Renewal Projects

					Maximum Pts	Carrfour HP Score	Carrfour Exp 1 Score	HfH PH1 Score	COH RRH Score	South Brevard Women's Center DV RRH Score
						Carrfour	Carrfour	HfH Supportive Housing	Community of Hope	South Brevard Women's Center
Section 1.	**Organizational Capacity & Grant Management**			**11%**						
a	**Did the project meet the Match requirements per HUD and CoC regulations (at least 25% or 0.25:1)?**	Provision of Project Match is required by HUD and lack of required match is a compliance issue.	Source: Match Documentation Letters for most recently ended grant term Calc: Percent of Match documented against total funds expended NOTE: For projects with a leasing component, leasing funds do not require a Match. For these projects, the required Match of 25% applies to the total amount of expended funds minus the total amount of leasing funds.	**>25% = 2 pts** **25% = 1 pt** **<25% = 0 pts**	2	1	1	2	1	2
b	**In the project's most recently ended grant year, what percentage of awarded funds were expended and drawn down from HUD?**	Projects not fully using their award amount leaves resources unutilized that could have supported another community project.	Source: Project eLOCCS Printout – Budget Tab Calc: Divide the amount of funding expended by the total award amount	**90%+ = 2 pts** **85-89% = 1.5 pts** **80-84% = 1 pt** **75-79% = 0.5 pts** **70-74% = 0.25 pts** **<70% = 0 pts**	2	2	1	2	2	2
c	**What percent of CoC project funding was used for housing vs other activities/services?**	HUD looks to maximize HUD funds for housing and utilize Match from other sources to provide supportive services in order to maximize the amount of households served.	Source: Project eLOCCS Printout – Budget Tab Calc: Divide the amount of rental assistance or leasing expended by the total amount expended	**80-100% = 3 pts** **70-79% = 2 pts** **60-69% = 1 pt** **<60% = 0 pts**	3	0	0	0	1	2
d	**Did the project draw down funds from eLOCCS at least quarterly during the most recently ended grant term?**	Timeliness of drawdowns is specifically stated in the FY24 HUD CoC Program Competition NOFO.	Source: Project eLOCCS Printout – Voucher Tab listing each time the project drew down funds. Calc: Based on the project's grant term, was a draw down completed at least once every quarter?	**Yes = 1 pt** **No = 0 pts**	1	1	1	1	1	1
e	**OVERALL ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY - Does the agency have the staff to client ratio and organizational structure to perform the project and services proposed?**	It is critical that an organization be capable and resourced to carry out the project and services proposed in their application.	Source: Project Application and Attachments - Organizaitonal Budget, Most Recently submitted Federal Form 990, Most Recent Finnacial Audit including Supplementary Information and Other Reports and The Management Letter Calc: Answer Yes or No	**Yes = 5 pts** **No = 0 pts**	5	5	5	4.75	4.125	5
				Section 1. Total Score:	13	9	8	9.75	9.125	12
Section 2.	**Equity & Inclusion**			**11%**						
a	**Client Racial Equity Analysis: Data Quality**	HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems. Analysis of CoC Data has shown that Black people are disproportionately affected by homelessness.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" from the "Race" line in Table 6a.	**0% = 2 pts** **1%-14% = 1 pt** **15% or more = 0 pts**	2	2	2	2	2	2
b	**Client Racial Equity Analysis**	HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems. Analysis of CoC Data has shown that people of color are disproportionately affected by homelessness.	Source: HUD APR Report and Brevard CoC LSA Report Calc: Divide "Total" of "White" from table 12a by line 2, "Total Number of Persons Served", from table 5a to get the % of white served. Subtract that % from 100% to get the % of people of color served. Brevard CoC LSA Report shows White Population = 45% People of Color Population = 54%	**>54% = 3 pts** **50% - 53% = 2 pts** **47% - 49% = 1 pt** **46% or less = 0 pts** **OR a score of 0 pts was received in the previous section, "Client Racial Equity Analysis: Data Quality" = 0 pts**	3	3	0	0	3	2
c	**Agency Lived Experience Analysis**	HUD has stated an interest in including those with lived experience within homeless response systems.	**Source:** Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details **Calc:** Count each staff member and board director who has lived experience with homelessness and the homeless response system to determine the point value	**5+ = 3 pts** **3-4 = 2 pts** **1-2 = 1 pt** **0 = 0 pts**	3	3	3	3	2	3
d	**Agency Racial Ethnicity Equity Analysis**	HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems.	**Source:** Agency Board of Directors and Organizational Chart Details **Calc:** Count each racial/ethnic/gender category within the organization's staff and Board of Directors to determine the point value.	**5+ = 3 pts** **3-4 = 2 pts** **1-2 = 1 pt** **0 = 0 pts**	3	3	3	3	3	3
e	**EQUITY & INCLUSION FROM APPLICATION** Does the Project application address equity and inclusion in all areas of the project? 1) Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals Narrative 2) Agency Racial Equity Narrative 3) Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience Narrative Lived Experience specific activities (or similar) listed below, will be scored •Representation on the organization's Board of Director's or other decision-making board •CoC Lived Experience Committee •Emphasis on hiring Person with Lived Experience •Use of Peer Mentors that provide feedback •Satisfaction surveys / comment cards	HUD has stated an interest in including those wiht lived experience, addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems, and improving assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals is one of HUD's priorities in the FY2024 CoC NOFO HUD states CoCs and projects should address the needs of LGBTQ+, transgender, gender non-conforming, and non-binary individuals and families including privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation in projects.	**Source:** Using all application content, the project proposal has made equity and inclusion a priority.	Agency already demonstates equity and inclusion with a plan to increase = 3 pts Clear plan for inclusion and equity = 2 pts No plan = 0 pts	3	3	3	1.375	0	2.66667
				Section 2. Total Score:	14	14	11	9.375	10	12.6667
Section 3.	**CoC Participation**			**3%**						
a	**Applicant is classified as an "Active" Member of the Continuum of Care (CoC) by attending at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings held in the past 12 months.**	HUD expects that all CoC-funded projects actively participate within the CoC.	Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 – July 2024) Calc: Enter Yes if project applicant attended at least 75% of CoC Membership Meetings	**Yes = 1 pts** **No = 0 pts**	1	1	1	1	1	1
b	**Applicant agency has a leadership role in the Brevard County CoC as evidence by at least 1 of the agency's paid staff serving as Chair or Co-Chair of a CoC Committee or holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council**	HUD expects that all CoC-funded projects actively participate within the CoC.	Source: CoC Agency Attendance Report (August 2023 – July 2024) Calc: Enter Yes if applicant is listed as having 1+ paid staff who holds a seat on the CoC Advisory Council and/or serves as Chair/Co-Chair on a CoC Committee	**Applicant staff member Chairs/Co-Chairs a Committee and/or holds a seat on the Council = 1 pt** **Applicant staff member does NOT Chair/Co-Chair a Committee or hold a Council seat = 0 pts**	1	1	1	1	1	0

	Renewal Projects				Maximum Pts	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score
c	**Coordinated Entry -** How active is the applicant agency in helping households access the coordinated entry system?	HUD has stated that all homeless assistance organizations should be involved in the coordinated entry process by helping households access the system and receive referrals.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Access Point Table Calc: Percent of households entered into Coordinated Entry by the applicant in comparison to all system entries	**>10% = 2 pts** **3%-10% = 1 pt** **0%-2% = 0 pts**	2	1	1	0	1	0
				Section 3. Total Score:	4	3	3	2	3	1

Section 4. Coordinated Entry — 3%

a	**Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches:** % of Matches that were of the Highest Acuity Clients	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT and Rubric are the tools our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Highest Acuity Matches" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Highest Acuity Matches for Agency" to determine points **Project Based Vouchers (PBV) assume highest points due to referral process with Housing Authority.*	**14%+ = 2 pts** **9% - 13% = 1 pts** **5% - 8% = 0.5 pt** **up to 4% = 0 pts**	2	2	2	2	2	2
b	**Coordinated Entry Referrals/Matches:** % of Matches from the Coordinated Entry List	HUD has stated that CoCs should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need and prioritize resources to those with the greatest need. The VI-SPDAT and Rubric are the tools our CoC has chosen for this task.	Source: FY 2024 Coordinated Entry Audit Report: Agency Matches table, "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" column Calc: Review the applicant agency's "% of Matches taken from Coordinated Entry List" to determine points	**>10% = 2 pts** **3%-10% = 1.0 pt** **0%-2% = 0 pts**	2	1	1	2	2	2
				Section 4. Total Score:	4	3	3	4	4	4

Section 5. Project Performance (Outcomes (HMIS DATA)) — 51%

a	**OVERALL PROJECT PERFORMANCE-** Project Application describes how the project will help improve the performance of the community's overall system, fills a gap/need within our system and move the community forward in achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals to make homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring in our CoC. Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	Comprehensive project applications should address these components.	Source & Calc: Application printout from Esnaps. Using all application content, the project describes the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance	**Clearly describes = 5 pts** **Somewhat describes = 3 pts** **Does not or vaguely describes = 0 pts**	5	5	5	3.5	3	5
b	Did the project application prove through official partner agreements, how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	In accordance with HUD's Policy Priorities, CoC's should work closely with public and private healthcare organizations, PHA's, and local workforce development centers to maximize the use of resources available to end homelesssness.	Source: Coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding/Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.	**Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts** **Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts** **Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 2 pts** **Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 1 pt** **No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers = 0 pts**	4	3	3	4	0	6
c	**Housing First/Low Barrier:** To what extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier?	HUD has expressly stated that programs need to follow a housing first/low barrier philosophy.	Source and Calc: Completed Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire – Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)	**Maximum of 24 pts**	24	24	24	24	24	21
d	**Housing Stability:** % of persons who remained in the PH project as of the end of the operating year or exited to a positive housing destination.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	"Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Add the total "Number of Stayers" from table 5a, line 9, to the Subtotal from the Permanent Situations Total column on table 23c and divide by line 2 of table 5a"	**90%+ = 5 pts** **80% -89% = 3 pts** **75% - 79% = 1 pt** **< 75% = 0 pts**	5	5	5	5	3	5
e	**Length of Time to Housing:** Average number of days between Project Enrollment Date and Housing Move-in Date	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the "Average length of time to housing" number of days from the "Total" column found on table 22c	**< 30 days = 3 pts** **31-60 days = 2 pts** **61-90 days = 1 pt** **91+ days = 0 pts**	3	3	3	3	1	3
f	**Income Total:** % of persons age 18 and older who increased their total income (from all sources) from project start to latest status.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a1.	**50% + = 5 pts** **40% - 49% = 4 pts** **30% - 39% = 3 pts** **20% - 29% = 2 pts** **10% - 19% = 1 pt** **< 9% = 0 pts**	5	0	0	0	0	5
g	**Income Total:** % of persons age 18 and older who increased their total income (from all sources) from project start to project exit.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: Enter the percentage found in the cross section of the "Number of Adults with Any Income" row and the "Performance Measures: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure" from table 19a2.	**50% + = 5 pts** **40% - 49% = 4 pts** **30% - 39% = 3 pts** **20% - 29% = 2 pts** **10% - 19% = 1 pt** **< 9% = 0 pts**	5	0	0	0	0	5
h	**Entered From:** % of Participants admitted directly from the street or other locations not meant for human habitation, Safe Haven or Emergency Shelters.	HUD emphasizes the Housing First philosophy and requires both PSH and RRH to assist persons directly from the street, emergency shelter, or Safe Haven as a best practice to reducing a person's length of time spent homeless.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 15. From the "Homeless Situations" column, add the numbers from the following rows: "Emergency shelter", "Place not meant for human habitation", and "Safe Haven." Divide total figure by "Number of Adults (age 18 or over)" from table 5a, line 2.	**75% + = 3 pts** **65% -74% = 2 pts** **50% - 64% = 1 pt** **< 49% = 0 pts**	3	0	0	1	2	3

	Renewal Projects				Maximum Pts	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score
i	**Exits to Homelessness:** Less than 5% of program exits will be to another homeless destination	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: From table 23c, take the Subtotal from the Homeless Situations Total column and divide by line 2 of table 5a	**5% or less = 3 pts** **6% - 10% = 2 pts** **11% - 24% = 1 pt** **> 25% = 0 pts**	3	3	3	3	3	3
j	**Non-cash Benefits - Annual:** % of households with non-cash benefits at annual assessment.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in Row "1+ Sources" and Column "Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers" from table 20b. Divide number by Line 15 from Section 5a.	**85% + = 3 pts** **50% – 84% = 1 pt** **<50% = 0 pts**	3	0	0	1	1	3
k	**Non-cash Benefits - Exit:** % of households with non-cash benefits at program exit.	It is expected that projects help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	Source: HUD APR Report Calc: See Number in table 20b in row "1+ Sources" and column "Benefit at Exit for Leavers". Divide number by Line 8 from Section 5a.	**85% + = 3 pts** **50% – 84% = 1 pt** **<50% = 0 pts**	3	0	0	1	1	3
				Section 5. Total Score:	63	43	43	45.5	38	62

Section 6. Project Populations (HMIS DATA) 7%

a	**% of Chronically Homeless Persons Served**	Ending Chronic homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 12 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	**PSH Projects** **100% = 1 pt** **99% - 50% = 0.5 pts** **< 49% = 0 pts** **RRH Projects** **> 50% = 1 pt** **26% - 49% = 0.5 pts** **< 25% = 0 pts**	1	0.5	0	0	0	0
b	**% of Veterans Served**	Ending Veteran homelessness is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 11 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	**> 50% = 1 pt** **26% - 49% = 0.5 pts** **< 25% = 0 pts**	1	0	0	0	0	0
c	**% of Youth (Under the Age of 25) Served**	Effectively ending unaccompanied youth (UAY) is a federal and local goal	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 13 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	**> 50% = 1 pt** **26% - 49% = 0.5 pts** **< 25% = 0 pts**	1	0	0	0	0	0
d	**% Parenting Youth Under the Age of 25 with Children Served**	Parenting youth is a sub-population of unaccompanied youth (UAY) as well as families with children	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a Calc: Divide Line 14 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	**> 50% = 1 pt** **26% - 49% = 0.5 pts** **< 25% = 0 pts**	1	0	0	0	0	0
e	**% Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence**	Persons fleeing domestic violence are a population of concern in HUD and local goals	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 14b Calc: Divide Total in section 14b by Line 2 of Section 5a.	**> 50% = 1 pt** **26% - 49% = 0.5 pts** **< 25% = 0 pts**	1	0	0	0	0	1
f	**Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by no income at entry.**	Participants with no income at entry are considered harder to serve than those with income at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - Table 5a and 18 Calc: Take the number of "Adults with no Income" from the "Number of Adults at Start" Column and divide it by Line 2 of Section 5a.	**50% + = 2 pts** **< 50% = 0 pts**	2	0	0	0	0	0
g	**Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by 2 or more physical/mental health conditions at entry.**	Participants with multiple physical/mental health conditions at entry are considered harder to serve than those with no or 1 condition at program entry.	Source: HUD APR Report - 13a2: Calc: In the "Total Persons" column on table 13a2, add the number in "2 conditions" and "3+ Conditions". Divide this number by the "Total Persons" number shown in Section 13a2.	**50% + = 2 pts** **< 50% = 0 pts**	2	0	0	0	0	0
				Section 6. Total Score:	9	0.5	0	0	0	1

Section 7. HMIS Data Quality 13%

a	**Project's Data Quality:** Universal Data Elements: Project Entry Date	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report – 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Project Start Date" from table 6b.	**0% = 2 pts** **1% - 3% = 1 pt** **4% - 10% = 0.5 pts** **11% or > = 0 pts**	2	0	0	2	2	2
b	**Project's Data Quality:** Universal Data Elements: Relationship to Head of Household	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report – 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Relationship to Head of Household" from table 6b.	**0% = 2 pts** **1% - 3% = 1 pt** **4% - 10% = 0.5 pts** **11% or > = 0 pts**	2	2	2	2	2	2
c	**Project's Data Quality:** Universal Data Elements: Disabling Condition	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report – 6b Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Disabling Condition" from table 6b.	**0% = 2 pts** **1% - 3% = 1 pt** **4% - 10% = 0.5 pts** **11% or > = 0 pts**	2	0.5	0.5	2	2	2
d	**Project's Data Quality:** Destination	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Destination" from 6c.	**0% = 2 pts** **1% - 3% = 1 pt** **4% - 10% = 0.5 pts** **11% or > = 0 pts**	2	2	2	2	2	2
e	**Project's Data Quality:** Income at Entry	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Start" from table 6c.	**0% = 2 pts** **1% - 3% = 1 pt** **4% - 10% = 0.5 pts** **11% or > = 0 pts**	2	0	0	2	2	2
f	**Project's Data Quality:** Income at Annual Assessment	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Annual Assessment" from table 6c.	**0% = 2 pts** **1% - 3% = 1 pt** **4% - 10% = 0.5 pts** **11% or > = 0 pts**	2	2	2	5	2	2
g	**Project's Data Quality:** Income at Exit	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6c Calc: Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Income Sources at Exit" from table 6c.	**0% = 2 pts** **1% - 3% = 1 pt** **4% - 10% = 0.5 pts** **11% or > = 0 pts**	2	2	2	2	2	2
h	**Project's Data Quality:** Chronic Homelessnes	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR). A project's data completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.	Source: HUD APR Report - 6d Calc: Enter "% of records unable to calculate" from the "Total" row from table 6d.	**0% = 2 pts** **1% - 3% = 1 pt** **4% - 10% = 0.5 pts** **11% or > = 0 pts**	2	2	2	2	2	2
				Section 7. Total Score:	16	10.5	10.5	19	16	16
	TOTAL SCORE (Sections 1-7):				123	83	78.5	89.625	80.125	108.667

| | | |

b	**Experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds.** Maximum 3,500 characters Include experience with leveraging all federal, state, local and private sector funds. If your organization has no experience leveraging other funds, include the phrase 'No experience leveraging other federal, state, local, or private sector funds'.	**Source:** Application	Extensive Expereince withLeveraging Funds = 6 pts Some experience = 3 pts No Experience = 0pts	6	4.67	5.25	5.25
b	**Applicant's Overall HMIS Data Completeness (Quality)**	All HMIS projects are required to maintain satisfactory completeness (quality). If the applicant has current HMIS projects, all current projects will be used to determine the Agency's overall data completeness (quality). DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points Calc: Review the applicant agency's APR inclusive of all projects. Review secitons 6A, 6B, 6C, 6D - Data Elements Prercentage Error Rate?	Number of Categories with greater than 10% missing data: 0 = 2 pts 1-2 = 1 pt 3+ = 0 pts Applicant is a non-DV provider and does not have a currently operating projects that are entering data into HMIS = 0 pts	2	2.00	0.00	2.00
			Section 5 Totals	3	3.00	1.00	3.00

Section 6. Project Proposal & Performance (Outcomes) — 58%

a	**Project Description and Scope:** Maximum 3500 characters Provide a detailed description of the scope of the project including the target population(s) to be served, project plan for addressing the identified housing and supportive service needs, anticipated project outcome(s), coordination with other organizations (e.g., federal, state, nonprofit), and how the CoC Program funding will be used. Additionally, if your project implements service participation requirements or beyond what is typically included in a lease agreement, describe those requirements and how they will be implemented.	**Source:** Application - Project Description Narrative. Using all application content, the project addresses the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance	Clearly describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals. = 13 pts Somewhat describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 6 pts Does not or vaguely describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 0 pts	13	12.00	12.50	11.50
b	**Project Health Services Partnerships:** Did the project application address how mainstream health services, social services, and employment programs would be formally coordinated and integrated into the project?	Source: Application - Project Description Narrative. Using all application content and attachments, determine if the application formally addressed this coordination and integration into the project as evidenced by Memorandum(s) of Understanding / Agreement (MOU/MOA) with health service, social service, and employment service providers.	Agency MOU/MOA with 4 or more health, social, and/or employment service providers = 6 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 3 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 4 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 2 health, social, and/or employment service providers = 3 pts Agency MOU/MOA with 1 health, social, or employment service providers = 2 pt No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers but the narrative describes a relationship = 1 pts No MOU/MOA exists between agency and health, social, or employment service providers and the narrative does not describe a relationship = 1 pts	6	6.00	4.00	6.00
c	**Housing First/Low Barrier:** To What Extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier? The project adheres to a Housing First model.	**Source and Calc:** Application Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire – Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)	Maximum of 24 pts.	24	20.00	18.00	21.00
d	**Project Client Demographics/Target Populations**: Describes the project's proposed populations to be served, including identifying targets, and information demonstrating an understanding of the needs of the clients they propose to serve. The type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants.	**Source:** Application - Client Demographicss/Target Population	Clearly defines the target demographics of the individuals/households to be served with details that demonstrates an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 10 pts Adequately defines the target demographic, but lacks some detail to demonstrate a full understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 5 pt. Vaguely defines the target demographic, does not demonstrate an understanding of the needs of those they propose to serve = 0 pts	10	7.33	9.50	9.25
e	**Project Performance Outcomes:** Outcomes are the primary way for projects to demonstrate effectiveness and impact in effectible ending homelessness. Outcomes should be based on client accomplishments and not on the number of services/activities provided to an individual/household; demonstrate a positive impact on overall system performance Narrative should include the following Outcomes for all project types except SSO-CE. The standard being implemented for our CoC system is indicated in parenthesis. · Percent of participants/households that will exit to a permanent housing situation (80%) · Average Length of time from project enrollment to permanent housing placement (60 days or less) · Percent of adult participants that have increased Earned Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (10%) · Percent of adult participants that have Increased Total Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of grant term (25%)	**Source:** Application - Performance Outcomes	Outcomes are concise, identified and measurable outcomes that can positively impact overall system performance and are not based on number of activities/services = 5 pts Outcomes are adequate, but not concise, included some that are based on number of activities/services, and adequately shows positive impact on overall system performance = 3 pts Outcomes are all based on number of services/activities provided, outcomes are vague, and/or do not demonstrate a positive impact on overall system performance = 0 pts	5	2.67	4.50	4.38
f	**Project Budget and Financial Plan.** The average cost per household served is reasonable, meaning that the costs for housing and services provided by the project are consistent with the population the project plans to serve.	**Source:** Application - Detailed Financial Plan Narrative	Budget Information is detailed, clear and complete; aligns with the project descriptions, presents a feasible project = 6 pts Budget Information adequately provides necessary information for eligible costs, adequately aligns with the project descriptions, adequately presents a feasible project = 3 pts Budget information includes ineligible costs, vague details, missing key information to support project description and/or does not present a feasible project = 0 pts	6	5.00	5.50	3.50
g	**Match Commitment**	**Source:** Using Application - Match Narrative and Documentation of Commitment	Detailed, clear and complete match commitment documentation as required by HUD that indicates the applicant understands match and will be able to provide required project match = 6 pts Demonstrates a basic understanding of match, however the match commitment documentation does not meet HUD's requirements, and/or indicates the applicant may have difficulty providing necessary match = 3 pts Vague, missing required commitment documentation required by HUD and/or does not demonstrate an understanding of eligible match and/or the ability to provide required project match = 0 pts	6	3.33	5.63	5.88
			Section 6 Totals	70	56.33	59.63	61.50

Section 7. Overall Review — 2%

a	**Overall Proposal Presentation**	The overall presentation of the proposal – content, flow of narratives, adherence to RFP instructions – demonstrates attention to detail and quality	Presented in a detailed, concise organized manner that was easy to understand and review = 3 pts Lacked attention to detail and overall organization of information making it difficult for the reviewer(s) to locate information needed to complete scoring = 0 pts	3	2.00	3.00	2.75
			Section 7 Totals	3	2.00	3.00	2.75
	TOTAL SCORE (Sections 7): 121			121	98.00	96.88	103.00