **\$26,250** for residents on an annual basis. BHA looks forward to this collaboration with South Brevard Women's Center. If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at 321-241-6868.

Sincerely,



Austin Helton, CEO

Advancing Community Health

4315 WOODLAND PARK DRIVE MELBOURNE, FLORIDA 32904
321.241.6868 | 321.241.6890 Fax
WWW.BREVARDDHEALTH.ORG | @BREVARDDHEALTHALLIANCE